राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार प्रथम तल, टावर ए, वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली–110029,

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India

First Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, Tel: +91 11 26768950, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

NHIDCL/Procurement/Assam/2023-24/

(A Government of India Enterprise) Date: 01.10.2024

Sær

To,

All Bidders

Sub: Independent Engineer services for supervision of Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 25.633 Km to Ch. 52.470 Km (Design Ch.21.850 Km to Ch.48.670 Km) for Package-2 of Bilasipura- Guwahati Road (NH-17) (Section: Near Mowatari, before Chapar Bypass to Tulungia (junction with NH-117)) in the state of Assam on Hybrid Annuity Mode- **Technical Evaluation Result- Reg.**

Ref: RFP no. NHIDCL/Assam/NH-17/HAM/IE/Bil-Ghy/Pkg-2/2024 dated 15.03.2024 Tender ID:2024_NHIDC_801011_1

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation:

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant Relevant experience for assignments	levant Material Testing, Qualifications Survey & & Relevant ience for Investigation		Total marks	Technically Responsive / Non- Responsive
8	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100	1
i.	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Synergy Engineers Group Pvt Ltd	38.00	20.00	36.68	94.68	Responsive
ii.	M/S Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited In Association With M/S Innovative Engineering Advisory LLP	37.00	20.00	36.52	93.52	Responsive
	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Shree Bhawani Consultancy Service Pvt Ltd	37.00	20.00	35.80	92.80	Responsive
iv.	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited		20.00	34.77	92.27	Responsive
	M/s Aicons Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s	37.75	20.00	~ . 30.99	88.74	Responsive







	Summary C	or evaluation of	Technical Propos Material Testing,	Qualifications		Technically	
S. No.	Name of the Firm experience		Relevant Survey & & Relevant berience for Investigation, Equipment and the key		Total marks	Responsive / Non-	
			Software	personnel		Responsive	
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100		
	Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s TORCHBEARER	p		•	-		
vi.	M/s Geo Designs and Research Private Limited	50 100	20.00	29.99	85.99	Responsive	
vii.	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited in Association with M/s JS Environics Consultants Pvt. Ltd.		20.00	36.40	85.90	Responsive	
viii.	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s MAV Associates LLP	29.00	20.00	35.47	84.47	Responsive	
ix.	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited (A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of Almondz Global Securities Limited)	27.50	20.00	36.50	84.00	Responsive	
Х.	M/s Global Infra Solutions in Association with M/s M S Consultant	27.75	20.00	36.19	83.94	Responsive	
xi.	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants P Ltd in JV with M/s Yongma Engineering Co Ltd in Association with M/s Cho & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	the criteria und as the bidder h less than Rs. 3 2 lane projects more than Rs multiplication JV/Association of detailed P Preliminary De aggregate leng category. Hence non-responsive RFP.	as a close cost of ce s. 3.0 crores wi factor of 0.4 for for meeting the e roject Report/ esign Report of th equal to 2 tir ce, the bidder is as per clause 15	 (B) are not considered to the construction of 2 lane, we change of 2 lane, we change of 2 lane, we change of 2 lane, we considered to considered teo (A) & (B) of Section (B) and (B) a	iderable hich are ily those ces was ed with cuted in paration dy cum oject of f similar chnically tion-1 of	Technically Non- Responsive	
xii.	M/s G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited	more than Rs	Technically Non- Responsive				

