राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001
National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम) (A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Nagaland/D-K/BW/PKG3/2021 **Dated: 13.04.2021**

To,

All bidders,

Subject: "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur — Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III)" **–Result of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids -reg.**

Tender ID: 2021_NHIDC_612240_1

With reference to the bids invited for the subject project, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	**Technically Non Responsive**
5.	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited	**Technically Non Responsive**

2. A copy of the Minutes of 2nd Meeting of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) dated 08.04.2021 is enclosed herewith for information to applicant bidders.

3. Authority shall open the financial bids online on **15.04.2021 at 16:00 PM** at NHIDCL, HQ, 2nd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001 in the presence of the authorized representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

NOTE* The opening of the above financial bid is subject to termination of the existing Contract with M/s Gayatri Projects Limited

Encl.: As above.

AK Jha
General Manager (Technical)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur – Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at **on 08.04.2021.**

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online and submit the hard bound documents on scheduled bid due date as 31.03.2021.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

(i) M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited
(ii) M/s Ram Kumar Contractor
(iii) M/s Jayzee Construction
(iv) M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
(v) M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 130.82 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	130.82
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	65.41
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	19.62
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	6.54
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	6.54
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	6.54
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.31
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	19.62
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.77
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause	3.92

Ajay

	2.2.2.4 (i)	
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	65.41
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08

4. It was bought to the notice of the committee that M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited has already been awarded 2 projects (one in Manipur and one in Mizoram) and as per clause 2.1.15 of RFP *"The bidder including individual or any of its JV member, who are either having 2 (two) on-going EPC Project(s) in NHIDCL or on-going Project(s) worth of ₹ 500 Crore (Awarded Cost) or more in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this Project (Issuance of LOA will be considered as on-going project)"*. Accordingly the technical bid of M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited was considered non- responsive and not evaluated as per clause 2.1.15 of RFP.

5. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not in line with the clause mentioned in the RFP, it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as sought by the Technical Division is to be obtained from the respective bidders.

6. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 05.04.2021, replies received from the bidders were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 08.04.2021. Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the Authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify. (ii) Appendix IA Annexure -IV (Details of Eligible Projects), Project code 'D' the receivable value of civil work for FY 2015-16 is Rs 20.61 Cr whereas as per the UDIN on ICAI Portal the receivable value of civil work for FY 2015-16 is Rs 17.74 Cr. Please clarify.	i) The bidder has submitted completion certificate from the Authority for the consideration of single work. ii) The bidder clarified that *"There was some typographical mistake had been made by Statuary Auditor"* and have uploaded the correct values for project code 'D' in UDIN on ICAI portal.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	(i) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last	i) The bidder has submitted the undertaking regarding Negative list of the firm. ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee

		5 years. Please clarify. (iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the Net worth. Please clarify. (iv) Re submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.	turnover in UDIN on ICAI portal. iii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects net worth value in UDIN on ICAI portal iv) The bidder has submitted submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.	decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value for all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify. (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the Authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify. (iii) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects year wise breakup of receivable values in UDIN on ICAI portal. (ii) Bidder has submitted authority certificate for the consideration of single work (iii) The bidder has submitted the undertaking regarding Negative list of the firm.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

4.	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	(i) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.4 "the lead member shall meet at least 60% requirement of Bid Capacity, Technical and Financial Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i) and 2.2.2.3". Please clarify the following a) The annual average turnover of M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (Lead Firm) should be 60% of the EPC i.e. Rs 11.77 Cr, but it has been observed that the firm has annual average turnover is Rs 5.01 Cr. Please clarify. b) Appendix -IA, Annex-II (Technical Capacity of the Bidder) both the firms have to submit separate Anne-II as per RFP format. It has been observed that the joint Annex II has been submitted. Please clarify. **(ii)** Joint Bidding agreement: Please clarify page number where following can be located. a) JV share of the firms. b) Name of the other member is M/s K Nakhro & Sons but as per agreement	(i) a) The revised turnover certificate till the latest year has been submitted by the bidder. b) The bidder has submitted Documents for Appendix -IA, Annex-II, is attached for both the firms separately. (ii) a) The bidder has submitted JV share of the firms are 60% for the lead partner and 40% for the JV partner. It is also available in the document "Agreement for Joint Venture" point number 6 (share of work in the project). And also, in Appendix -IA, Annex-I (page7) it is mentioned. b) Ropfukhrieto Nakhro is mentioned because Ropfukhrieto Nakhro is the proprietor of M/S K Nakhro	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and were found to be not satisfactory. (i) Revised turnover certificate till the latest year has been submitted by the bidder. However the values given in the fresh document does not match with the Audited Financial Statement which is primary and main valid document. Hence, the document has not been considered. (ii) Further, It was observed that the average annual turnover of Lead member M/s Savizo Chadi & Co has is Rs 5.01Cr as audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20, 2018-19, 2017-18, 2016-17, 2015-16 per P&L account which is less than the required average annual turnover of lead member i.e. Rs 11.77 Cr. (iii) It was also observed that the required Threshold Technical Capacity of the lead member should be Rs 39.25 Cr but as per evaluation the Threshold Technical Capacity is Rs 9.45 Cr which is less than the requirement as per RFP (iv) Further, the required Bid capacity of the lead member should be Rs 39.25 Cr but as

