

Reply to Pre-Bid queries

NHIDCL/CW/DK3/SlopeProtection/1840

Date: 10.10.2023

To,

All Respective Bidders

**Subject:** Slope protection works for the work of Construction of Four Lane Dimapur -Kohima Road from design km 152.490 to km 166.700 (Existing km 156.000 to km 172.900) Excluding Dimapur & Kohima Bypass, in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) contract Basis - **Reply to Pre-Bid queries.**

**Tender Id:**

Sir/Madam,

Please find herewith reply to pre-bid queries on the above mentioned subject as per details below:

Sl. No	Particulars/RFP/ DCA/ Schedules	Pre-Bid Queries	Reply
1	RFP Clause 1.1.2	<p>(i) In lines 7 to 11 states, "The scope of the work will broadly include rehabilitation, upgradation and augmentation of the existing carriageway to [two-lane with/without paved shoulders] standards with construction of new pavement, rehabilitation of existing pavement, construction and/or rehabilitation of major and minor bridges, culverts, road intersections, interchanges, drains, etc".</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify/correct the scope of work in clause 1.1.2 of the RFP.</p> <p>(ii) Six different Defect Liability Period / Maintenance Period have been given from serial (a) to (f).. It is requested to clarify which one of these is applicable in the ibid work for which this RFP has been called, as none of these from (a) to (f) co-relate with the proposed work.</p>	<p>(i)May refer Corrigendum-IV.</p> <p>(ii) May refer RFP Clause 1.1.1 and Corrigendum-IV.</p>
2	RFP Clause 1.2.3	Clause 1.2.3 of the RFP states that, the Feasibility Report / Detailed Project Report prepared by the Authority / Consultants of the Authority is also	May refer Corrigendum-IV

1

		enclosed as a preliminary reference document for assistance of the bidders.	
		The Feasibility Report / Detailed Project Report has not been found enclosed /attached or uploaded. It is requested to upload the same	
3	RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)(c)	<p>(i) Clause 2.2.2.2 Technical Capacity. This being a standalone specialized project, the period for demonstrating technical capacity and experience may please be increased to 10 years in sub clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) ( c ) and the updation factor in the table below clause 2.2.2.2 (iv) be modified accordingly. Similar changes may please be made in sub clauses 2.2.2.2 (i) and 2.2.2.2 (i) (a)</p> <p>(ii) The amount mentioned as Rs 78.82 Crores in line 4 of sub-clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (c) may please be amended to Rs 69.36 crores, being the amount put to tender as mentioned in NIT.</p>	<p>(i) As per RFP</p> <p>(ii) May refer Corrigendum-IV</p>
4	RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) 'For Normal Highway projects'	<p>(i) Demonstrating Additional Experience for specialized projects in the last 10 (Ten) years:</p> <p>The sub- paras (a) to (d) of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) on page 26 of RFP only lay down the requirements for Major Bridge / (ROB) / Flyover / Tunnel.</p> <p>It is requested to clarify or laydown the additional experience to be demonstrated for this specialized work of Slope Protection.</p> <p>(ii) The Slope protection work being a specialized work and altogether different, Sub-Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) having the heading "For normal Highway projects (including Major Bridges / ROB / Flyover / Tunnels", on page 25 of RFP may please be deleted altogether, the list of eligible projects given from (a) to (e) being not relevant to the proposed work and in no way demonstrate the capacity / eligibility to execute Slope Protection work.</p>	<p>(i) As per RFP. May refer Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)(c).</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP. May refer Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)(c).</p>
5	RFP Clause 2.2.2.5 (i)	Clause 2.2.2.5 Categories and Factors for Evaluation of Technical Capacity. The whole clause as given in the RFP from pages 28 and 29, together with clause 2.2.2.6 pertains to highway construction projects. These may please be suitably modified to pertain to Standalone Slope	<p>As per RFP.</p> <p>Provisions mentioned in RFP Clause 2.2.2.5(i) shall be read along with</p>



