

NHIDCL/RO(JMU)/J-A/PKG-III/ /EVA/184/2021-22 24

Date: 05.04.2022

# To, All bidders,

**Sub:** Construction of Equal Value Assets in Army Area due to upgradation to 4-lane of Jammu-Akhnoor (Pkg-III) in Jammu-Akhnoor Road section of NH-144A in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2022-23.-*Technical Evaluation Result*.

Ref: Your bid dated 17.03.2022.

#### Sir,

This has reference to your bid cited above. In this connection, it is to inform you that the financial bids of the following technically qualified firms shall be opened on 06.04.2022 at 14:00 Hours at Regional Office, NHIDCL, H. No. 261, Sector No. 6, Channi Himmat, Jammu in the presence of the eligible bidders or their duly authorized representatives who choose to attend the same:

Sr. No	Name of the Bidder	Technically Eligible / Not-Eligible
1.	M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	Eligible
2.	M/s HARISH GUPTA	Eligible
3.	M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS	Not-Eligible
4.	M/s DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS	Not-Eligible
5.	M/s EIMSIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURES PRIVATE LIMITED	Eligible
6.	M/s JRG CONSTRUCTIONS	Not-Eligible

2. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Stu 05/04/2

(John Vali Shaik) Dy. General Manager (Projects) NHIDCL, RO-Jammu

# <u>3rd Minutes of Meeting of</u> <u>Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC)</u>

Name of work: Construction of Equal Value Assets in Army Area due to upgradation to 4-lane of Jammu-Akhnoor (Pkg-III) in Jammu-Akhnoor Road section of NH-144A in the UT of Jammu & Kashmir in the FY 2022-23.

## Date: 05.04.2022. Venue: NHIDCL-RO Jammu.

The bids for the subject project were invited on 24.02.2022 with Bid Due Date as 17.03.2022 (1200 Hrs) with a completion period of 06 months. The bids were opened on 21.03.2022 at 12:30 Hrs in NHIDCL-RO Jammu. No representative of any bidders were present during the bid opening of the subject project.

2. The Technical Bid Opening Committee (TBC) has broadly determined the responsiveness of the bids with reference to BG/DD towards bid security, cost of documents and POA and deliberated that the following 06 nos. bids may be evaluated further for determination of technical responsiveness:

Sr. no.	Name of the bidder	Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18%	Bid Security amounting to 6.00 Lakh
1.	M/s GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (BG)
2.	M/s HARISH GUPTA	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (BG)
3.	M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (BG)
4.	M/s DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (DD)
5.	M/s EIMSIM BABA'S INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (DD)
6.	M/s JRG CONSTRUCTIONS	YES (Bank Transfer)	Yes (BG)

3. The aforementioned details of Bank transfer of cost of bid documents and DDs towards Bid Security were sent to Finance Division, RO-Jammu and it has been confirmed by the Finance Division that all the 06 nos. payments have been received in the following bank account provided in the RFP. Further, the confirmation of BG towards Bid Security of the above mentioned 04 nos. of bidders has been received by SFMS.

S.no	Particulars	Details		
1.	Name of Beneficiary	National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited		
2.	Beneficiary Bank Account No.	76411010002171		
3.	Beneficiary Bank Branch	IFSC CNRB0002975		
4.	Beneficiary Bank Branch Name	Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat		
5.	Beneficiary Bank Address	Canara Bank, Channi-Himmat, Jammu, J&K, 180015		

4. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met on 26.03.2022 to determine the technical eligibility of 06 nos. of bids with respect to conditions of RFP. As per recommendation of TEC, clarifications were sent to 06 nos. bidders. Further, the completion certificate submitted by the bidders, M/s Trikuta Builders, M/s Duwa Constructions and M/s JRG Constructions towards similar work was sent to the respective clients for verification and only the work of 01 nos. bidders i.e. M/s JRG Constructions was verified by the concerned department.

