

NHIDCL/Procurement/ Tripura/2023-24-Part(1)/1812

Date: 17.09.2025

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: Consultancy Services for Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-Construction services in respect to (a) Up gradation of existing 2L+PS of Agartala-Udaipur section to 4L+PS from Km 6.800 to Km 55.00 of NH-08 and (b) Development/ upgradation of Udaipur (NH-08) to Amarapur in the state of Tripura on EPC basis. **-Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.**

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject work. The following is the final result of technical evaluation:

Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals							
Sr. No.	Name of the Firm	Firm's relevant experience	Material testing, survey & investigation, equipment and software	Qualification and Relevant experience of the proposed key personnel	Total marks	Responsiveness	Remarks
	Maximum Marks	50	10	40	100		
1	M/s. Ayoleeza Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s. Bari India Pvt. Ltd. and in association with M/s. BESHTECH Infrastructure Private Limited	31.00	3.50	37.10	71.60	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (ii), (iii) and (vii) and clause 5.2 of Lol of RFP. (The bidder has scored less than 80 marks out of 100)
2	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with NPEC Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	32.00	5.50	38.25	75.75	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (vii) and clause 5.2 of Lol of RFP (The bidder has scored less than 80 marks out of 100)

3	M/s CIVILMANTRA Infracon Limited In association with M/s HIGHRAISE Construction and Consultant Private Limited	29.00	5.50	38.00	72.50	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (vii), 5.2 & 11 (a) (ii), (b) and (c) of Lol of RFP. (The bidder has scored less than 80 marks out of 100)
4	M/s Monarch Surveyors and Engineering Consultants Limited in JV with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	40.00	5.50	38.12	83.62	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (vii) of Lol of RFP.
The bidder is debarred by NHAI vide debarment order dated 12.12.2024.							
5	M/s Indian Technocrat Ltd. in JV with M/s Shweta Technophile Consultants Pvt Ltd in Association with M/s Synerinfra Technocrat Pvt. Ltd.	33.00	5.50	32.20	70.70	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (ii), (iii) & (iv), 5.2 & 11 (a) (ii), (b) and (c) of Lol of RFP. (The bidder has scored less than 80 marks out of 100)
6	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant in JV with Vedom Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s Saksham Survey Solutions	34.00	5.50	35.24	74.74	Technically Non-Responsive	Not eligible for opening of financial bid as per clause 5.1 (i), (vii) and clause 5.2 of Lol of RFP. (The bidder has scored less than 80 marks out of 100)

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 12.3 of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 24.09.2025) from the date of uploading of result.


 A K Jha
 General Manager (Tech.)

Encl: Annexure

Annexure

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s. Ayoleeza Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s. Bari India Pvt. Ltd. and in association with M/s. BESHTech Infrastructure Private Limited	1. The integrity pact submitted by the bidder is only signed by the lead member. Signature of other member missing. 2. The bidder has not submitted project based certificate for Local content issued by statutory auditor/cost auditor of the company 3. The other member of JV is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria for requirement of aggregate length of DPR works as per clause 12.1 (ii) of Data Sheet of RFP. The projects submitted by the other member pertain to the holding company. Further, the other member has submitted financial statements of its holding company. The same are not considered and the annual turnover of the other member is calculated as Zero. 4. Both the JV members are not meeting the technical capacity as the bidders have not submitted latest Form-26AS showing permanent employment for last one year with the firm. 5. The bidder does not have maximum experience in DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for). Additionally, the bidder also does not have minimum experience of DPR of Bridge having length more than 200M. The bidder does not have average annual turnover required to score maximum marks. No highway professionals were found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the highway professionals are outdated. 6. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing, Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. The lead member of the bidder does not have maximum experience in LiDAR or better technology for topographic survey (Infrastructure sector). Further, lead member does not possess maximum experience in using GPR and induction locator or better technology for detection of subsurface utilities (Infrastructure sector). (iii) The proposed TL is not having maximum experience in Highway Projects-Experience in Planning, project preparation and design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways). (iv) The proposed Highway cum pavement engineer does not have maximum experience in Highway Projects - Experience in Design/ Pavement Design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways). (v) The proposed Traffic and safety expert does not have maximum experience in Highway Projects – Experience on Similar Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/ Expressways).
2	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in association with NPEC	1. The bidder has not submitted project based certificate for Local content issued by statutory auditor/cost auditor of the company

