

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for “Construction of Balance work of Two-lane with paved shoulder of Joram-Koloriang Road (NH-713) on EPC Basis from existing Km 50.050 to km 70.000 [Design Km 44+989 to Km 61.547](Design length-16.558 Km) the State of Arunachal Pradesh under SARDP-NE on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode.(Pkg-3)” held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 31.07.2020

1. Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) opened the Technical bid on 03.07.2020 for the subject project. The following bidders submitted bid online only since physical submission of Bid Documents/POA etc. has been allowed till the date of financial opening, because of COVID-19 situation. ETEC vide minutes of meeting dated 20.07.2020 handed over the Bids to TEC for further evaluation –

S. No.	Name of Bidders received on CPP Portal
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.
3.	M/s JDK COMSTRUCTIONS
4.	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd
5.	M/s Joint Stock Company Industrial Association Vozrozhdenie
6.	M/s PUNA HINDA
7.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
8.	M/s Tanor Engineering JV M/s Suman Construction.
9.	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Shri Kaushal sharma

2. The Evaluation Committee has considered the following Criteria for evaluation of the bids for the above project:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	117.89
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	58.95
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	35.37
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.79
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	17.68



6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	11.79
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	11.79
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3(i)	5.89
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.53
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.18
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	23.58
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.15
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.72
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	58.95
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	35.37
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.79

3. The Evaluation Committee in its first ETEC during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, hence committee sought the clarification from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process vide its 1st meeting held on 20.07.2020.

4. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) held on 20.07.2020, replies received from the bidders and the Evaluation report were deliberated by the ETEC in 2nd meeting held on 27.07.2020. The committee observed that the most of the bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as turnover and Net worth of FY2018-19, FY2017-18, FY2016-17, FY2015-16 & FY2014-15 and not submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii). Accordingly, the Committee considered the Financial capacity from FY2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted the Audited Financial account of 2019-20 and evaluated the financial requirement without considering the turnover 2019-20. The remarks of TEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below.

Ajay A

for

Om

M

Sl No.	Name of Bidder	Clarification sought	Reply from the bidder	Comments of the committee
1.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathi Const. Pvt. Ltd.	1) Bidder needs to deposit amount of Rs.23,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and a copy of total payment online receipt must be submitted.	Contractor submitted that they have submitted tender fee for all tenders online, but due to some human error, tender fee amount for Pkg-3, Pkg-4 & Pkg-5 was not transferred to NHIDCL account. They have again paid a tender fee amount for Pkg-3, Pkg-4 & Pkg-5 on 24.07.2020 by RTGS.	Bidder has forwarded details of RTGS and same has been accepted.
2	M/s JDK CONSTRUCTION	1) Certificate of Net Worth, Turnover and Eligible projects certified by Statutory auditor to be submitted by the bidder along with UDIN No. 2) Bidder to indicate the location where Completion Certificate of single eligible work as per RFP has been submitted.	1) Please find enclosed herewith the Certificate of Net Worth. Turnover, Eligible projects, value of "A" and "B" for Bid Capacity certified by Statutory auditor along with UDIN No. Also find enclosed financials for the year 2019-20 for your kind reference. 2) The project code "A" i.e. "Balance work of Construction of 2 laning of Gobuk-Mariyang-Sijbon Nallah Road from 26.210 km to 47.000 km under SARDP-NE in Arunachal Pradesh" The above said work of Rs.50.00 crore completed on 31.07.2017 (refer pdf page no.15-17 of Technical_Bid_Docs). As the said work is under SARDP-NE, therefore, the same is considered under category "3" as per Clause 2.2.2.5(iii)(IV)(i) of RFP.	1) Bidder has forwarded the detail documents such as Certificate of Net Worth. Turnover, Eligible projects 2) The project mentioned claimed by Bidder is certified by private organisation, M/s JKM Infra Projects Limited. However, as per ANNEX-IV, the bidder is required to submit a certificate from project owning department/corporations signed by Officer not below the level of Executive Engineer or equivalent. Therefore, The same has not been