5. The replies to clarifications were received from 04 nos. bidders. TEC again met on 01.04.2022 to further evaluate the bids w.r.t the clarifications provided by the bidders. TEC deliberated on the clarifications received from the bidders and concluded that clarification may again be sought from 05 nos. of bidders. The replies to clarifications has been received from 04 nos. bidders within due date and time. TEC again met on 05.04.2022 to further evaluate the bids w.r.t the clarifications provided by the bidder, the details of the same are given as follows:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Observation	Clarification provided by the Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s HARISH GUPTA	<ul> <li>As per clause (4.4) B) (b) (i), the bidder must upload scanned copy of the documentary evidence in support of his owning/leased/ renting of the equipment required for carrying out the work. However, there is a shortfall of the supporting documents of the equipment mentioned in the RFP. Bidder may clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requisite qualification information as per Section-III of RFP is enclosed herewith now</li> </ul>	Accepted.
		• There is a shortfall in the details of the key personnel as per clause (4.4) (B) (b) (ii) of the RFP. Bidder may clarify.	<ul> <li>Requisite qualification information as per section-III of RFP is enclosed herewith now.</li> </ul>	Accepted.
		<ul> <li>The bidder has claimed 03 nos. works towards similar nature, however, it is not clear which work has been claimed towards fulfilment of similar nature work. Bidder to clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is requested to consider the work executed under BRO/114 RCC towards fulfilment of similar nature of works. The total completion cost of the work is 1010.33 lakh which was allotted under CA NO CE(P)/BCN/07/2017-18. The work under the subject CA was completed satisfactorily by my firm on 28 sep 2019.</li> </ul>	• Accepted.

	and the second of			
2.	M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS	<ul> <li>The bidder has not provided the LOA(Letter of Award) of the ongoing works. Bidder may clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Copy of the LOA of work for the ongoing works is appended with for your kind reference and record please.</li> </ul>	Accepted.
		<ul> <li>There is a shortfall of experience as per clause 4.4 (B) (b) (ii) of RFP. Further, the details 03 nos. S.E is required but the details of only 01 nos. of S.E has been provided. The CV of the project Manager, signed by the Project Manager himself is also not enclosed with the bid.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Project Manger Sh. Narinder Kumar S/o Shri Nago Ram R/o Jammu joined this organisation 5 years back as such the particular experience in the National highway has been projected in the format. The S.E namely Sh Surinder Singh S/o Darshan singh was working as Project Manager in this organisation prior to Narinder Kumar. Details of the Site Engineer /Plant Manager/ Material engineer are appended with for your kind information, reference and record please.</li> </ul>	• Accepted.
		<ul> <li>As per clause (4.4) B) (b) (i), the bidder must upload scanned copy of the documentary evidence in support of his owning/leased/ renting of the equipment required for carrying out the work. However, there is a shortfall of the supporting documents of the equipment mentioned in the RFP. Bidder may clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In this connection it is stated that the scanned copies of the equipment and machinery owned by us have already been uploaded.</li> <li>Procuring/obtaining machinery on rent/lease basis, invariable depends on the "works in hand". As and when the work is allotted to us, we certify that we shall acquire the required machinery other than uploaded, on lease/rent basis from our sister concerns.</li> </ul>	• Not Accepted.
3.	M/s DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS	The Bidder has not provided the Qualification information as per Section-III of RFP.	<ul> <li>No clarification received.</li> </ul>	Not Accepted

