

No: NHIDCL/RO(JMU)/SR/Paloura/Tender/145/2021-22 / 1002

Date: 23.09.2021

To,

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES PVT LTD Bahu Plaza, Jammu-180012 | M/s KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED NH-44, Narwal Chowk, Jammu- 180015 |
| M/s HARISH GUPTA CONTRACTOR H.No.149,Phase-II, Housing Colony, Udhampur(J&K), - 182101 | M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS Natipora, Nowgam, Srinagar-190015 |
| M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS 210,Sector C, Sainik Colony, Jammu, Pincode:180004 | |

Sub: Construction of U-Trough (Internal size 4.0mx2.5m) RCC Drain (2.0mx2.0m) from km. 3+950 to km. 4+600 (Total length = 650 m) along the edges of ROW at Paloura in Jammu-Akhnoor Road section of NH-144A in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2021-22- **Technical Evaluation Result.**

Ref: Your bid dated 27.08.2021.

Sir,

This has reference to your bid cited above. In this connection, it is to inform you that the financial bids of the following technically qualified firms shall be opened on 24.09.2021 at 12.00 Hours at Regional Office, NHIDCL, H. No. 261, Sector No. 6, Channi Himmat, Jammu in the presence of the eligible bidders or their duly authorized representatives who choose to attend the same:

| Sr. No | Name of the Bidder | Technically Eligible / Not-Eligible | Remarks |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES PVT LTD | Eligible | - |
| 2. | M/s KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED | Not-Eligible | - |
| 3. | M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | Not-Eligible | - |
| 4. | M/s HARISH GUPTA CONTRACTOR | Eligible | - |
| 5. | M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS | Not-Eligible | - |

2. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.


(John Vali Shaik)
Dy. General Manager (Projects)
NHIDCL, RO-Jammu

2nd Minutes of Meeting of
Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC)

Name of work: Construction of U-Trough (Internal size 4.0mx2.5m) RCC Drain (2.0mx2.0m) from km. 3+950 to km. 4+600 (Total length = 650 m) along the edges of ROW at Paloura in Jammu-Akhnoor Road section of NH-144A in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2021-22.

Date: 23.09.2021.

Venue: NHIDCL-RO Jammu.

The bids for the subject work were invited on 17.08.2021 with Bid Due Date as 27.08.2021 with a completion period of 3 months. The bids were opened on 28.08.2021 at 12:30 Hrs in NHIDCL-RO Jammu. No representative of any of the bidders were present during the bid opening of the subject project.

2. The Technical Bid Opening Committee (TBC) has broadly determined the responsiveness of the bids with reference to BG towards bid security, cost of documents and POA and deliberated that the following 05 nos. bids may be evaluated further for determination of technical responsiveness:

| Sr. no. | Name of the bidder | Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18% | Bank Guarantee amounting to Rs. 2.80 Lakhs |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 1. | M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES PVT LTD | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES |
| 2. | M/s KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED | YES (Bank Transfer) | NO, DD submitted |
| 3. | M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | YES (Bank Transfer) | NO |
| 4. | M/s HARISH GUPTA CONTRACTOR | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES |
| 5. | M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS | YES (Bank Transfer) | NO, DD submitted |

3. The aforementioned details of Bank transfer of cost of bid documents were sent to Finance Division, RO-Jammu and it has been confirmed by the Finance Division that all the 05 nos. payments have been received in the following bank account provided in the RFP. Further, the confirmation of BG towards Bid Security of the above mentioned 02 nos. of bidders has been received by SFMS:

| S.No. | Particulars | Details |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Name of Beneficiary | National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited |
| 2 | Beneficiary Bank Account No. | 76411010002171 |
| 3 | Beneficiary Bank Branch | IFSC CNRB0002975 |

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | Beneficiary Bank Branch Name | Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat |
| 5 | Beneficiary Bank Address | Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat, Jammu, J&K, 180015 |

4. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met on 15.09.2021 to determine the technical eligibility of the 05 nos. bids with respect to conditions of RFP. As per recommendation of TEC, clarifications were sent to 03 nos. bidders.