		Sri Ropfukhrieto Nakhro is mentioned. c) Date of Joint Bidding Agreement is 09.02.2020.	& Sons. Please consider it. c) Date of the Joint Bidding Agreement is 09:02:2020 which a typo error is. However, in the same document the registration date and the signature date of the Notary Public is mentioned as 09.02.2021. Please consider the Joint Bidding Agreement date as 09.02.2021.	per the calculation of Appendix IA Annexure VI the lead member has the Bid Capacity of Rs 28.68 Cr which is less than the requirement as per RFP. (v) Considering the above committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive
		(iii) Appendix -IA Annex-VI (BID Capacity) is not submitted as per RFP format of both the JV firms.	(iii)The bidder has submitted Appendix -IA, Annex-VI (Bid Capacity) is prepared separately as per the RFP format for both the firms.	
		(iv) Appendix-IA Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) both the firms have to submit separate Anne-III as per RFP format. It has been observed that the joint Annex III has been submitted. Please clarify.	(iv)The bidder has submitted Appendix -IA, Annex-III (Financial Capacity of The Bidder) is prepared separately as per the RFP format for both the firms.	
		(v) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify.	(v) Both the firms has clarifies that "the firms in this JV has No litigation, Not Stand debarred from any of the Authority and No Negative List of firms by the Authority for any reason".	
		(vi) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	(vi)The bidder clarifies that " For the supporting documents, certificates of	

		for both firms	Turnover of the last five years is attached for both the firms. If the UDIN on ICAI portal does not show the data, it could be due to a technical glitch with the portal. We have instructed our respective accountants to follow up with their institutions to make sure that UDIN On ICAI portal is reflected correctly".	
		(vii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the Net worth. Please clarify for M/s Savizo Chadi & Co.	(vii)The bidder clarified that "If the UDIN does not show the net worth, this could be due to a technical glitch with the portal. We have attached the Document with UDIN for M/S Savizo Chadi & Co".	
		(viii) UDIN number for project "Construction of road and drainage at Mon town, Nagaland" could not be located. Please clarify.	(Viii)The bidder clarified that" Document with UDIN is attached for "Construction of Road and Drainage at Mon Town, Nagaland" for M/S K Nakhro & Sons" .	
		(ix) For M/s K. Nakhro & Sons- "as per the RFP, the Net worth and the Annual Average Turnover of the last indicated financial year is to be certified by the Statutory Auditor and the same shall be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI and attach a copy of the certificate downloaded from the portal indicating Net worth and Turnover for each of last five years". It is observed that Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20 is signed by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jain, but as per UDIN certificate the values of Net Worth and Average	(ix) The bidder clarified that "M/S K .Nakhro & Sons has changed the accounting firm in between from Sanjay R Jain & Associates to M/s Babita Agarwal & Co. This is the reason the values of Net Worth and Average Annual Turnover are certified by CA Babita Agarwal. Document for Net worth and Annual average turnover with UDIN is attached to this email and accountants are apprised to follow up with their respective institutions to make sure that data is update in ICAI portal unless there is some technical issue with ICAI portal".	

		Annual Turnover are certified by CA Babita Agarwal. Please clarify.		

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.

8. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive	0
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	**Technically Non Responsive**	0
5.	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited	**Technically Non Responsive as per clause 2.1.15**	1 (Manipur), 1 (Mizoram)

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 3 (Three) technically responsive bidder after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Anulwalia
(ED-I)
Chairman

B. Shivprasad
(GM-Tech)
Member

A.K. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member

Bhaskar Mallick
Manager -Finance
Member

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 65.41 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 19.62 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 39.25 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 13.08 Cr.	Span Length of the Bridge (50% of the longest span)
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	628.33 Cr	Yes (Rs 171.08 Cr)	NA	NA	NA
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	133.66 Cr	Yes (Rs 133.66 Cr)	NA	NA	NA
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	125.93 Cr	Yes (Rs 45.16 Cr)	NA	NA	NA
4	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	-	Yes (Rs 19.74 Cr)	9.45 Cr	19.74 Cr	NA
5	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive as per clause 2.1.15				