Suplem

L 1

Sal

	Bidder may clarify.		
	<ul> <li>The bidder has no provided the LOA o the ongoing works</li> </ul>	f received.	Not Accepted
	<ul> <li>Bidder may clarify.</li> <li>The bidder has no provided the details of</li> </ul>	No clarification	Not Accepted
	the works for which bid is submitted and accepted (i.e. where contract signing is pending) as per clause		
	1.4(b)(ii), section III of RFP. Bidder may clarify.		
	<ul> <li>There is a shortfall of the experience of the key personnel as per the provision of RFP.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No clarification received.</li> </ul>	Not Accepted
	The bidder has not provided the detailed, signed and scanned		
	CV of the Project Manager. Further, as per clause 4.4(B)(b) (ii) of the RFP, 03 nos. of		
	site engineers need to be deployed but only details of 01 no. of S.E has been provided in		
	<ul> <li>the bid. Bidder to clarify.</li> <li>As per clause (4.4) B) (b)</li> </ul>	No clarification	
	(I), the bidder must upload scanned copy of the documentary	received.	<ul> <li>Not Accepted</li> </ul>
	evidence in support of his owning/leased/ renting of the equipment required for carrying out		
	the work. However, there is a shortfall of the supporting documents of		
4. M/s EIMSIM BABA'S	the equipment mentioned in the RFP. Bidder may clarify.		
INFRASTRUCTURES	experience of Material Engineer as per (4.4) (B) (b) (ii) of the RFP. Bidder may clarify.	The clarifications are being submitted as detailed in the enclosed sheets along with the supporting documents	Accepted.
	<ul> <li>There is a shortfall of the supporting documents pertaining to the owning/leased equipment. Bidder to clarify.</li> </ul>	ac coucht by u	Accepted.

Jarleen

Stu

A

			attached in detail for further clarifications.
5.	M/s JRG CONSTRUCTIONS	• There is a shortfall of the experience of Plant Manager as per clause (4.4) (B) (b) (ii) of the RFP. Bidder to clarify.	No clarification Not Accepted.
		<ul> <li>As per clause (4.4) B) (b) (i), the bidder must upload scanned copy of the documentary evidence in support of his owning/leased/ renting of the equipment required for carrying out the work. However, there is a shortfall of the supporting documents of the equipment mentioned</li> </ul>	No clarification     Not Accepted     Not Accepted
		in the RFP. Bidder may clarify.	

6. The completion certificate submitted by the bidders, M/s Trikuta Builders and M/s Duwa Constructions towards similar work was sent to the respective clients for verification. TEC examined the same, the details of which are follows:

SI.	Name of the	Completed work	Client	Verification	
no.	bidder				
1.	M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS	"Construction of three room sets RCC framed structures (128 sets) in multi storeyed building (Ground+3 storeyed)."	I.T.I.NS Unit Srinagar.	Not Verified.	
2.	M/s DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS	"Upgradation and maintenance of road from LO42-Buchroo to Dumdullah, Package no. jk01-342 phase-XII, stage-U, (batch-I,2018-19), length 4.00 km PMGSY Division Kulgam."	PMGSY Kulgam.	Verified.	
3.	M/s JRG CONSTRUCTIONS	"Construction of LO58-SOCHARA to PLARA, Package no. JK07-150, Block Basohli, sanctioned under Phase-X for Stage-I works, allotted vide Chief Engineer PMGSY JKRRDA".	PMGSY Kathua.	Verified.	

#### 7. The TEC noted the following:

#### 7.1 M/s Duwa Constructions:

With respect to the bidder, M/s Duwa Constructions, clarifications were sought from the bidder vide letter dated 26.03.2022, however no response was received from the bidder within due date and time. TEC again met on 01.04.2022 and clarifications were again sought for the second time from the respective bidder. The same was conveyed telephonically as well and despite the assurance by the bidder to respond to the clarifications no response was heard from the bidder to the desired clarifications as mentioned above for the second time as well.

Considering the above, TEC was of the opinion that the bidder, M/s Duwa Constructions may be declared as non-responsive.

Judeen

### 7.2 M/s JRG Constructions:

With respect to the bidder, M/s JRG Constructions, clarifications were sought from the bidder vide letter dated 01.04.2021. However, no response has been received from the bidder within due date and time. The bidder was tried to be contacted telephonically but poor response was received from the bidder.

Considering the above, TEC was of the opinion that the bidder, M/s JRG Constructions may be declared as non-responsive.