5. The replies to clarifications have been received from all the 03 bidders within due date and time. TEC again met on 23.09.2021 to further evaluate the bids w.r.t the clarifications provided by the bidder, the details of the same are given as follows:

| Sr. no. | Bidder | Observations of the committee | Clarification provided by the Bidder | Remarks |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUC TURES PVT LTD | The bidder has submitted calculations w.r.t Bid Capacity which works out to be Rs 20.00 crore. However, as per the Turnover certificate submitted, and the calculations carried out by the Committee, the bidder does not qualify in Bid Capacity as per the provisions of RFP. Bidder may clarify. | The turnover certificate including FY 2020-21 was to be submitted with the Bid and the same was already been audited by our Chartered Accountant. But, by routine the previous turnover certificate has been uploaded with the bid as the updated turnover certificate has been recently made in the last month. The Turnover Certificate for FY 2020-21 is attached along with. Since, the base year is 2020-21, it is requested to kindly consider the turnover for FY 2020-21 for calculation of bid capacity. | Accepted. |

Sarlem

SV

VZ

| | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2. | M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTI ONS | <p>The bidder has not submitted the Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee as per section (II) of the RFP.</p> <p>i. The Bidder has only noticed clause 7 of NIT document and not all the other 11 nos. clauses of RFP (Reference may be taken from Annexure-I to this letter) before bidding for the subject work. Further, no pre-bid queries in this regard were received from the bidder before the Bid Due Date and also not after. Bidder may clarify.</p> <p>ii. The bidder has submitted two calculations w.r.t Bid Capacity, one of which had the value of A (For FY 2020-21) as Rs 817.93 crore. However, in the Turnover certificate submitted, the Annual Turnover upto the FY 2019-20 was certificate by the CA. No traces have been found towards audited certification of Annual Turnover of FY 2020-21. Therefore, it is not clear from where the value of Rs 817.93 crore has been taken. The bidder has also submitted another completely different claim for Bid Capacity wherein the value of A has been taken as Rs 433.27 crore for FY 2017-18. Bidder may clarify.</p> | <p>i. As per your letter with reference No. NHIDCL/RO(JMU)/SR/Paloura/Tender/145/2021-22/920 dated 15.09.2021 regarding the clarification of pre bid queries and calculation of Bid Capacity. In this connection, we have not ant pre-bid query because due to COVID-19 pandemic the Central Govt. has issued an order that all the bidders has to give the Bid security Declaration at the time of Bid submission and only the successful bidder has to submit the performance security before the Issuance of LOA. All the department of central/State/UT Govt are accepting the Bid security declaration which can confirm from higher authorities and other divisions of your department.</p> <p>ii Besides this, the Bid Capacity one of which the value of A(for FY 2020-21) is Rs.817.93 crore. But the audit report and ITR of FY 2020-21 has not issued by the govt to any one till date so that we have only submit the Balance Sheet of FY 2020-21 with the bid. However, the another Bid Capacity has typographical error which has been typed as FY 2017-18 instead of FY 2019-20. You have to consider it as the FY 2019-20 in which the value of Turnover is Rs. 433.27 crore (Copy of the bid capacity is enclosed). Therefore, if you need any more clarification regarding the above cited subject then you can communicate with us and we will reply promptly</p> | <p>i. Not Accepted. Please refer para 6.1(i)</p> <p>ii. Not Accepted. Please refer para 6.1(ii)</p> |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Saleem

[Signature]

V2

| | | | | |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 3. | M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS | The bidder has not submitted calculations w.r.t Bid Capacity. However, as per the Turnover certificate submitted, and the calculations carried out by the Committee, the bidder does not qualify in Bid Capacity as per the provisions of RFP. Bidder may clarify. | We are sorry to state that while submitting the scanned copies of all the relevant documents, as asked for, we failed to provide details of available bid capacity. The same is submitted. There is no hard and fast rule for taking three months against number of years. However, in general practice fraction is given for 3 months to half years and nine months to one year. Accordingly against 3 months for number of years half year has been taken into account for calculation purposes. | Not Accepted. Please refer para 6.2(i) |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|

6. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) deliberated on the above-mentioned observations further for determination of technically responsive firms as per RFP. The TEC noted the following:

6.1 M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS:

(i) The bidder has claimed that that as per Central Govt. order, bidders have to provide the Bid security Declaration at the time of Bid submission, and therefore the same was submitted. However, the reply of the bidder is found to be unsatisfactory.

(ii) While computing the Bid Capacity calculation, the bidder has taken the value of $N=0.5$ years but as per RFP the value of $N=0.25$ years (3 months). Further wrong escalation factor has been used. Therefore, as per the Turnover certificate submitted, and the calculations carried out by the Committee, the bidder does not qualify in Bid Capacity as per the provisions of RFP.

