National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) dated 03.02.2020 for "Construction of Bi-directional Tunnel at "Km 83" of Length 495 m including approaches road of 664 m and a Major Bridge of 110 m from Km 82+675 to Km 82+925 on NH-244 in Jammu & Kashmir on EPC mode"- Test for responsiveness and Technical Evaluation.

The Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) met on 03.02.2020 to evaluate the technical proposals of the following two firms which were submitted for the subject project by due date and time.

- (i) M/s. Beigh Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.
- (ii) M/s SRM Construction Pvt. Ltd.
- 2. The Test for Responsiveness of the 02 nos. technical proposals was carried out. Both the firms turned out to be responsive and eligible for further technical evaluation. The detailed result sheet is attached as **Annexure-I**.
- 3. Technical Evaluation: Qualification Requirements of Bidders: While examining the bid documents to calculate the Bid Capacity, Technical Capacity and Financial Capacity, the following points were noted:
- 3.1 M/s Beigh Construction Company Pvt. Ltd:
- **3.1.1** In regard of the financial capacity, it has been noted that the Balance sheets for M/s Beigh Construction Company Pvt. Ltd for the year 2018-19 has not been audited by the Statutory Auditor and hence cannot be considered. As per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP, in case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and the bidder cannot make it available, the bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. Further, there is a change in the Statutory Auditor. The date of change may also be specified and relevant documents may be submitted.

The Committee recommended that the bidder may be asked to provide either the audited annual accounts or an undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) and clarify the date of change of Statutory Auditor alongwith relevant documents as mentioned above.

3.2 M/s SRM Construction Pvt. Ltd.

3.1.2 In regard of the Technical Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 iii), M/s SRM Construction Pvt. Ltd. didn't mention the cross-sectional area of the tunnel project claimed in its bid documents. According to the requirement as per aforementioned clause, the cross-sectional area of the tunnel project claimed should be at least 50% of the tunnel to be constructed in the instant project.

The Committee recommended that the bidder may be asked mention the cross-sectional area of the tunnel project claimed and provide required documents to support the same.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Sh. Sanjeev Malik Executive Director-III Sh. A.K Singh, GM (Tech.)

Sh. V. √aiswal DGM (Tech.)

Sh. Mahesh Gupta, DGM (Fin.)

ANNEXURE-I TEST OF RESPONSIVENESS			
a	Technical BID is received online as per the format at Appendix-IA including Annexure I, IV, V and VI(Bid Capacity format);	Yes	Yes
b	Documents listed at clause 2.11.2 are received physically on BIMS and CPPP as mentioned;	Yes	Yes
С	Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Securing Declaration as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;	Yes	Yes
d	The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement and BIMS portal as specified in Clauses 2.1.5;	Yes	Yes
е	Technical Bid is accompanied by Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement as specified in Clause 2.1.6, if so required;	NA	NA
f	Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects);	Yes	Yes
g	Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification; and	Yes	Yes
h	Copy of online receipt/ original Demand Draft towards payment of cost of Bid document of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) issued from a scheduled Bank in India in favour of Managing Director, National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited, New Delhi payable at New Delhi is received	Yes	Yes

Bry