Ajay

for

Am

Am

				accepted by the Committee and declared Non-responsive .
3	M/s Subhash Infra engineers Pvt. Ltd	<p>1) Bidder needs to deposit balance amount of Rs. 3,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and copy of total payment online receipt must be submitted.</p> <p>2) Bidder needs to provide Eligible work Completion Certification from their Clients as per RFP.</p>	<p>1)Rs 3600/- submitted through RTGS/other online mode-Receipts of payment attached.</p> <p>2)Completion Certificate from clients already attached in file-PQ3, explained and clarified the list of the same is enclosed is as under. The work of HSIIDC (in the Municipal Limit) as per project code "g" has been taken under eligible project as per the Annexure IV with the value of Rs.35,28,35,663/- meeting the technical threshold capability from category "3" in single completed project. The copy of the same is enclosed again for reference. Please refer serial no.7 as per the details below.</p> <p>Copy of the Annexure IV as per RFP along with the copy of LOI. Completion Certificate and CA certificate is again enclosed herewith for ready reference.</p>	<p>1)Bidder has forwarded details of RTGS and same has been accepted.</p> <p>2) Bidder has forwarded the details documents and the same have been accepted</p>
4	M/sPUNA HINDA	1) Bidder to submit Rs.23,600/- through RTGS/other online mode to the account provided in the RFP and copy of payment online receipt must be submitted or to submit the original DD immediately.	1)Bidder have provided package wise NEFT UTR Nos. for all five packages of amount rs.23,600/-	1)Bidder has forwarded details of RTGS and same has been accepted.
5	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	1) UDIN No. to be provided and UDIN Number provided for Annual Turnover of 5 years and Net Worth of 5 years has to be reflected in UDIN Certificate in ICAI.	1)They have submitted the details of our Turnover for last 5 years & Net-worth for last year(as prescribed format Annex-III of the RFP Document) duly	1)Bidder has forwarded the details and the same have been accepted.

Ajay

Dr

Imz

M

			sealed and signed by their Auditor with UDIN No. 20058858AAAAA2333 and UDIN No. 19054958AAAABQ8050 respectively at Page No. 53 & 72 of submission of their Bid. Again, they hereby enclose the details of their Turnover & Net-Worth certificates for last 5 financial years duly sealed and signed by their Auditor for ready reference.	
6	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Kaushal sharma	1)Bidder to indicate the location where Bid securing declaration Certificate has been submitted.	1)In the File Name Fee the second page is Bid Security Declaration Certificate attached. They also submit the copy for same.	1)Bidder has indicated the details and the same have been accepted.

5. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation observed that Bidder namely M/s Joint Stock Company Industrial Association Vozrozhdenie, its country of incorporation is RUSSIAN Federation and as per RFP clause 2.1.12 (a) (iii) for work having Estimated Project Cost up to Rs.500 Crore, no International Bidder is eligible as individually or as a member of a Joint Venture. Therefore, committee declared it Technically **Non-Responsive**.

6. There was a complaint from one of the bidders regarding the Certificates of three work claimed by the bidder, M/s Tanor Engineering JV M/s Suman Construction in Annexure-IV. The same has been got verified from the issuing Authority. The certificate of one the work "Construction of 250.00m span RCC bridge over Disagapu river on Dimapur to Ganeshnagar road at 17.20 km." has been found false as per the letter forwarded from GM(P), Dimapur vide letter No.NHIDCL/PMU-Dim/MISC/Vol-IX/2020-21/327 dated 29.07.2020 and for other two certificates, verification is still awaited. As per clause 4.1 of RFP **"The Bidders and their respective officers, employees, agents and advisers shall observe the highest standard of ethics during the Bidding Process and subsequent to the issue of the LOA and during the subsistence of the Agreement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, or in the LOA or the Agreement, the Authority may reject a BID, withdraw the LOA, or terminate the Agreement, as the case may be, without being liable in any manner whatsoever to the Bidder, if it determines that the Bidder, directly or indirectly or through an agent, engaged in corrupt practice, fraudulent practice, coercive practice, undesirable practice or restrictive practice in the Bidding Process. In such an event, the Authority shall be entitled to debar the Selected Bidder from participating in the future projects of NHIDCL for a period of One year and forfeit & appropriate the Performance Security, as damages, without prejudice to any other right or remedy that may be available to the Authority under the Bidding Documents and/ or the Agreement, or otherwise.**



Further as per clause 2.6.2 the Authority reserves the right to reject any bid if a material misrepresentation is made or uncovered. As the bidder M/s Tanor Engineering JV M/s Suman Construction has submitted the forged document, hence, the bid of M/s Tanor Engineering JV M/s Suman Construction is rejected as per clause 4.1 of RFP and declared as Technically Non-Responsive. Further committee also recommended that action may be initiated against the bidder as per RFP.

7. The Evaluation Committee discussed in detail the submissions regarding category 3 in a single completed project of M/s Subhash Infraengineer Pvt. Ltd. and observed that widening/re-construction/ up-gradation work of roads in Municipal Corporation limits is to be considered in category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5, whereas the projects with the title of Sector/municipality road is to be considered in category 4. The bidder has claimed the road in municipal limit and as per the enclosed items of work executed, bidder has executed the concrete roads. Further the Municipality road and work under Municipal limit is not clearly defined, hence committee decided to give benefit of doubt to bidder and considered the project in category 3. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Subhash Infra engineers Pvt. Ltd. be considered technically **responsive** as they have qualified in other technical and financial parameters as per RFP.