6.2 M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS:

(i) While computing the Bid Capacity calculation, the bidder has taken the value of $N=0.6$ years but as per RFP the value of $N=0.25$ years (3 months). However, as per the Turnover certificate submitted, and the calculations carried out by the Committee, the bidder does not qualify in Bid Capacity as per the provisions of RFP.

7. The bidder M/s Kamalashi Construction and Engineers Private Limited, has also submitted their bid for another tender namely "*Restoration and Repair of covered runoff water RCC Drain along NH-244 passing through urban areas of Kishtwar from km 107+400 to km 112+600 and km 119+800 to 120+300 in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2021-22*", wherein after asking for required clarifications regarding value of ongoing works and existing commitments, the bidder has claimed Rs 6.00 Cr. towards the same. TEC deliberated on the issue and it was concluded, that the same submission may also be considered in the instant tender. After consideration of value of existing commitments and

ongoing value as Rs. 6.00 Cr. in the calculation of Bid Capacity the same works out to be 69.75 Lakhs which is less than the bid value of Rs 278.97 Lakhs. Thus, the bidder does not qualify in Bid Capacity as per conditions of RFP.


8. The following was observed by the TEC vis-à-vis the qualification criteria provided in the RFP to determine the technical responsiveness of the firms. The detailed evaluation sheet is attached as Annexure-I.

| Sr. no. | Name of the bidder | Eligibility Requirement | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Bid Security | Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18% | Average annual turnover for last 5 Years (>115.8 Lakh) | Credit and availability of other financial resource facilities (10% of contract value) (Rs 27.89 Lakh) | Bid Capacity (Min. Rs.278.97) (Rs.in Lakhs) | Similar individual work as per clause 4.3A of RFP | Eligible for opening of financial bids or not |
| 1. | M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES PVT LTD | YES | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES | YES | 466.61 | YES | Eligible |
| 2. | M/s KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERS PRIVATE LIMITED | NO (DD submitted) | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES | YES | 69.75 | YES | Not Eligible (Please refer to para 7 above and Annexure I) |
| 3. | M/s ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | NO (Bid Securing Declaration) | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES | YES | 211.90 | YES | Not Eligible (Please refer to para 6.1(i) and (ii) above and Annexure I) |
| 4. | M/s HARISH GUPTA CONTRACTOR | YES | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES | YES | 1440.10 | YES | Eligible |
| 5. | M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS | NO (DD submitted) | YES (Bank Transfer) | YES | YES | -786.02 | YES | Not Eligible (Please refer to para 6.2 (i) above and Annexure I) |

9. In view of the above, TEC after due deliberations, recommends the financial opening of the following technically responsive firms on 24.09.2021(12.00 Hrs) after obtaining approval from the competent authority:

- i. M/s Eimsim Babas Infrastructures Pvt Ltd
- ii. M/s Harish Gupta Contractor

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.


Saoleen J. Urbashi, Graduate Engineer
(Member)


Negi Ram, Manager (F)
(Member)


John Vali Shaik, DGM(P)
(Chairman)

ANNEXURE-I

Name of work: Construction of U-Trough (Internal size 4.0mx2.5m) RCC Drain (2.0mx2.0m) from km. 3+950 to km. 4+600 (Total length = 650 m) along the edges of ROW at Paloura in Jammu-Akhnoor Road section of NH-144A in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2021-22.

| S. N o. | Qualification Criteria/ Bidder | EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES | KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTIONS AND ENGINEERS PVT LTD | ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | HARISH GUPTA | TRIKUTA BUILDERS |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Bank Guarantee | Yes | No(DD submitted) | No(Bid Securing declaration submitted) | Yes | No (DD submitted) |
| 2 | Qualification Information given (As per Section-III) | Yes | Yes but not in the prescribed format | Yes but not in the prescribed format | Yes | Yes |
| 3 | Year of Constitution | 2017 | 2013 | 2013 | 2007 | 2010 |
| 4 | Legal status of Bidder (Proprietorship/Partnership or Pvt. Ltd. firm) | Private Limited Firm | Private Limited Firm | Proprietorship | Proprietorship | Proprietorship |
| | [Upload scanned copy of original] | Copy Uploaded | Copy Uploaded | Copy Uploaded | Copy Uploaded | Hard Copy Submitted |
| 5 | Place of registration: | Mehmoodabad Doru | Jammu | | HQ DGBR New Delhi | Jammu |
| 6 | Principal place of business | Doru Anantnag | Jammu | Kashmir | J&K | J&K |
| 7 | PAN Card | Attached PAN No-AAECE7911K | Attached PAN No-AAGCK5260M | Not Attached | Attached PAN No-AFRPG8715L | Attached PAN No-AEMPK6852Q |
| 8 | Bid Document fee Rs.10,000/- +18% GST through online transaction | Yes NEFT Ref No. 357698 Dated- 23.08.2021 | Yes NEFT Ref No. JAKA21082640 7961 Dated- 26.08.2021 | Yes NEFT Ref No. JAKA210825398 01 Dated- 25.08.2021 | Yes NEFT Ref No. 26257044 Dated- 26.08.2021 | Yes NEFT Ref No. 123616621946 Dated- 24.08.2021 |
| 9 | Power of Attorney | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 10 | Average Turnover last 5 Years pertaining to civil construction works (Minimum | Yes (1114.8 Lakh) | Yes (675.77 Lakhs) | Yes (347.8 Lakhs) | Yes (2637.34 Lakhs) | Yes (399.40 Lakhs) |