Laws

	Summary		Technical Propos			Technically
		Relevant	Material Testing,	Qualifications	ъ. ¹	
S. No.	Name of the Firm		Survey &	& Relevant	Total	Responsive
3. 140.	Name of the Firm	experience for	Investigation,	experience of	marks	1 ·
		assignments	Equipment and	the key	marito	Non-
			Software	personnel		Responsive
	Maximum Marka	40			100	
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100	
2		RFP.	e as per clause 15	., .,		-
		Projects claim	ed by the bidder	for meeting the	e criteria	
•		under clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the				
		bidder has not submitted any sharing details for projects				
		executed in JV	Association in the	e past experienc	A	
		Ridder has cla	aimed certain 2-la	ana projecte evi	norionco	
			s less than Rs. 3.0			
	M/s Manglam Infra 8	15 (A), only	those 2 lane p	rojects whose	cost of	
	Engineering Limited		rvices was more t		s will be	
	• •	considered wit	h multiplication fa	ctor of 0.4.		
	(Formerly known as	The bidder is	s not having m	inimum experie	ence of	
iii.	Manglam Associates) in	preparation of	detailed Project F	Report/ Feasibili	v Study	Technically
		cum Prolimina	y Design Report	of 2/1/6 laning -	roigot of	Non-
	Sparsh Engineering Co.					Responsive
	Pvt. Ltd. in Associatin		th equal to 2 tir			
	with M/s RVA Infratech		experience of			
	Pvt. Ltd	Independent E	Ingineer/ Authori	ty's Engineer	of 2/4/6	
			of aggregate leng			
		more of similar				
		for a second sec	r is not meeting th	o roquiromont o	fhouing	
	• * .					
			pject of similar ca			а. А.
			length equal to			· · · ·
		Hence, the bidder is considered technically non-				
		responsive as p	per clause 15 (A) 8	(B) of Section-1	of RFP.	
			aimed by the bidde			
	M/s Avoleeza	Ayoleezaunder clause 15 (A) & (B) are not considerable as the				
	Consultants Private	other member of	of JV has not subm	itted any charing	dotaile	
	Limited in IV with M/s	for projecto o	voluted in IV/A	niceu any sharing	JUCIAIIS	
v.	Limited in JV with M/s					Technically
	Agnitio Infrastructure	experience of	preparation of d	letalled Project	Report/	Non-
			ly cum Preliminar			Responsive
			of aggregate leng			responsive
			category. Hence,			
			-responsive as pe			
		Section-1 of RF				
		the second data and the se	nber of JV has	claimed the t	chnical	
			M/s Bari Zambia			
	M/s K & J Projects Pvt.					Technically
	Ltd. in JV with M/s Bari					Non-
	India Pvt. Ltd.	in technical/fina	ancial evaluation of	of the bidder. He	nce, the	Responsive
			dered technically			
· ·			(B) of Section-1 o		P.e.	•
			s not submitted		aile for	
	M/s Mspark Futuristics &	projects exect	uted in JV/Ass	ociation in th	e past	
Associates in IV with M/s experience. The bloder is not having minimum experience					Technically	
xvi. Armenge Engineering of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility				Technically		
Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 Janing					Non-	
		project of agor	egate length equa	I to 2 times or	more of	Responsive
			y and experience			
2			ngineer/ Authorit			
				LIC LOADOOR		

Sa

	Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals						
S. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for	Material Testing, Survey &	Qualifications & Relevant	Total	Technically Responsive /	
		assignments	Investigation, Equipment and Software	experience of the key personnel	marks	Non- Responsive	
	Maximum Marks	40	20	40	100	•	
		laning project more of similar the requiremen category of tw 40% of project	of aggregate len r category. Also, t nt of having atlea o/four/six** laning t length. Hence, t n-responsive as p	gth equal to 3 he bidder is not st one project o work of length he bidder is co	times or meeting of similar equal to nsidered		
xvii.	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited in JV with M/s Upham International Corporation	The other men clause 15 (A) & not submitted a JV/Association of detailed F Preliminary De aggregate leng category. Hend	nber of JV for me (B) are not consider any sharing details for meeting the e Project Report/ esign Report of gth equal to 2 tin ce, the bidder is a sper clause 15	derable as the bi s for projects exe xperience of pre Feasibility Stud 2/4/6 laning pr mes or more of considered teo	dder has ecuted in eparation dy cum roject of f similar chnically	Technically Non- Responsive	
xviii.	M/s ISAN Corporation in JV with M/s DSD Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Mayrush Engineering Consultants Private Limited	clause 15 (A) & not submitted a JV/Association of detailed F Preliminary De aggregate leng category and Independent E laning project more of similar not meeting the average turnov preceding two	th equal to 2 tir experience of aggregate leng category. Also, th e minimum requir ver of last 5 ye year the firm sh	lerable as the bid for projects exe xperience of pre Feasibility Stud 2/4/6 laning pr nes or more of Project Supe ty's Engineer gth equal to 3 the e other member ement of having ars or in each could have cons	dder has ecuted in paration dy cum oject of f similar ervision/ of 2/4/6 times or of JV is g annual of the sultancy	Technically Non- Responsive	
		Cost. Hence, tl	to or more than ne bidder is cons per clause 15 (A) &	idered technica	lly non-		

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 10(vi) of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 07.10.2024) from the date of uploading of result. The financial bids of top 5(five) firms shall be opened on 08.10.2024 at 11:00 hrs.