## 7.3 M/s Trikuta Builders:

With respect to the bidder, M/s Trikuta Builders, clarifications were sought from the bidder vide letter dated 01.04.2021. However, the bidder has failed to provide the evidence of the availability of equipment as per the provision of RFP. Further, the similar work claimed by the contractor, was not verified by the respective department.

# Considering the above, TEC was of the opinion that the bidder, M/s Trikuta Builders may be declared as non-responsive.

8. The following was observed by the TEC vis-à-vis the qualification criteria provided in the RFP to determine the technical responsiveness of the firms. The detailed evaluation sheet is attached as Annexure-I.

	Name of the bidder	Eligibility Requirement						
Sr. no.		Bid Security	Cost of bid documents amounting to Rs 10,000 + GST@18%	Average Annual Turmover for last 5 Years (>236.65Lakh)	Credit and availability of other financial resource facilities (10%of contract value) (Rs 59.16 Lakh)	Bid Capacity (Min. Rs.591.63) (Rs.in Lakhs)	Similar individual work as per clause 4.3A of RFP	Eligible for opening of financial bids or not
1.	M/S GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	YES Valid	YES Valid	YES	YES	1075.00	YES	Eligible
2.	M/s HARISH GUPTA	YES Valid	YES Valid	YES	YES	2481.00	YES	Eligible
3.	M/s TRIKUTA BUILDERS	en e Ur		Not Elig	ible Refer to para	7.3 above)		
1.	M/s DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS		Not Eligible (Refer to para 7.1 above)					

Joolem

5.	M/s EIMSIM BABA'S INFRASTRUCTURE S	YES Valid	YES Valid	YES	YES	4769.00	YES	Eligible
6.	M/s JRG CONSTRUCTIONS			Not Eligi	ble (Refer to para	7.2 above)		ŝ.,

9. TEC after due deliberations, recommends the financial opening of the following technically responsive firms on 06.04.2022 (14.00 Hrs) after obtaining approval from the Competent Authority:

- i. M/s Green Earth Infrastructure Private Limited
- ii. M/s Harish Gupta
- iii. M/s Eimsim Babas Infrastructures

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Darleen

Saoleen J. Urbashi, Graduate Engineer (Member)

Negi Ram anager (F) (Member)

John Vali Shaik, DGM(P) (Chairman)

