

NHIDCL/RO(JMU)/TC/(NH)/88/2022-23 134

Date: 27.04.2023

To,

The Prospective bidders,


Sub: Construction of 28 Nos Toilet Complex under Swachhata Action plan in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2022-23.- **Annulation of bid-reg.**

Ref: RFP dated 20.03.2023.

Sir/ Madam,

It is to inform that the bidding process for the subject project is hereby annulled.

This issues with the approval of the Competent Authority.


(John Vali Shaikh)
Dy. General Manager (Tech.)
RO-Jammu, NHIDCL

2nd Minutes of Meeting of
Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC)

Name of work: Construction of 28 Nos Toilet Complex under Swachhata Action plan in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2022-23.

Date: 20.04.2023.

Venue: NHIDCL-RO Jammu.

The bids for the subject work were invited on 20.03.2023 with Bid Due Date as 08.04.2023 with a completion period of 12 months. The bids were opened on 10.04.2023 in NHIDCL-RO Jammu. No representative of any of the bidders were present during the bid opening of the subject project.

2. The Technical Bid Opening Committee (TBC) has broadly determined the responsiveness of the bids with reference to BG/DD towards bid security, cost of documents and POA and deliberated that the following 03 nos. bids may be evaluated further for determination of technical responsiveness:

| Sr. no. | Name of the bidder | Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18% | BG/DD amounting to Rs. 5.83 Lakhs |
|---------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES(BG) |
| 2. | M/s SAI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES(DD) |
| 3. | M/s HARISH GUPTA | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES(BG) |

3. The aforementioned details of Bank transfer of cost of bid documents were sent to Finance Division, RO-Jammu and it has been confirmed by the Finance Division that all the 03 nos. payments have been received in the following bank account provided in the RFP. Further, the confirmation of BG towards Bid Security of the above mentioned 02 nos. of bidders has been sent to bank for SFMS verification.

| S.No. | Particulars | Details |
|-------|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Name of Beneficiary | National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited |
| 2 | Beneficiary Bank Account No. | 76411010002171 |
| 3 | Beneficiary Bank Branch | IFSC CNRB0002975 |
| 4 | Beneficiary Bank Branch Name | Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat |
| 5 | Beneficiary Bank Address | Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat, Jammu, J&K, 180015 |

4. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met on 15.04.2023 to determine the technical eligibility of 03 nos. of bids with respect to conditions of RFP. As per the recommendation of TEC clarifications were sent to 02 nos. bidders. With respect to the bidder, M/s Sai Construction Company no legible address for sending the clarifications



were found with the bid. The company details was also searched for on the internet, however, no lead regarding the email id/contact no. of the said bidder was found.

5. The replies to clarifications have been received from 01 nos bidders within due date and time. TEC again met on 20.04.2023 to further evaluate the bids w.r.t the clarifications provided by the bidder, the details of the same are given as follows:



| S.No. | Bidder | Observation | Clarification provided by the Bidder | Remarks |
|-------|--|--|---|---------------------|
| 1. | M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED | <p>(i) The experience of the 01 nos. of lab technician and 01 nos. Quantity Surveyor as per clause (4.4) (B) (b) (ii) of the RFP is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per clause 4.3 A(b) of ITB, the bidder should have successfully completed or substantially completed similar works during last seven years. In this respect, it is not clear which work has been claimed by the bidder towards similar work. Bidder may clarify.</p> | No clarification provided by the bidder within due date and time. | NA(Refer para 7.1). |
| 2. | M/s SAI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY | <p>(i) The details of the works for which bid is submitted and accepted but contract signing is pending is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(ii) The balance sheets for the turnover claimed by the bidder is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(iii) The LOA of the ongoing works is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(iv) The notarized undertaking for the correctness of bid as per section III of RFP is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(v) The Bank certificate for evidence to access to or availability of credit facilities(10% of the cost of work put to tender) certified by the banker as per clause 4.1(i) of ITB is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(vi) The evidence of the availability of construction equipment either owned or</p> | NA(Refer para 7.2). | NA(Refer para 7.2). |