		Protection works.	RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 iii(c)
6	RFP Section 7 Para 5.1(c)	<i>In the Data Sheet para 5.1 (c) the amount of 39.41 against Slope Protection works may please be corrected to 34.68 crores being 50% of Estimated Project Cost.</i>	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
7	Schedule-A Para 1.4	<p>Schedules: The following observations in the Schedules uploaded along with the RFP may please be clarified.</p> <p><b>Schedule – A: Site of the Project.</b> Paragraph 1.4 states that the proposed alignment plans of the Project Highway are specified in Annex-III, which has to be followed by the Contractor as a minimum. The Contractor may, however, improve upon the alignment plans and profile and raise the Finished Roadway Level (FRL) with approval from the Authority's Engineer within the available Right of Way.</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify the relevance of this clause with respect to the proposed Slope Protection work.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
8	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 3	<p>(i) Para 3. Reconstruction of Damaged Stretches: It is stated, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for reconstruction of all defects of work executed by earlier EPC Contractors for such works for which NCR was already issued for such defects".</p> <p>The paragraph 2 prior to paragraph 3, gives the details of various works which have been already completed, by the previous Contractor.</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify, if the Contractor for the ibid Slope Protection work shall be responsible for reconstruction of all defects of the work of Subgrade, GSB, WMM, DBM etc. executed by the previous highway EPC Contractors, for which NCR was already issued.</p> <p>(ii) <i>Sub-Paragraph 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 of Annexure-1 to Schedule A. The relevance of these sub-paragraphs on reconstruction of damaged stretches of DBM, WMM and GSB may please be elaborated</i></p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV



9	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 4	Para 4 : Land. It is requested to confirm that 45m Right of Way (ROW) is available to execute the Slope protection works.	As per RFP/DCA.  RoW indicated is for execution of road work and slope protection works.
10	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 4	Para 6: Major Bridges. It is requested to clarify / confirm the line below the table-  "Balance Bridge work also shall be fully undertaken for completion in all respects by the new Contractor".	May please refer Corrigendum-IV.
11	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 9 & Para 12	Para 9 and 12: Minor Bridges and Culverts Respectively.  Similar clarification as for Major Bridges may please be given	May refer Corrigendum-IV
12	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 15	Para 15: Road Side Drains. It is mentioned that, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for the rectification of defects and maintenance for such works including the portion or part of the work done earlier by M/s OASIS Projects Ltd."  It is requested to kindly clarify / confirm, this is in the Scope of the proposed Slope Protection work.	May refer Corrigendum-IV
13	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 19	Para 19: Other Structure Details.  (g1) The location of other structure / Land slide are given as NIL in the table. Please confirm there are no land Slide areas in the project Site.	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
14	Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 19	Para 19: Other Structure Details.  Breast wall, Gabion wall and Retaining Wall Already Constructed.  The table with serial 1 to 151, gives details of 7759m of the said structures already constructed. It is mentioned below the table, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for the reconstruction/rectification of defects and maintenance for such works including the portion or part of the work done earlier by M/s OASIS Projects Ltd". It is requested to please clarify / confirm that, what is given in "Remarks" Column	May refer Corrigendum-IV.

4

		of the table in Paragraph 19 is within the scope of the work envisaged in the ibidRFP dated 25.05.2023, for slope protection work.	
15	<b>Schedule-A/ Annex-1 /Para 20</b>	<p>Para 20 of Annex-I of Schedule A. The Schedule A and its Annexure-I, is to describe the site of the project. The ibid project is of Slope Protection works.</p> <p>It is requested to kindly describe the site as related to the work of Slope Protection in Annexure-I to Schedule A, i.e., the lengths and locations of sinking, sliding areas and slopes prone to slides in the project stretch in Annexure – I to Schedule A rather than the location of bridges and culverts etc.</p>	Being an EPC Contract, Contactor has to carry out geotechnical investigation and prepare the design & drawings. Bidders have to quote as per their own survey.
16	<b>Schedule-B Para 1 Para 2 Para 3</b>	<p>Schedule B: Development of the Project Highway.</p> <p>Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of the Schedule B State - "Development of the Project Highway (including its design and Construction), Upgradation to 4 lane highway and Specifications and Standards".</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify the relevance of the above with the proposed Slope Protection work.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
17	<b>Schedule-B Annexure-I</b>	<p>Annex-I (SCHEDULE-B). The heading is "Description of Four Laning".</p> <p>It is requested to kindly correct the same.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
18	<b>Schedule-C Project Facilities</b>	Schedule C: Project Facilities. It is requested to confirm that the project facilities mentioned there in of Road side furniture, Pedestrian facilities etc have to be provided, or else the same may please be deleted.	May refer Corrigendum-IV
19	<b>Schedule-D Specifications and Standards</b>	<p>Schedule D: (Specifications and Standards) and its Annex-1 (Specifications and Standards for Construction).</p> <p>It is requested to delete or amend the same as the two presently give the Specifications and Standards for Construction of highway and not for Slope Protection. The relevant manuals of Specifications and Standards for Slope Protection works may also please quoted.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV



20	<b>Schedule-E</b>	<p>Schedule E: Maintenance Requirements and its Annex 1 (Repair/Rectification of Defects and Deficiencies)</p> <p>It is requested to delete or amend the same as the two presently uploaded along with the RFP, pertain to construction of highway (Pavement, bridges, Culverts, lighting, project facilities etc.) and not to Slope Protection works</p>	As per Schedule-E
21	<b>Schedule-I</b> <b>Annexure-1</b>	<p>Annex – 1 to Schedule I: List of Drawings.</p> <p>It is requested to amend the same as per the drawings required for the proposed Slope Protection work and the non-relevant aspects of Bridge, Road (Plan &amp; Profile) etc may please be deleted.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
22	<b>Schedule-K</b> <b>Tests on Completion</b>	<p>Schedule K: Tests on Completion</p> <p>It is requested to amend the same as per the tests required for Slope Protection work and the non – relevant aspects of pavement, bridge , culverts etc may . please be deleted. Similarly para 5 of Schedule K – Tests to be carried out by the Authority's Engineer may please be amended.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
23	<b>Schedule-L</b>	<p>Schedule L: Completion Certificate</p> <p>The language of the same may please be amended as per the proposed Slope Protection work.</p>	As per Schedule-L
24	<b>Schedule-M</b>	<p>Schedule M: Payment Reduction for Non – Compliance</p> <p>The same may please be amended/corrected as for the proposed work of Slope Protection. The current Schedule M, uploaded with the RFP, pertains to carriageway/ Pavement / Road /Bridges / Culverts etc.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
25	<b>Schedule-Q</b>	<p>Schedule Q: Tests on Completion of Maintenance Period.</p> <p>The current Schedule uploaded with RFP mention Riding Quality Tests etc. The same may please be deleted or amended as the tests mentioned there - in are not relevant to the proposed Slope</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV



		Protection work.	
26	<b>Schedule-J</b>	<p>Schedule J: Project Completion Schedule.</p> <p>The same may please be amended so as to be relevant to the ibid Slope Protection works and the aspects which are not relevant like – Construction of project Highway, Construction of all bridges, Construction of project facilities etc for achievement of Mile Stone I, II and III respectively may please be deleted.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
27	<b>DCA</b> <b>Article 2</b>	<p>Article 2 : Scope of the Project</p> <p>The same may please be amended for Slope Protection work. The current one in the uploaded DCA with RFP gives the scope for construction and maintenance of Project Highway</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
28	<b>DCA</b> <b>Article 3 and</b> <b>Article 4</b>	<p>Article 3 and 4: Obligations of the Authority and Obligations of the Contractor.</p> <p>The two Articles may please be amended for the proposed Slope Protection work and the non – relevant clauses may please be deleted.</p>	<p>As per DCA.</p> <p>Clauses may be read in respect to slope protection works mentioned in the subject work as per scope of work defined in Schedules.</p>
29	<b>DCA</b> <b>Article 8</b>	<p>Article 8: Right of Way:</p> <p>The Right of way and Construction zone for this Slope Protection work may please be explicitly elucidated.</p>	<p>As per DCA.</p> <p>RoW of 45 m is for 4-lane highway and slope protection works along hill slopes.</p>
30	<b>DCA</b> <b>Article 10</b>	<p>Article 10: Design and Construction of the Project Highway.</p> <p>The Article may please be amended / modified, as per the proposed work of Slope Protection, especially Clause 10.3 (Construction of the Project Highway) and Clause 10.4 (Maintenance during Construction Period).</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV



7

31	DCA Article 14 Article 15	Article 14 and Article 15: Maintenance.  The two Articles may please be amended/modified as per the proposed Slope Protection work. The Articles in the uploaded DCA, do not cover any aspect of the proposed Slope Protection work.	As per DCA.  May refer DCA Clause 14.1 (e) for slope protection works
32	DCA Article 16	Article 16 : Traffic Regulation  It may please be confirmed that the regulation of traffic shall be the responsibility of the main EPC Contractor Constructing the 4 lane highway and the Contractor executing the Slope Protection works is required to only coordinate with the highway Contractor.	May refer Corrigendum-IV
33	DCA Article 17.1	Article 17: Defects Liability  The Article 17 in the DCA uploaded with RFP does not cover "Slope Protection works". It is requested to clarify the same. Accordingly Clauses 17.2 to 17.4 may please be amended.	May refer Corrigendum-IV
34	DCA Article 19.1(ii)	Article 19: Payments  (a) It is requested to clarify if the Contract price is to also include the cost of shifting of obstructing utilities, as elsewhere in Schedule B1 it is mentioned that the shifting of utilities and felling of trees shall be carried out by the concerned department. The cost of the same shall be borne by the concerned department.	Shifting of utilities and felling of trees shall be carried out by the concerned department. The cost of the same shall be borne by the concerned department. (As mentioned in Schedule-B)
35	DCA Article 19.5 Article 19.6	Also, the units for measurement for payment of maintenance as per Clauses 19.5 and 19.6 may please be clarified	As per DCA and Corrigendum-IV
36	DCA Article 19.10	Clause 19.10: Price Adjustment for works  The table giving the percentages that shall govern the price adjustment of the Contract does not have any column for Slope Protection works. The same may please be included.	As per DCA.  Column specified for Major Bridges and structures will be followed for slope protection works.

37	RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)	<p>We request you to kindly change the criteria for similar in last 5(five) financial year to last 7 (seven) financial year as MoRTH and their implementing agencies have also floated the work of Slope protection recently wherein they have kept the criteria as last 10 (Ten) financial year. Some of the RFP's are attached hereby for your reference:</p> <p>(i) Slope Protection and Landslide Mitigation at 8 Vulnerable Locations (km 3.75 to km 3.90 km 8.21 to km 8.36, km 11.393 to km 11.628, km 33.35 to km 33.70, km 38.95 to 39.220, to km 44.903 to km 45.078, km 48.490 to km 48.560 and km 49.310 to km 49.570) within Sevoke-Rangpo Section of NH-10 (old NH-31A) in the district of Kalimpong in West Bengal – Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) Copy enclosed</p> <p>(ii) Slope Stabilization Scheme at location of landslide at km 52.240 km of NH-110 (Old NH-55) at Tindharia, West Bengal &amp; Permanent Restoration of damaged Road bench at km 42.80 of NH -110 (Old NH-55) under NH Division-IX in the district of Darjeeling West Bengal – Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) – Copy enclosed.</p> <p>(iii) Slope Protection and Landslide Mitigation at 5 Vulnerable Locations (km 6.20 to km 6.95 (Hill and Valley side), km 7.10 to km 7.25, km 21.40 to km 21.65, km 22.20 to km 22.300) within Sevoke-Rangpoo Section of NH-10 (old NH-31A) in the district of Kalimpong in West Bengal – Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) – Copy enclosed</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
38	RFP Clause 1.1.2	<p>(i) Clause 1.1.2 of the RFP in lines 7 to 11 states, "The scope of the work will broadly include rehabilitation, upgradation and augmentation of the existing carriageway to [two-lane with/ without paved shoulders] standards with construction of new pavement, rehabilitation of existing pavement, construction and/or rehabilitation of major and minor bridges, culverts, road intersections, interchanges, drains, etc".</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify/correct the scope of work in clause 1.1.2 of the RFP.</p> <p>(ii) Under Clause 1.1.2, six different Defect</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV




		Liability Period / Maintenance Period have been given from serial (a) to (f) It is requested to clarify which one of these is applicable in the ibid work for which this RFP has been called, as none of these from (a) to (f) co- relate with the proposed work	
39	<b>RFP Clause 1.1.3</b>	<p>Clause 1.2.3 of the RFP states that, the Feasibility Report / Detailed Project Report prepared by the Authority / Consultants of the Authority is also enclosed as a preliminary reference document for assistance of the bidders.</p> <p>The Feasibility Report / Detailed Project Report has not been found enclosed / attached or uploaded. It is requested to upload the same.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV
40	<b>RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(i)</b>	This being a standalone specialized project, the period for demonstrating technical capacity and experience may please be increased to 10 years in sub clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) ( c ) and the updation factor in the table below clause 2.2.2.2 (iv) be modified accordingly. Similar changes may please be made in sub clauses 2.2.2.2 (i) and 2.2.2.2 (i) (a)	May refer Corrigendum-IV
41	<b>RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(ii)</b>	<p>(i) Demonstrating Additional Experience for specialized projects in the last 10 (Ten) years: The sub- paras (a) to (d) of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) on page 26 of RFP only lay down the requirements for Major Bridge / (ROB) / Flyover / Tunnel.</p> <p>It is requested to clarify or laydown the additional experience to be demonstrated for this specialized work of Slope Protection.</p> <p>(ii) The Slope protection work being a specialized work and altogether different, Sub Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) having the heading "For normal Highway projects (including Major Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Tunnels)", on page 25 of RFP may please be deleted altogether, the list of eligible projects given from (a) to (e) being not relevant to the proposed work and in no way demonstrate the capacity / eligibility to execute Slope Protection work.</p> <p>(iii) The amount mentioned as Rs 78.82 Crores in line 4 of sub-clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (c) may please be amended to Rs 69.36 crores, being the amount put</p>	May refer RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(iii)(c) for specialized slope protection works as per Corrigendum-III.



		to tender as mentioned in NIT.	
42	<b>Schedule-A Para 1</b>	Paragraph 1.4 states that the proposed alignment plans of the Project Highway are specified in Annex-III, which has to be followed by the Contractor as a minimum. The Contractor may, however, improve upon the alignment plans and profile and raise the Finished Roadway Level (FRL) with approval from the Authority's Engineer within the available Right of Way. It is requested to kindly clarify the relevance of this clause with respect to the proposed Slope Protection work.	May refer Corrigendum-IV
43	<b>Schedule-A Annex-1, Para 3</b>	<p>Para 3. Reconstruction of Damaged Stretches: It is stated, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for reconstruction of all defects of work executed by earlier EPC Contractors for such works for which NCR was already issued for such defects". The paragraph 2 prior to paragraph 3, gives the details of various works which have been already completed, by the previous Contractor.</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify, if the Contractor for the ibid Slope Protection work shall be responsible for reconstruction of all defects of the work of Subgrade, GSB, WMM, DBM etc. executed by the previous highway EPC Contractors, for which NCR was already issued.</p>	<p>May refer Corrigendum-IV.</p> <p>EPC Contractor engaged for roadworks shall be responsible for reconstruction of defects in roadworks executed by earlier EPC Contractors.</p>
44	<b>Schedule-A Annex-1, Para 3</b>	Sub-Paragraph 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 of Annexure-1 to Schedule A. The relevance of these sub-paragraphs on reconstruction of damaged stretches of DBM, WMM and GSB may please be elaborated.	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
45	<b>Schedule-A Annex-1, Para 4</b>	Para 4: Land. It is requested to confirm that 45m Right of Way (ROW) is available to execute the Slope protection works.	RoW of 45 m is for 4-lane highway and slope protection works along hill slopes.
46	<b>Schedule-A Annex-1, Para 6</b>	Para 6: Major Bridges. It is requested to clarify / confirm the line below the table- "Balance Bridge work also shall be fully undertaken for completion in all respects by the new Contractor".	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
47	<b>Schedule-A/Annex-1, Para 9 and Para 12</b>	Para 9 and 12: Minor Bridges and Culverts Respectively. Similar clarification as for Major Bridges may please be given.	May refer Corrigendum-IV.

