

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
प्रथम तल, टावर ए, वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली-110029,

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India

First Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, Tel: +91 11 26768950, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Procurement/Assam/2023-24/ 229226/ 3637

Date: 21.11.2024

To,

All the Prospective Bidders

Subject: Independent Engineer services for supervision of Construction of 4 (Four) Lane of Karimganj - Sutarkandi section of NH-37 from Design Chainage 0.020 (Start of proposed Karimganj Bypass from NH-8) to Km. 14.380 (Sutarkandi) section of NH-8 in the state of Assam on Hybrid Annuity Mode” Package: VII (Project Length - 14.36km)- **Result of Technical Evaluation reg.**
(Tender ID: 2024_NHIDC_801134 _1)

Please refer to your bids submitted for the subject cited work. Following is the result of Technical Evaluation:

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						Technically Responsive/ Non-Responsive
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignments	Technology for road inspection	Qualifications & Relevant experience of the key personnel	Total marks	
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100	
1/19	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Projects claimed by the bidder for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the bidder has claimed projects of 2 lane, which are less than Rs. 3 Crore and as per clause 15 (A), only those 2 lane projects whose cost of consultancy services was more than Rs. 3.0 Crores will be considered with multiplication factor of 0.4 for projects executed in JV/Association for meeting the experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (A) & (B) of Section-1 of RFP.				Non-Responsive
2/19	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited	The bidder is debarred by NHAI vide Debarment Order dated 24.10.2024. Hence, the bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.				Non-Responsive
3/19	M/s G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited	The bidder is debarred by NHAI vide Debarment Order dated 24.10.2024. Hence, the bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.				Non-Responsive
4/19	M/s Geo Designs and Research Private Limited	38.50	20.00	35.61	94.11	Responsive
5/19	M/s Manglam Infra & Engineering Limited (Formerly known as Manglam Associates) in JV with M/s Ceoma Consulting Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	Projects claimed by the other member of JV for meeting the criteria experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. Also, both the members of JV are not meeting the minimum required criteria of having experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category. As the members have not submitted any sharing details for projects executed in JV/Association in the past experience. The experience-share is to be divided in accordance with their payment ratio.				Non-Responsive


		<p>Bidder has claimed certain 2-lane projects experience whose cost was less than Rs. 3.0 Crore and as per clause 15 (A), only those 2 lane projects whose cost of consultancy services was more than Rs. 3.0 Crores will be considered with multiplication factor of 0.4.</p> <p>The bidder is not having minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category and experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category.</p> <p>Also, the bidder is not meeting the requirement of having at least one project of similar category of two/four/six** laning work of length equal to 40% of project length. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (A) & (B) of Section-1 of RFP</p>				
6/19	M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Ayoleeza Consultants Private Limited	37.00	19.50	30.70	87.20	Responsive
7/19	M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s SEG Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	28.00	20.00	33.21	81.21	Responsive
8/19	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited (A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of Almondz Global Securities Limited)	The bidder is debarred from NHAI vide Debarment Order no. 136 dated 06.11.2024. Hence, the bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.				Non-Responsive
9/19	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Synergy Engineers Group Pvt Ltd	38.00	20.00	36.04	94.04	Responsive
10/19	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	39.00	20.00	36.40	95.40	Responsive
11/19	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Mav Associates LLP	39.00	20.00	36.19	95.19	Responsive
12/19	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation	Projects claimed by the other member of JV for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the bidder has not submitted any sharing details for projects executed in JV/Association for meeting the experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (A) & (B) of Section-1 of RFP.				Non-Responsive
13/19	M/s Aicons Engineering Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Torchbearer	37.75	20.00	36.27	94.02	Responsive
14/19	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	38.00	20.00	29.74	87.74	Responsive
15/19	M/s Global Infra Solutions in Association with M/s Innovative Engineering Advisory LLP	39.00	20.00	36.50	95.50	Responsive
16/19	MSPARK Futuristics & Associates in association with M/s Data Technosys (Engineers) Pvt. Ltd.	The bidder is debarred from NHAI vide debarment order no. 24911 dated 08.11.2024. Hence, the bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.				Non-Responsive

dh

2/4

17/19	M/s Lea Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	30.00	20.00	36.84	86.84	Responsive
18/19	M/s EDMAC Engineering Consultant (I) Pvt. Ltd. In JV with Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s P. K. Engineers	The bidder is debarred by NHAI vide Debarment Order dated 24.10.2024. Hence, the bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.				Non-Responsive
19/19	M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Projects claimed by the both the members of JV for meeting the criteria under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the bidder has not submitted any sharing details for projects executed in JV/Association for meeting the experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category and experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer of 2/4/6 laning project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category. Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 15 (A) & (B) of Section-1 of RFP.				Non-Responsive