Saurav Deo Dy. General Manager (T)

Annexure

		Annexure
Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
		(1) 18 Highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm.
i.	Private Limited in Association with	IC) FIGUOSED BOF IS NOT NAVING MAXIMUM VEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN
	IM/s Synergy Engineers Group Pvi	handling highway/bridge projects and the KP is not having maximum
	Ltd	projects as similar capacity in modern bridge construction technology.
	Services Private Limited In	 16 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm, 3 DPR projects having 40% length have been found. Proposed RSE is not having maximum experience of road safety audit, identification and improvement of blackspots.
iii.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in	 10 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm, 3 DPR projects having 40% length have been found. The bidder has not claimed marks for the proposed Team Leader, Resident Engineer and Senior Pavement Specialist. Proposed SQME is having less than maximum professionals' years required for
	Bhawani Consultancy Service Pvt Ltd	handling highway/bridge projects. Also, proposed RSE is not having maximum experience of road safety audit, identification and improvement of blackspots.
	M/s Theme Engineering Services	
Private Limited in Association wi	development projects. Proposed RE is not having maximum years of experience in handling highway projects also not having minimum years of experience as specific position required in construction/Independent Engineer projects. Proposed RSE is not having minimum years of experience as similar capacity in road safety works on major highway projects.	
V.	M/s Aicons Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd in Association with M/s TORCHBEARER	 (1) 13 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm. (2) Proposed RSE is not having maximum no of projects of PPP Mode having 40% length. Proposed BSE is not having maximum experience of years in handling bridge projects. Proposed SPS is already working in NHIDCL. Proposed SQME is not having maximum projects of 40% length. Proposed RSE is not having maximum experience in road safety audit and for identification & improvement of blackspots.
	M/s Geo Designs and Research Private Limited	 Only one DPR project has been found of 40% length, 4 DPR projects have been found as ongoing FPR projects. Proposed TL is not having maximum years as similar capacity in highway development projects. Proposed RE is not having maximum

San

		projects of PPP Mode. Proposed BSE is not having maximum years
4		of experience handling highway/bridge projects, also, the KP is not
		having experience as similar capacity in supervision of major highway
		bridge projects and rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges.
		Proposed SPS is not having minimum experience of Pavement
		Design/ Construction and Maintenance of Highways/ Roads/ Air Field
		Runway and the KP is not having maximum years of experience as
	· .	similar capacity in construction/construction supervision of major
		highway projects, Also, the KP is not having maximum projects of
		innovative/non-traditional technology. Proposed SQME is not having
÷ .		minimum years of experience in handling highway/bridge projects.
		Proposed RSE is not having minimum years of experience in handling
		highway/bridge projects and the KP is not having minimum experience
		as similar capacity in road safety works on major highway projects,
	•	also, the KP is not having minimum projects as similar capacity in
		identification and improvement of blackspots.
		(1) Average annual turnover of the firm is less than 3% of the TPC
	M/a Mara Tashrasrata Driveta	and only one highway professional has been found to be working with
vii.	M/s Marc Technocrats Private	
		(2) Proposed SPS is not having maximum experience in similar
	Environics Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	capacity in construction/construction supervision and not having
		minimum experience in innovative/non-traditional technology and
		design.
	negale e a ree la endadad	(1) Only one highway professional is working with the firm and 3 projects having structures of 500 mtr length.
		(2) Propose TL is not having maximum experience in highway
	M/s MSV International Inc in	development projects. Proposed BSE is not having maximum
viii.		experience in rehabilitation & repair, also not having maximum
		experience in modern bridge construction technology viz., Precast
1.2	ASSOCIATES EE	Segmental, Balanced Cantilever Construction, Extradosed Bridge,
		Full Span Launching, Incremental Launching. Proposed SPS is not
*		having maximum experience of pavement design.
		(1) None of the highway professional found to be working with the firm,
		2 DPR projects of 40% length have been found and 3 projects have
	· · · ·	been found having structures of 500 mtr.
	M/s Almondz Global Infra-	(2) Proposed TL is not having maximum projects as similar capacity
	 Per II, A. Manuscher M. Manuscher Science and American Scie	in PPP Mode. Proposed BSE is not having maximum years of
ix.		experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects. Proposed RSE is not
		having maximum years of experience in handling Highway/Bridge
	2	Projects and the KP is not having maximum years of experience as
		similar capacity in Road Safety works on highway projects. Also, the
		KP is not having maximum projects as similar capacity in Road Safety
		Audit of highway projects.
· .	•	

.

Lauro

·		M/s Globa	Infra	Solut	ions	in z history for the last of t
	х.	Association	with	M/s	М	7 highway professionals have been found to be working with the firm and only one project having structures of 500 mtr have been found.
		Consultant				

Sam