8. In addition to above clarification, the details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 8(eight) bidders out of 9(nine) are enclosed as Annexure –A and it is observed by the committee that 6(six) bidders meet the Technical bid capacity, Single work, Financial threshold and bid capacity, hence, 6(six) out of 9(nine) bidders are declared as Technically responsive.

9. Committee also decided to incorporate the details of ongoing works of the technical responsive bidder with NHIDCL as per clause 2.1.15 in the recommendation.

10. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.


S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status	Ongoing Projects with NHIDCL
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	Technically Responsive	0
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
3.	M/s JDK COMSTRUCTIONS	Technically Non-Responsive	NA
4.	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive	0
5.	M/s Joint Stock Company Industrial Association Vozrozhdenie	Technically Non-Responsive	NA
6.	M/s PUNA HINDA	Technically Responsive	0
7.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
8.	M/s Tanor Engineering JV M/s Suman Construction.	Technically Non-Responsive	NA
9.	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Shri Kaushal sharma	Technically Responsive	0



11. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC), therefore recommends to open the financial bid of 6(six) bidders as under:

S. No.	Name of Bidders
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.
3.	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd
4.	M/s PUNA HINDA
5.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
6.	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Shri Kaushal sharma

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


(Ajay Ahluwalia)
(ED-I)
Convener


(A.K. Jha)
GM (T)
Member Secretary


(A.K. Singh)
GM (T)
Member


(Bhaskar Mallick)
Manager(Finance)
Member

ANNEXURE-A

Summary of Technical Evaluation					
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 58.95	Technical threshold capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) = Rs. 17.68 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of Minimum threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.4(i)) i.e. Rs. 35.37 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of Minimum threshold capacity as per clause 2.2.2.4(ii)) i.e. Rs. 11.79 Cr.
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	425.87	Yes (Rs 294.40 Cr)	NA	NA
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	501.45	Yes (Rs 174.57 Cr)	NA	NA
3.	M/s JDK COMSTRUCTIONS	0	No	NA	NA
4.	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd	222.89	Yes(Rs 30.68)	NA	NA
5.	M/s PUNA HINDA	405.99	Yes (Rs 164.56 Cr)	NA	NA
6.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	225.21	Yes (Rs 79.99 Cr)	NA	NA
7.	M/S Tanor Engineerin JV M/s Suman Construction	179.78	Yes (Rs 33.75 Cr)	Yes (Rs 130.84 Cr)	Yes (Rs 120.06 Cr)
8.	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Kaushal sharma	146.70	Yes (Rs 48.50 Cr)	Yes (Rs 90.27 Cr)	Yes (Rs 56.43 Cr)

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth required as per Clause 2.2.2.3(i) of Rs.5.89 Crores (in INR Crores)	Average Annual Turnover as per Clause 2.2.2.3(ii) of Rs.23.58 Crores (in INR Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	SE	-	35.17	348.93	Y
2.	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	62.40	228.24	Y
3.	M/s JDK COMSTRUCTIONS	SE	-	13.71	51.78	Y
4.	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd	SE	-	44.26	112.98	Y
5.	M/s PUNA HINDA	SE	-	156.3	121.44	Y
6.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	20.62	71.41	Y
7.	M/S TANOR ENGINEERING JV M/s Suman Construction	JV	65-35	Lead – 18.23 Other – 1.89	Lead – 33.82 Other – 15.16	Y
8.	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV Kaushal sharma	JV	60-40	Lead – 7.75 Other – 14.68	Lead – 44.13 Other – 34.83	Y

Ajaya

BN

Am

M

BID Capacity Package-3									
Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	C (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B+C (Rs. Cr.)
1	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	2016	1.20	708.33	850.00	1.5	835.56	0	2357.46
2	M/s Bhimji Velji Sorathia Const. Pvt. Ltd.	2016	1.15	265.45	305.27	1.5	253.21	0	891.54
3	M/s JDK COMSTRUCTIONS	2017	1.10	53.41	58.75	1.5	41.64	0	178.68
4	M/s Subhash Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd	2018	1.10	176.93	194.62	1.5	123.86	0	605.98
5	M/s PUNA HINDA	2018	1.05	154.65	162.38	1.5	0	5.53	614.46
6	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.10	104.06	114.47	1.5	285.58	0	143.67
7	M/S Tanor Engineering	2018	1.05	41.55	43.63	1.5	15.88	0	147.73
	M/s Suman Construction	2015	1.15	25.03	28.78	1.5	8.40	0	103.35
	Total								251.08
8	M/s Mayasheel Construction	2017	1.10	64.91	71.40	1.5	62.90	0	204.85
	M/s Kaushal sharma	2018	1.05	79.88	83.87	1.5	124.91	0	189.62
	Total								394.47

Ajay A

for [Signature] [Signature] [Signature]