

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Construction of Balance work of two-Lane with paved shoulders of Joram - Koloriang Road (NH-713) on EPC basis from existing Km 88.700 to Km 104.850[Design Km. 78.724 to Km. 93.724] (Design Length - 15 Km) in the state of Arunachal Pradesh under SARDP-NE on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode.(Pkg-5)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 31.07.2020

1. Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) opened the Technical bid on 03.07.2020 for the subject project. The following bidders submitted bid online only since physical submission of Bid Documents/POA etc. has been allowed till the date of financial opening, because of COVID-19 situation. ETEC vide minutes of meeting dated 20.07.2020 handed over the Bids to TEC for further evaluation –

S. No.	Name of Bidders received on CPP Portal
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.
3.	M/s PUNA HINDA
4.	M/s Buru Enterprises
5.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
6.	M/s LNS Infrastructures
7.	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.

2. The Evaluation Committee has considered the following Criteria for evaluation of the bids for the above project:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	140.14
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	70.14
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	42.08
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.03
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	21.04
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	14.03
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause	One half of the Project Cost of

Ajayt

	2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	14.03
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3(i)	7.01
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.21
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.40
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	28.06
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	16.84
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.61
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	70.14
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	42.08
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.03

3. The Evaluation Committee in its first ETEC during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, hence committee sought the clarification from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process vide its 1st meeting held on 20.07.2020.

4. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 20.07.2020, replies received from the bidders and the Evaluation report were deliberated by the ETEC in 2nd meeting held on 27.07.2020. The committee observed that the most of the bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as turnover and Net Worth of FY2018-19, FY2017-18, FY2016-17, FY2015-16 & FY2014-15 and not submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii). Accordingly, the Committee considered the Financial capacity from FY2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted the Audited Financial account of 2019-20 and evaluated the financial requirement without considering the turnover 2019-20. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below.











Sl No.	Name of Bidder	Clarification sought	Reply from the bidder	Comments of the committee
1.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathi Const. Pvt. Ltd.	1) Bidder needs to deposit amount of Rs.23,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and a copy of total payment online receipt must be submitted.	Contractor submitted that they have submitted tender fee for all tenders online, but due to some human error, tender fee amount for Pkg-3, Pkg-4 & Pkg-5 was not transferred to NHIDCL account. They have again paid a tender fee amount for Pkg-3, Pkg-4 & Pkg-5 on 24.07.2020 by RTGS, reference No.121020653.	Bidder has forwarded details of RTGS and same has been accepted.
2	M/s HINDA PUNA	1) Bidder to submit Rs.23,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and copy of payment online receipt must be submitted or to submit the original DD immediately.	1) Bidder have provided package wise NEFT UTR Nos. for all five packages of amount rs.23,600/-	1) Bidder has forwarded details of RTGS and same has been accepted.
3	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD.	1) The UDIN no. of the document certified by Statutory auditor to be submitted by the bidder. UDIN Number provided for Annual Turnover of 5 years and Net Worth of 5 years has to be reflected in UDIN Certificate in ICAI.	Bidder has forwarded the requisite documents	Bidder has forwarded the requisite documents and same has been accepted by the Committee.
4	M/s LNS Infrastructures	1) Bidder to submit Rs.23,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and copy of payment online receipt must be submitted, or to submit the original DD immediately.  2) Bidder needs to submit Certificate of Net Worth by Statutory Auditor as per Appendix-X of RFP.  3) Bidder to submit	1) We have submitted original DD on 14-07-2020. 2) We are enclosing Certificate of Net Worth as per Appendix-X of RFP issued by statutory Auditor. 3) We are enclosing ANNEX-IV as per RFP issued by the Statutory auditor. 4) Undertaking	1) Bidder submitted the Original DD. 2) The bidder has submitted revised certificate of Net Worth for FY2018-19 amounting to Rs.9.99 Crs. Whereas earlier they have submitted the Net worth for FY2018-19 as 2.84 crs. as per format, since the