Signature

Signature

| | | | | |
|----|------------------|---|---|----------|
| | | <p>leased or rented) as per clause 4.4 B (b)(i) of RFP is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>(vii) The details of key personnel as per clause 4.4 B (b)(ii) of RFP is not clear. Further, the signed and scanned CV of Project Manager is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> | | |
| 3. | M/S HARISH GUPTA | <p>The experience of the 01 nos. of Material Engineer and 01 nos. Quantity Surveyor as per clause (4.4) (B) (b) (ii) of the RFP is not clear. Further, the signed and scanned CV of Project Manager is not clear. Bidder may clarify.</p> | <p>It is submitted that the following two Materials Engineer has been deployed by my firm, whose experience and qualification is resubmitted as under- Materials Engineers (i) Sanjay Kumar, Qual-BE Civ, Experience:- 07 Years. (ii) Brij Kishore, Qual-Dip Civil, Experience - 15 years. However due to typographical error the experience has been mentioned as 05 years.</p> <p>Quantity Surveyor It is submitted that the following two QS has been deployed by my firm , whose experience and qualification is resubmitted as under- (i) Arif Ahmed, Qual- BE (Civ), Experience-03 Years. However due to typographical error the qualification of Arif Ahmed as been mentioned as Dip in Civil (ii) Ab Majeed, Qual-BE (Civ), Experience-03 years.</p> <p>Further, the resume submitted by Project Manager Vidhya Bushan is submitted herewith.</p> | Accepted |

6. The completion certificate submitted by the bidders, M/s Sai Construction Company, and M/s Harish Gupta towards similar work was sent to the respective clients for verification. TEC examined the same, the details of which are follows:

| Sl. no. | Name of the bidder | Completed work | Client | Verification from concerned department |
|---------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | M/s SAI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY | "Construction of RPF Training School Girls & Boys Hostel at Badampahar, District Mayurbhanj, Odisha." | IRCON INTERNATIONAL | Confirmed by the Client that fake documents has been produced by the bidder. |
| 2. | M/S HARISH GUPTA | "Construction of staff office and quarters, FOB, development of circulating area, raising an extension of platform no. 1 & raising of platform no 2 and construction of boundary wall and other misc works to complete this work in c/w development of freight terminal at Bari Brahmana." | Dy. Chief Engineer, N.Rly , Jammu Tawi | Verified. |

7. The TEC noted the following:

7.1 M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED:

With respect to the bidder M/s Green Earth Infrastructure Private Limited, no clarification was received from the bidder within due date and time. Further, the bidder did not qualify with respect to the similar work as per the RFP.

Considering the above, TEC was of the opinion that the bidder, M/s Green Earth Infrastructure Private Limited may be declared as non-responsive.

7.2 M/s SAI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY:

With respect to the bidder M/s Sai Construction Company, no legible contact details were found with the bid. Further, the similar work claimed by the bidder was sent for verification to the respective client and it was confirmed that the completion certificate provided by the bidder was fake.

Considering the above, TEC was of the opinion that the bidder, M/s Sai Construction Company may be declared as non-responsive.

8. The following was observed by the TEC vis-à-vis the qualification criteria provided in the RFP to determine the technical responsiveness of the firms. The detailed evaluation sheet is attached as Annexure-I.

| Sr. no. | Name of the bidder | Eligibility Requirement | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | Bid Security | Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18% | Average annual turnover for last 5 Years (>233.09) | Credit and availability of other financial resource facilities (10% of contract value) (Rs 58.27 Lakh) | Bid Capacity (Min. Rs. 582.73) (Rs. in Lakhs) | Similar individual work as per clause 4.3A of RFP | Eligible for opening of financial bids or not |
| 1. | M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED | Not Eligible (Refer para 7.1) | | | | | | |
| 2. | M/s SAI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY | Not Eligible (Refer para 7.2) | | | | | | |
| 3. | M/S HARISH GUPTA | YES Valid | YES Valid | YES | YES | 5246 | YES | Eligible |

9. As seen above, only a single bidder, M/s Harish Gupta is found to be eligible for financial opening and hence the committee was of the opinion to annul the instant bidding process and re-invite the bids.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.



Saoleen J. Urbashi, Manager(P)
(Member)

(on leave)

Sanjiv Kumar, Accountant(F)
(Member)



John Vali Shaik, DGM(P)
(Chairman)