ri

		TOR Summary					
S. No.	Qualification Criteria/ Bidder	GREEN EARTH INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	HARISH GUPTA	TRIKUTA BUILDERS	DUWA CONSTRUCTIONS	EIM SIM BABAS INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED	JRG
1	Bid Security(BG/DD)	Yes(BG)	Yes(BG)	Yes(BG)	Yes (DD)	Yes (DD)	Yes(BG)
	Qualification Information given (As per Section-III)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
3	Year of Constitution	2008	2007	2010	-	2017	2011
4	Legal status of Bidder (Proprietorship/Partnership or Pvt. Ltd. firm)	Private Limited Firm	Proprietorship	Proprietorship	-	Private Limited Firm	Partnership firm
	[Upload scanned copy of original]	Copy Uploaded	Copy Uploaded	Copy Uploaded	Copy Uploaded	Copy Uploaded	Copy Uploaded
	Place of registration: Principal place of business	Roop Nagar, Jammu Jammu	J&K/New Delhi J&K	Jammu J&K	-	Mehmoodabad Doru Doru Anantnag	R S Pura Jammu R S Pura Jammu
		Attached	Attached PAN No:	Attached PAN	Attached	Attached	Attached
7	PAN Card	PAN No: AADCG1325L	AFRPG8715L	No: AEMPK6852Q	PAN No: ABLFN17792B	PAN No: AAECE7911K	PAN No: AAHFJ6854.
8	Bid Document fee Rs.10,000/-+18% GST through online transaction	Yes NEFT Ref No. 207512169802 dated 16.03.2022	Yes NEFT Ref No.28626369 dated 16.02.2022	Yes NEFT Ref No.JAKA71477	Yes NEFT Ref No.JAKA220316380853	Yes dated 02.02.2022	Yes NEFT Ref No.50785000 dated 16.03.2022
9	Power of Attorney	Yes	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	Average Turnover last 5 Years pertaining to civil construction works (Minimum Required Rs. 236.65 Lakh)	Y es (526.51 Lakh)	Yes (2078.1 Lakhs)	Yes (399 Lakhs)	Yes (424.6 Lakhs)	Yes (1557.8 Lakhs)	Yes (692.2 Lakhs)
11	Whether CA Certificate for the Average Annual Turnover is provided?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	satisfactorily completed (not less than 90% of contract value), as a prime contractor (or as a nominated subcontractor, provided further that all other qualification criteria are satisfied) similar works during last five years ending last day of month previous to the one in which bids are invited, any civil construction work of 40% of the value of contract (i.e. Rs.236.65 Lacs). [The "similar work" constitutes any kind of Civil Construction works (The base year should be considered as 2020-211)]	as a nominated subcontractor. shoulder from the existing chainage km short qualification criteria are luring last five years ending last day one in which bids are invited, any f 40% of the value of contract (i.e. thus any kind of Civil Construction organisation (HMP) mix for DBM 75mm thick compacted, BC 40mm thick (compacted), prime and tack coat for surfacing works between km 161.200, km 161.650 to km 162.00, km 162.00, km 161.200, km 161.650 to km 162.00, km 164.810 and km 164.900 to km 165.010 on road Basoli-Bani- Badervah in 114 RCC Road sector under 35		No	Yes Name of work:Upgradation and maintenance of road from 1.042-Buchroo to Dumdullah, Package no. jk01-342 phase-XII, stage-U, (batch-1.2018-19), length 4.00 km PMGSY Division Kulgam. Client:PMGSY Division Kulgam. Value: Rs 298.68 Lakh Com pletion FY: 2018-19	Yes Name of work: Improvement and Upgradation of Chenani-Nashri stretch of NH-44(old alignment) via Patnitop from km 89.00 to km 130.00by way of construction of Retaining, Breast wall, Pucca Drain Gabion wall, BM and BC, crash barrier including strengthening and Renewal of certain stretches of road state of Jammu and Kashmir. Client: NHA1 Value: Rs 432 Lakhs Completion FY: 2020-21	Yes Name of work: Construct of LOS8-SOCHARA to PLARA, Package no. JKC 150, Block Basohli, sanctioned under Phase-X Stage-I works, allotted vi Chief Engineer PMGSY JKRRDA Client: PMGSY Divisio Kathua Value: Rs 359.09 Lakł Com pletion FY: 2019-2
13	Qualifies in Similar Nature of work i.e. any civil construction work of 40% of the value of contract (i.e. Rs. 236.65 Lacs).	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
14	Original experience certificate or notarized copy of certificate duly signed by authorized signatory (Clause 12.2 Section II)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Affidavit on Stamp paper duly attested by notary that the information furnished with the bid documents is correct	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	Information on Litigation Or Arbitration history during the last 5 Years	Yes NIL	Yes NIL	Yes NIL	Yes NIL	Yes NIL	Yes NIL
	Form of letter of Application	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
18	Undertaking for Validity of Bids for 120 days	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Remarks Reasons for non-qualification	Qualified	Qualified	Not Qualified Shorfall of equipments as per the provision of RFP and the work claimed towards similar nature could not be verified.	Not Qualified Non-submission of reqd clarification towards qualification information, LOA of ongoing works, supporting of Equipments and non-submission of details of personnel as per requirement of RFP.	Qualified	Not Qualified Non-submission of requestion clarification towards supporting of Equipmen as per requirement of RI and non-submission of details of personnel as per requirement of RFP.

Jasleen

Shi