2. Score achieved by the responsive bidder(s) are given above in the different heads. Reasons for non-responsive bidder(s) are also given in the above table. In case bidder(s) have any objections, they may submit within representation within 07 days (i.e. 27.11.2024 upto 1500 hrs) and financial bids shall be opened on 28.11.2024 (1500 hrs).


 (Naveen Kumar Jain)
 Dy. General Manager (T)
 Assam-III Division

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
4/19	M/s GEO DESIGNS AND RESEARCH PRIVATE LIMITED	i. Bidder is not having maximum experience of completed DPR projects having 40% length. ii. Proposed BSE is not having maximum years of experience in handling highway/bridge projects. Proposed SPS is not having PPP projects.
6/19	M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s AYOLEEZA CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED	i. 14 Highway professionals are found to be working with the firm and firm have only 3 projects of 500 mtr structures. ii. Proposed TL is not having maximum experience as similar capacity of project preparation including design and also not having maximum experience in O&M of major highway. Proposed SQME is currently working in NHIDCL. Proposed RSE is not having minimum experience of blackspots.
7/19	M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s SEG Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	i. None of the highway professional has been found to be working with the firm and only 02 ongoing DPR projects have been found. ii. Proposed BSE is not having experience in supervision of major highway bridges/ROB/Flyover and also not having experience of rehabilitation and repair. Proposed SPS is not having maximum experience in major highway as similar capacity in innovative/non-traditional technology and design. Proposed SQME is not having minimum experience in handling highway projects. Proposed RSE is not having maximum experience in handling highway projects and also not having minimum experience of preparing road safety management plans for inter and urban highway.
9/19	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Synergy Engineers Group Pvt Ltd	i. 19 Highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm. ii. Proposed TL is not having maximum experience of PPP projects. Proposed SPS is not having maximum experience of pavement design/construction & maintenance of highway and not having minimum experience of PPP projects.
10/19	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	i. 22 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm. ii. Proposed RSE is not having post-graduation degree.
11/19	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s MAV ASSOCIATES LLP	i. 24 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm, 3 DPR projects having 40% length have been found. ii. Proposed SPS is not having maximum experience of pavement design/construction & maintenance of highway/roads and not having PPP projects having 40% length.
13/19	M/s AICONS ENGINEERING PVT. LTD. In association with M/s Torchbearer	i. Average annual turnover of the firm is less than 3% of the TPC and 13 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm. ii. Proposed RE is not having maximum experience of PPP projects having 40% length. Proposed BSE is not having maximum experience in rehabilitation & repair, also not having maximum experience in modern bridge construction technology viz., Precast Segmental, Balanced Cantilever Construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full Span Launching, Incremental Launching. Proposed SQME is not having maximum experience as similar capacity having 40% length. Proposed RSE is not having maximum experience in road safety works.
14/19	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	i. 16 highway professionals are working with the firm. ii. Proposed RE is not having minimum experience as RE/PM/SE/EE on construction/supervision projects and not having minimum experience of PPP projects. Proposed SPS is already working in NHIDCL on another project. Proposed RSE is not having maximum experience in identification and improvement of black spots.
15/19	M/s Global Infra Solutions in Association with M/s Innovative Engineering Advisory LLP	i. Three projects having structures of 500 mtr have been found. ii. Proposed TL is not having maximum years in highway development projects.
17/19	M/s Lea Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	i. None of the highway professional is found to be working with the firm. ii. The proposed BSE is not having maximum years of experience in similar capacity in Design/Construction/Construction Supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges/any other such structures and also, the KP is not having maximum number of projects in similar capacity in modern bridge construction technology. Proposed SPS is not having maximum years of experience as similar capacity in construction/supervision projects and not having maximum experience in innovative/non-traditional technology and design.



4/4