	Consultancy Pvt. Ltd	2. The bidder is not meeting the technical capacity as per clause 5.1 (vii) of Lol of RFP, as the bidder has not submitted latest Form-26AS showing permanent employment for last one year with the firm. 3. The bidder does not have maximum experience in aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects. Further, the bidder does not have maximum experience in DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for). Additionally, the bidder also does not have minimum experience of DPR of Bridge having length more than 200M. Only 1 highway professionals is found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the remaining highway professionals are outdated. 4. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing, Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. 5. The proposed TL does not have Post-Graduation in Civil Engg./ Construction Management/ Transportation [AICTE Approved]. 6. The proposed Bridge Engineer does not have maximum period of employment with the firm. 7. The proposed Traffic and Safety Expert is not allotted any marks for employment with the firm.
3	M/s CIVILMANTRA Infracon Limited In association with M/s HIGHRAISE Construction and Consultant Private Limited	1. The bidder has not submitted project based certificate for Local content issued by statutory auditor/cost auditor of the company 2. The bidder is not meeting the technical capacity as per clause 5.1 (vii) of Lol of RFP, as the bidder has not submitted latest Form-26AS showing permanent employment for last one year with the firm. The bidder has not submitted Form-T11 in the prescribed format, therefore, the technical capacity could not be assessed. 3. The bidder does not have maximum experience in aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects, maximum experience in DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for) and maximum experience of DPR of Bridge having length more than 200M. The bidder does not have the required average annual turnover to score maximum marks. No highway professionals were found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the highway professionals are outdated. 4. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing, Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. 5. The proposed Highway cum Pavement Engineer does not have



		maximum total professional experience and experience in Highway Projects - Experience in Design/ Pavement Design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/ SH/ Expressways).
4	M/s Monarch Surveyors and Engineering Consultants Limited in JV with M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	1. The bidder has not submitted project based certificate for Local content issued by statutory auditor/cost auditor of the company 2. Both the JV members are not meeting the technical capacity as per clause 5.1 (vii) of Lol of RFP, as the bidders have not submitted latest Form-26AS showing permanent employment for last one year with the firm. 3. No highway professionals were found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the highway professionals are outdated. 4. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing, Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. 5. The Proposed Sr. Bridge Engineer is not allotted any marks for employment with the firm.
5	M/s Indian Technocrat Ltd. in JV with M/s Shweta Technophile Consultants Pvt Ltd in Association with M/s Synerinfra Technocrat Pvt. Ltd.	1. The bidder has not submitted Power of Attorney on a stamp paper of Rs.100, duly notarized authorizing to submit the proposal and has only submitted Board resolution in its technical bid. 2. The lead member of the JV is not having the minimum eligibility for required length of DPR works i.e. 43.47 Km. The bidder has claimed Eight projects out of which two projects have been considered. Certificates for Three projects do not have completion date/date of DPR submission, One project is of intermediate lane configuration, One project has completion date prior to 7 years from bid due date and in One project, the bidder is engaged by a private concessionaire. The other member has submitted incomplete financial statements which does not include the P&L Statement for the last five financial years as required under clause 3.1 (iii) of Lol of RFP. The audit report/financial statement for the last five years are also not uploaded on INFRACON portal. Accordingly, turnover of the other member for the last five financial years is considered as Zero. 3. The bidder does not have maximum experience in aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects, maximum experience in DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length (or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for) and maximum experience of DPR of Bridge having length more than 200M. The bidder does not have maximum average annual turnover. No highway professionals were found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the highway professionals are outdated. 4. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing,

		Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. 5. The proposed Sr. Bridge Engineer does not possess minimum experience in project preparation and design of bridge projects, experience of 2/4 lane configuration bridges and experience as Senior Bridge Engineer or Similar Capacity in Highway Design Consultancy Projects (2/4/ laning of NH/SH/Expressways) involving design of Major Bridges (minimum 2 nos. of length more than 200m). 6. The proposed Highway cum pavement engineer does not have maximum experience in similar capacity in Design/ Pavement Design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) in Similar Capacity (Minimum aggregate length 80 km)
6	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant in JV with Vedom Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd in association with M/s Saksham Survey Solutions	1. The bidder has claimed exemption from payment towards document fee as per clause 3.1.1(iv). However, the bidder has submitted MSE certificate only for the lead member. MSE certificate for other member and Associate member has not been submitted. 2. The integrity pact submitted by the bidder is only signed by the lead member. Signature of other member missing. 3. The bidder has not submitted project based certificate for Local content issued by statutory auditor/cost auditor of the company 4. Both the JV members are not meeting the technical capacity as per clause 5.1 (vii) of Lol of RFP, as the bidders have not submitted latest Form-26AS showing permanent employment for last one year with the firm. 5. The bidder does not have minimum experience of DPR of Bridge having length more than 200M. The bidder does not have the required average annual turnover to score maximum marks. No highway professionals were found to be working with the firm as checked from INFRACON Portal, the certificate uploaded by the highway professionals are outdated. 6. The bidder does not have each of the essential equipment along with persons/resources having operational skills required for Material testing, Field investigation facilities and office equipment and software. 7. The proposed TL does not have Post-Graduation in Civil Engg./ Construction Management/ Transportation [AICTE Approved]. Further, the TL does not have maximum total professional experience and maximum experience in Highway Projects- Experience in Planning, project preparation and design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH / SH / Expressways). No marks have been allotted for employment with the firm. 8. The Proposed Sr. Bridge Engineer is not allotted any marks for

		employment with the firm. 9. The proposed Highway cum Pavement Engineer does not have maximum total professional experience, maximum experience in Highway Projects - Experience in Design/ Pavement Design of Highway Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways). No marks have been allotted for employment with the firm. 10. The Proposed Traffic and Safety Expert is not allotted any marks for employment with the firm.
--	--	--