

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical Division)

Minutes of Meeting

Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) met for “Restoration/Reconstruction of Urkhul-Toloi-Tadubi road section from km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length on NH-102A in the state of Manipur” held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 15.03.2017- **Technical Evaluation-reg.**

The RFPs for the subject work were invited with bid due date as **08.02.2017**.


2. During the meeting on **08.02.2017** committee ETBC opened technical bids in the presence of applicant’s representatives who choose to attend and the hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service portal viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in>) was handed over to the concerned Financial Consultants **M/s. PDMAG & Co.** for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids. Details are as under:

Name of Work	Name Of bidders	Name of Financial Consultant
Restoration/Reconstruction of Urkhul-Toloi-Tadubi road section from km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length on NH-102A in the state of Manipur	M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Ltd.	M/s. PDMAG & Co.
	M/s Haokhotinlen Vaiphei	
	M/s Vilelei Khamo and Sons	
	M/s Shongvah Thanmi	
	M/s A. PongshiPhom	

3. The Financial Consultant of the package had submitted the preliminary technical evaluation report in respect of each bidder, based on the stipulated evaluation criteria mentioned in the RFP. In the preliminary report, Consultant had recommended certain clarifications to be sought from some of the bidders.

4. During the last meeting on 16.02.2017 committee (ETEC) recommended that the clarifications, required as per the recommendation of the Financial Consultant, be requested from **M/s Vilelei Khamo and Sons and M/s Haokhotinlen Vaiphei** with due approval of the competent authority.


5. With the approval of Competent Authority, clarifications from the Applicant as suggested by the Financial Consultant were requested from **M/s Vilelei Khamo and Sons and M/s Haokhotinlen Vaiphei**. Accordingly the replies to the queries submitted by the bidders and the recommendations of the Financial Consultant submitted on 15.03.2017 are as under:



1. M/s Vilelei Khamo and Sons

S. No.	Clause Ref.	Clarification required	Reply Received	Recommendations of Consultant	Remarks of ETEC
1.	<p><u>Section-IV</u> (FORM OF BANK GUARANTEE FOR BID SECURITY)</p> <p>This guarantee shall also be opera table at our branch at New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/ renewal thereof shall be made available on demand.</p>	<p>The following para is not as per the format of BG as provided in the RFP.</p> <p>This guarantee shall also be opera table at our <u>SBI Kohima Bazar at Kohima</u> branch at New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/ renewal thereof shall be made available on demand.</p> <p>The Bidder is required to provide the undertaking from the Bank in which the bank is required to rectify the errors in the Bank Guarantee.</p>	<p>The bidder has provided undertaking for the same</p>	<p>The bidder reply is satisfactory. The bidder is qualified for next stage of evaluation</p>	<p>Agreed</p>









2. Haokhotinlen Vaiphei

S. No.	Clause Ref.	Clarification required	Reply Received	Recommendations of Consultant	Remarks of ETEC
1.	<p><u>Section-IV (FORM OF BANK GUARANTEE FOR BID SECURITY)</u></p> <p>i) Wishes to submit his tender for “Restoration/Reconstruction of Ukhrul-Toloi-Tadubi road section from Km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length on NH-102A in the state of Manipur on Item Rate basis”(Retender) herein after called “the Tender”</p> <p>ii) This guarantee shall also be operatable at our (Branch Address not provided) branch at New Delhi, from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/ renewal thereof shall be made available on demand.</p>	<p>The following para is not as per the format of BG as provided in the RFP.</p> <p>a) wishes to submit his tender for maintenance work of NH-120A (“Restoration/Reconstruction of Ukhrul-Toloi-Tadubi road section from Km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length on NH-120A in the state of Manipur on Item Rate basis”(Retender) herein after called “the Tender”.</p> <p>b) This guarantee shall also be operatable at our Punjab & Sind Bank, Dewlahland, Imphal-795001 Manipur, India from whom, confirmation regarding the issue of this guarantee or extension/ renewal thereof shall be made available on demand.</p> <p>The Bidder is required to provide the undertaking from the Bank in which the bank is required to rectify the errors in the Bank Guarantee</p>	The bidder has provided undertaking for the same	The bidder reply is satisfactory. The bidder is qualified for next stage of evaluation	Agreed

S. No.	Clause Ref.	Clarification required	Reply Received	Recommendations of Consultant	Remarks of ETEC
2.	<p>Clause 4.2 (g)</p> <p>Scanned document in support of evidence access to line (s) of credit and availability of other financial resource facilities (10 % of Contract value), certified by bankers (not more than 3 months old).</p>	<p>The Bidder has provided the contract name for work as "NA-120A Restoration/Reconstruction of Ukhrul Toloi-Tadubi road station from Km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length of NH-120A"</p> <p>Instead of</p> <p>"Restoration/Reconstruction of Ukhrul-Toloi-Tadubi road section from Km 90.00 to Km 115.00 covering 25 Km length on NH-102A in the state of Manipur-(Retender)".</p> <p>The Bidder is required to provide the undertaking from the Bank in which the bank is required to rectify the errors in the Undertaking</p>	<p>The bidder has provided amended Bank Guarantee for the same</p>	<p>The bidder reply is satisfactory. The bidder is qualified for next stage of evaluation</p>	<p>Agreed</p>

6. **M/s Shongvah Thanmi** is considered Responsive in view of (MoRTH) letter, dated 16.01.2017 RW/NH-37010/4/2010/PIC-EAP (Printing). In accordance with the circular, the Bidder has submitted extended Bid Security valid upto 5th August 2017 vide email dated 15.03.2017.



7. **M/s Phongshi Phom** found non- responsive as submission of security deposit in form of Term deposit which should be in the form of Bank Guarantee/Demand Draft only (*ref Clause 16.2 of RFP*).

8. Accordingly, in view of the Report submitted by Financial Consultant, Committee recommend that the bids of the four firms namely **M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Ltd., M/s Haokhotinlen Vaiphei, M/s Vilelei Khamo & Sons and M/s Shongvah Thanmimet** met the threshold technical and financial capacity, are technically responsive in terms thereof. The Committee, therefore, recommended that the Financial Bid of the following Bidders be opened with the approval of the Competent Authority:

- (i) M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Ltd.
- (ii) M/s Haokhotinlen Vaiphei
- (iii) M/s Vilelei Khamo & Sons
- (iv) M/s Shongvah Thanmi


Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Sanjeev Malik
(ED-III)
Chairman


Col Rajeev Sood
(GM-Tech)
Member Secretary


Y C Srivastava
(GM-Tech)
Member


Sahdeokumar
DGM (Tech)
Member


Dr. Vaibhav Saxena
Manager (Finance):
Nominated by
GM(Fin) Member