Sarleen

DF

VS

| S. N o. | Qualification Criteria/ Bidder | EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES | KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTIONS AND ENGINEERS PVT LTD | ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | HARISH GUPTA | TRIKUTA BUILDERS |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Required Rs. 115.58 Lakh) | | | | | |
| 11 | Whether CA Certificate for the Average Annual Turnover is provided? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 12 | satisfactorily completed (not less than 90% of contract value), as a prime contractor (or as a nominated subcontractor, provided further that all other qualification criteria are satisfied) similar works during last five years ending last day of month previous to the one in which bids are invited, any civil construction work of 40% of the value of contract (i.e. Rs. 111.58 Lacs). [The "similar work" constitutes any kind of Civil Construction works (The base year should be considered as 2020-21)] | <p>Yes</p> <p>Name of work: Improvement and Upgradation of Chennani Nashri stretch of NH-44(old alignment) via Patnitop from km.89.00 to km 130.00 by way of Construction of Retaining ,Breast wall , Pucca Drain , Gabion Wall . Crash Barrier including strengthening and Renewal of certain stretches of Road in the State of Jammu and Kashmir.</p> <p>Client: NHAI</p> <p>Value: 432 Lakh</p> <p>Completion FY: 2020-21</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Name of work: Construction work of road from L052-Prowa Jagir to Chigla Paddar under Package No. JK14-662, District Udhampur.</p> <p>Client: PMGSY Division Udhampur</p> <p>Value: Rs 249.270 Lakh</p> <p>Completion FY: 2019-20</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Name of work: Rehabilitation of 1x14+1x40m span bridge on Kunzar chanpora road over nallah Ferozpura by way of construction of check walls and approaches</p> <p>Client: R&B GDA Sub-Div Magam</p> <p>Value: Rs 245.61 Lakh</p> <p>Completion FY: 2018-19</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Name of work: Restoration of road by construction of Pucca Drain in selected stretches from km 0.000 to km 170.000 on Batote-Kishtwar - Sinthanpass road on NH-244in the UT of Jammu and Kashmir.</p> <p>Client: NHIDCL</p> <p>Value: Rs 422.00 Lakh</p> <p>Completion FY: 2020-21</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Name of work: Permanent Restoration of Canal Syphon at Balol Nallah of M.T.C RD 9587 mtr by way of Constructing 220.0 mtrs long barrel.</p> <p>Client: Tawi Canal Construction Division</p> <p>Value: Rs 358.32 Lakh</p> <p>Completion FY: 2015-16</p> |
| 13 | Qualifies in Similar Nature of work i.e. any civil construction work of 40% of the value of contract (i.e. Rs. 111.58 Lacs). | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Sadeem

[Signature]

V2

| S. N o. | Qualification Criteria/ Bidder | EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES | KAMALASHI CONSTRUCTIONS AND ENGINEERS PVT LTD | ALTAF CONSTRUCTIONS | HARISH GUPTA | TRIKUTA BUILDERS |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 14 | Original experience certificate or notarized copy of certificate duly signed by authorized signatory (Clause 12.2 Section II) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 15 | Affidavit on Stamp paper duly attested by notary that the information furnished with the bid documents is correct | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 16 | Information on Litigation Or Arbitration history during the last 5 Years | Yes NIL | Yes NIL | Yes NIL | Yes NIL | Yes NIL |
| 17 | Form of letter of Application | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes but project name mentioned is incorrect | Yes |
| 18 | Undertaking for Validity of Bids for 120 days | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Remarks | Qualified | Not Qualified | Not Qualified | Qualified | Not Qualified |

Signature

Signature

Signature