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR 6.54 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 19.62 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	SE	-	85.24 Cr	180.12 Cr	Y
2.	M/s Jayzee Construction	SE	-	11.78 Cr	44.77 Cr	Y
3.	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	20.84 Cr	50.92 Cr	Y
4.	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	JV	60-40	Lead - 5.60 Cr Other- 22.31 Cr	Lead- 5.01 Cr Other - 22.31 Cr	N
5.	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive as per clause 2.1.15				

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment									
Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 65.41 Crore									
S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	2019	1	317.59	317.59	1	203.91	590.07	Yes
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	2019	1	73.20	73.20	1	0	183.00	Yes
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.1	85.53	66.73	1	30.61	136.22	Yes
4	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)								
	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co	2015	1.2	9.56	11.47	1	0	**28.68**	No
	M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	2016	1.15	29.83	34.30	1	**0**	85.76	Yes
							Total	**114.44**	No
5.	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited Builders	Technically Non Responsive as per clause 2.1.15							

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur – Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III)" held at NHIDCL, **New Delhi on 05.04.2021**

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 31.03.2021.

2. Technical Bid Opening Committee (TBC) met to open the technical Bids on 01.04.2021 at 1530 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

(i) M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited
(ii) M/s Ram Kumar Contractor
(iii) M/s Jayzee Construction
(iv) M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
(v) M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 130.82 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	130.82
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	65.41
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	19.62
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	6.54
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	6.54
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	6.54
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.31
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	19.62
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.77

14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	65.41
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08

4. It was bought to the notice of the committee that M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited has already been awarded 2 projects (one in Manipur and one in Mizoram) and as per clause 2.1.15 of RFP *"The bidder including individual or any of its JV member, who are either having 2 (two) on-going EPC Project(s) in NHIDCL or on-going Project(s) worth of ₹ 500 Crore (Awarded Cost) or more in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this Project (Issuance of LOA will be considered as on-going project)"*. Accordingly the technical bid of M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Private Limited has not been evaluated.

5. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not in line with the clause mentioned in the RFP, it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as sought by the Technical Division is to be obtained from the respective bidders.

6. The details of bidders and the clarification to be sought are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought
1	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor	(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the Authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify. (ii) Appendix IA Annexure -IV (Details of Eligible Projects), Project code 'D' the receivable value of civil work for FY 2015-16 is Rs 20.61 Cr whereas as per the UDIN on ICAI Portal the receivable value of civil work for FY 2015-16 is Rs 17.74 Cr. Please clarify.
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	(i) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify. (iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the Net worth. Please clarify. (iv) Re submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value for all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify. (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the Authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify. (iii) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify.
4	M/s Savizo Chadi & Co - M/s K. Nakhro & Sons (JV)	(i) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.4 "the lead member shall meet at least 60% requirement of Bid Capacity, Technical and Financial Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(i) and 2.2.2.3". Please clarify the following a) The annual average turnover of M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (Lead Firm) should be 60% of the EPC i.e. Rs 11.77 Cr, but it has been observed that the firm has annual

		average turnover is Rs 5.01 Cr. Please clarify. b) Appendix -IA, Annex-II (Technical Capacity of the Bidder) both the firms have to submit separate Anne-II as per RFP format. It has been observed that the joint Annex II has been submitted. Please clarify. **(ii)** Joint Bidding agreement: Please clarify page number where following can be located. a) JV share of the firms. b) Name of the other member is M/s K Nakhro & Sons but as per agreement Sri Ropfukhrieto Nakhro is mentioned. c) Date of Joint Bidding Agreement is 09.02.2020. (iii) Appendix -IA Annex-VI (BID Capacity) is not submitted as per RFP format of both the JV firms. (iv) Appendix-IA Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder) both the firms have to submit separate Anne-III as per RFP format. It has been observed that the joint Annex III has been submitted. Please clarify. (v) As per RFP clause 2.1.14 (xv), Undertaking to be submitted regarding Negative list of the firm could not be located. Please clarify. (vi) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify for both firms (vii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the Net worth. Please clarify for M/s Savizo Chadi & Co. (viii) UDIN number for project "Construction of road and drainage at Mon town, Nagaland" could not be located. Please clarify. (ix) For M/s K. Nakhro & Sons- "as per the RFP, the Net worth and the Annual Average Turnover of the last indicated financial year is to be certified by the Statutory Auditor and the same shall be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI and attach a copy of the certificate downloaded from the portal indicating Net worth and Turnover for each of last five years". It is observed that Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20 is signed by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jain, but as per UDIN certificate the values of Net Worth and Average Annual Turnover are certified by CA Babita Agarwal. Please clarify.

7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) decided to seek the above tabulated clarification after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED) Chairman	B. Shivprasad (GM-Tech) Member	A.K. Jha (GM-Tech) Member	Bhaskar Mallick Manager Finance Member