*Ajay*

*W*

*Om*

*M*

		<p>ANNEX-IV as per RFP from the Bidder's Statutory Auditor or auditors who audit the annual accounts of the Bidder.</p> <p>4) Bidder to indicate the location where undertaking from the person having POA has been submitted.</p>	copy received.	<p>figure indicated in the bid document has been modified by statutory auditor, which is material deviation of the document, hence cannot be accepted. The bidder Net worth has only been considered as 2.84 crs., for 2018-19 and he does not qualify.</p> <p>3) In addition to above the average turnover figure, considering turnover of FY2019-20 as Zero as per Annexure-XI of RFP is 24.88 Crs. Whereas the Minimum turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3(ii) is 28.06 Crs. Hence, the bidder does not fulfill the criteria of average turnover. Hence, declared <b>Non-Responsive.</b></p>
--	--	--	----------------	---

5. In addition to above clarification, the details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 7(seven) bidders out of 7(seven) are enclosed as Annexure –A and it is observed by the committee that 6(six) bidders meet the Technical bid capacity, Single work, Financial threshold and bid capacity, hence, 6(six) out of 7(seven) bidders declared as Technically responsive.

6. Committee also decided to incorporate the details of ongoing works of the technical responsive bidder with NHIDCL as per clause 2.1.15 in the recommendation.

Ajay

for

Qamr

Amr




7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status	Ongoing Projects with NHIDCL
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	Technically Responsive	0
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
3.	M/s PUNA HINDA	Technically Responsive	0
4.	M/s Buru Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
5.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	Technically Responsive	0
6.	M/s LNS Infrastructures	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>	NA
7.	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.	Technically Responsive	1

8. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC), therefore recommends to open the financial bid of 6(six) bidders as under:

S. No.	Name of Bidders
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.
3.	M/s PUNA HINDA
4.	M/s Buru Enterprises
5.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD
6.	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
**(Ajay Ahluwalia)**  
**(ED-I)**  
**Convener**

  
**(A.K. Jha)**  
**GM (T)**  
**Member Secretary**

  
**(A.K. Singh)**  
**GM (T)**  
**Member**

  
**(Bhaskar Mallick)**  
**Manager(Finance)**  
**Member**

## ANNEXURE-A

Summary of Technical Evaluation					
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 70.14	Technical threshold capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) = Rs. 21.04 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of Minimum threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.4(i) ) i.e. Rs. 42.08 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of Minimum threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.4(ii) i.e. Rs. 14.03 Cr.
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	425.87	Yes (Rs 294.40 Cr)	NA	NA
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	501.45	Yes (Rs 174.57 Cr)	NA	NA
3.	M/s PUNA HINDA	405.99	Yes (Rs 164.56 Cr)	NA	NA
4.	M/s Buru Enterprises	134.21	Yes (Rs 39.80 Cr)	NA	NA
5.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	349.97	Yes (Rs 65.62 Cr)	NA	NA
6.	M/s LNS Infrastructures	102.95	Yes (Rs 191.27 Cr)	NA	NA
7.	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.	734.88	Yes (Rs 164.07 Cr)	NA	NA

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth required as per Clause 2.2.2.3(i) of Rs.7.01 Crores (in INR Crores)	Average Annual Turnover as per Clause 2.2.2.3(ii) of Rs.28.06 Crores (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	SE	-	35.17	348.93	Y
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	62.40	228.24	Y
3.	M/s PUNA HINDA	SE	-	156.3	121.44	Y
4.	M/s Buru Enterprises	SE	-	32.03	57.44	Y
5.	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	SE	-	45.36	158.31	Y
6.	M/s LNS Infrastructures	SE	-	2.84	24.88	Y
7.	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.	SE	-	44.9	183.71	Y








BID Capacity Package-3									
Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Calculated / Assessed						
			Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	C (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B+C (Rs. Cr.)
1	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	2016	1.20	708.33	850.00	1.5	835.56	0	2357.46
2	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	2016	1.15	265.45	305.27	1.5	253.21	0	891.54
3	M/s PUNA HINDA	2018	1.05	154.65	162.38	1.5	0	5.53	614.46
4	M/s Buru Enterprises	2018	1.05	78.35	82.27	1.5	3.65	0	304.85
5	M/s A K SHIVHARE INFRASTRUCTURE PVT LTD	2018	1.05	211.29	221.85	1.5	331.58	0	500.38
6	M/s LNS Infrastructures	2017	1.10	104.06	114.47	1.5	285.58	0	143.67
7	M/s SHIV BUILD INDIA PVT.LTD.	2018	1.05	320.90	336.95	1.5	370.32	0	893.23

*Ajay*

*for*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*