48	<b>Schedule-A</b> <b>Annex-1, Para 15</b>	<p>Para 15: Road Side Drains. It is mentioned that, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for the rectification of defects and maintenance for such works including the portion or part of the work done earlier by M/s OASIS Projects Ltd."</p> <p>It is requested to kindly clarify / confirm, this is in the Scope of the proposed Slope Protection work</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
49	<b>Schedule-A</b> <b>Annex-1, Para 19</b>	<p>(g) Para 19: Other Structure Details.</p> <p>(g1) The location of other structure / Land slide are given as NIL in the table.</p> <p>Please confirm there are no land Slide areas in the project Site.</p> <p>(g2) Breast wall, Gabion wall and Retaining Wall Already Constructed. The table with serial 1 to 151, gives details of 7759m of the said structures already constructed. It is mentioned below the table, "The new Contractor shall be fully responsible for the reconstruction/rectification of defects and maintenance for such works including the portion or part of the work done earlier by M/s OASIS Projects Ltd".</p> <p>It is requested to please clarify / confirm that, what is given in "Remarks" Column of the table in Paragraph 19 is within the scope of the work envisaged in the ibid RFP dated 25.05.2023, for slope protection work.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
50	<b>Schedule-A</b> <b>Annex-1, Para 20</b>	<p>Para 20 of Annex-I of Schedule A. The Schedule A and its Annexure-I, is to describe the site of the project. The ibid project is of Slope Protection works.</p> <p>It is requested to kindly describe the site as related to the work of Slope Protection in Annexure-I to Schedule A, i.e., the lengths and locations of sinking, sliding areas and slopes prone to slides in the project stretch in Annexure – I to Schedule A rather than the location of bridges and culverts etc.</p>	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
51	<b>RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(ii)</b>	Qualification requirements of Bidders (ii) For normal Highway projects (including Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyovers/ Tunnels); Provided that at least	May refer RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(iii)(c) for specialized slope



		<p>one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 13.87 Cr.] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.</p> <p>With reference to this clause and scope of work, as its specialized work, so we understood that experience in Slope stabilization works with required amount are qualified. Kindly clarify.</p>	protection works as per Corrigendum-III.
52	<b>RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(ii)</b>	The Sole Bidder or in case the Bidder being a Joint Venture, any member of JV shall have completed at least one slope protection project in the last 5 (Five) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, consisting of standalone project of at least 50 % cost of the amount put to tender of Rs. 78.82 Crores.	May refer Corrigendum-IV.
53	<b>DCA</b>	With the reference to Schedule of above mentioned tender, in which we found that following documents are not available: - Drawings & Geological report are not available in the tender document So, Kindly provide the same. Secondly, Kindly Provide the Location and Capacity of Dumping yard & Stacking Yard.	Being an EPC contract, bidders are requested to carry out their own geotechnical investigation and make own arrangements for dumping & stacking yard. Being are requested quote accordingly.
54	<b>Site Visit</b>	We would like to say that we want to visit the site for analyze & evaluating the Scope of work for bidding the tender So, we request you to kindly provide the contact detail of concerned person for site visit.	May contact GM(P) PMU-Dimapur for site visit.  Contact number: 8600993139
55	<b>RFP</b>	The requirement of amount for being technically qualified is more than the estimated cost of the project	May refer Corrigendum-IV
56	<b>RFP</b>	Slope Protection Work is a new age construction technique for soil stabilization and such a steep requirement over the past 5 years is difficult to be fulfilled.	As per Corrigendum-IV

57	Schedule-A	The project also includes other works viz., breast walls, GSB, WMM and undoubtedly slope protection works and qualification criteria based on slope protection works only is "unjustified	May refer Corrigendum-IV for revised Schedules.
----	------------	---	---

2. For necessary information.

Yours Sincerely

(Ashok Kumar Jha)  
General Manager (Tech)