

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION

CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./A.E./ Dembuen - Bruni / Mipi - Mathun/ 2021/2416

Date: 08.04.2021

**Name of Work: Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of**

- (i) **Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from KM 0.000 to KM 36.300 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.**
- (ii) **Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Mipi to Mathun (Basam) from KM 0.000 to KM 16.730 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.**


**Tender ID: 2020\_NHIDC\_605502\_1**

Please refer to bid submitted for the project cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	Technically Responsive
2	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC <b>JV</b> with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited <b>Association</b> With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non Responsive
5	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Technically Non Responsive
6	M/s Technical Consultancy Services <b>JV</b> with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and <b>Association</b> with M/s P. K. Engineers	Technically Non Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 12.04.2021 at 1200 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110001.

Encl: - As stated above.

  
A.K. Jha  
General Manager (Tech)


**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**  
**(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)**

**Minutes of Meeting** of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on **10.03.2021** to evaluate the Technical bids for Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of:

- (i) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from KM 0.000 to KM 36.300 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.
- (ii) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Mipi to Mathun (Basam) from KM 0.000 to KM 16.730 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.

1. The bids for subject services were invited from the prospective bidders with bid due date as 18.02.2021.
2. TEC met on 19.02.2021 at 1430 Hours to open the technical bids submitted by the bidders.
3. It was apprised that:
  - a) As per clause 4.1 of Section-2 of RFP, bidders are required to submit their Technical Proposal only through online mode i.e. via CPP portal and INFRACON portal.
  - b) The details of firms who have submitted their bids online are as under:

Sr. No	Name of Consultant	Type of Venture	Lead Member (or Sole)	Other Member (JV/ Associate)
1	M/s Bloom Companies LLC <b>JV</b> with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited <b>Association</b> With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.	JV & Association	M/s Bloom Companies LLC	M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited <b>Association</b> with M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	Association	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited
3	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	Sole	-	-
4	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Association	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd	M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant
5	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	Sole	-	-



6	M/s Technical Consultancy Services <b>JV</b> with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and <b>Association</b> with M/s P. K. Engineers	JV & Association	M/s Technical Consultancy Services	M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and <b>Association</b> with M/s P. K. Engineers
---	---	------------------	------------------------------------	---

c) As per the Section-3 & 4 of RFP, the bidders were required to upload the Experience of the firm and list of the proposed Key personnel & Summary of CVs Particulars on INFRACON Portal. Whereas, Site Appreciation, Approach paper on methodology for performing the assignment, Facility for field investigation and testing, Time schedule for deployment of Professional staff and Activity (works) schedule were required to be uploaded on CPP Portal.


d) Based on the credential submitted by the bidders on CPP Portal and INFRACON Portal, Evaluation of bids has been carried out manually as certain new parameters like Experience in use of technology for road inspection was added in the Model RFP and INFRACON Portal is not upgraded with the present Model RFP.

4. The Committee noted that the Consultants have submitted their Technical Proposal on e-Procurement Portal i.e. on CPP Portal & INFRACON portal and the evaluation has been carried out based on the details submitted by bidders. The proposals were examined as per Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) Data Sheet of RFP Document.

5. The Committee noted that as per clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) of RFP Document, *"If a CV scores less than 75% marks, whatever marks it scores will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. However, if the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum academic qualification (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), the overall score of his CV will be evaluated as zero. If the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum qualification related to experience (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), then zero marks will only be assigned for that sub criteria, but the marks obtained by the CV of the Key Personnel will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. In case, a firm is H-1, then all such Key Personnel (whose CV scores less than 75% or who do not fulfill the minimum qualification) will have to be replaced by the firm before signing the contract. The reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 10% for each replacement. In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader cum Senior Pavement Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered non-responsive"*.

6. The committee noted that as per clause 12 (2) of section - 6 (Terms of Reference for Authority's Engineer) ***The age of the Key Personnel should not be more than 45 years and Sub Professional should not be more than 35 years on the date of submission of proposal.*** Consultants are advised in their own interest to frame the technical proposal in an objective manner as far as possible so that these could be properly assessed in respect of points to be given as part of evaluation criteria

7. It was brought to the notice of the committee that the age of some of the Team Leader are more than 45 years which are as under in tabulated form due to which the firm has been considered as technically non responsive:



S.no.	Name of Consultant	Name of the Key Personnel	Designation of the Key Personnel	Date of Birth	Age as per Bid due date
1	M/s Bloom Companies LLC, JV with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited In Association With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh	Team Leader	02-01-1969	52
2	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh	Team Leader	16-12-1966	54
3	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in JV with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and association with M/s P. K. Engineers	Mr. A RAJA SEKHAR	Team Leader	11-06-1968	53

8. The committee noted that as per RFP clause 4.5.2 under (General Condition of Contract) stated that *"In case notice to commence services is given within 120 days of signing of contract the, the Authority expects all the Key Personnel specified in the Proposal to be available during implementation of the Agreement. The Authority will not consider any substitution of Key Personnel except under compelling circumstances beyond the control of the Consultant and the concerned Key Personnel. Such substitution shall be limited to not more than three Key Personnel subject to equally or better qualified and experienced personnel being provided to the satisfaction of the Authority. **Replacement of the Team Leader will not normally be considered and may lead to disqualification of the Applicant or termination of the Agreement.** Replacement of one Key Personnel shall be permitted subject to reduction of remuneration equal to 5 % (five per cent) of the total remuneration specified for the Key Personnel who is proposed to be replaced. In case of second replacement the reduction in remuneration shall be equal to 10% (ten per cent) and for third and subsequent replacement, such reduction shall be equal to 15% (fifteen per cent) If the consultant finds that any of the personnel had made false representation regarding his qualification and experience, he may request the employer for replacement of the personnel. There shall be no reduction in remuneration for such replacement. The replacement shall however be of equal or better score. The personnel so replaced shall be debarred from future projects for 2 years".*

9. It was observed by the committee that M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited, M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd., M/s Technical Consultancy Services in JV with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and association with M/s P. K. Engineers

Ajay A

also have submitted their bid for “**Consultancy services for Authority’s Engineer for Supervision of Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Ladda (Graziang) to Basai from KM 0.000 to KM 35.260 in East Kameng District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode**” with the same Key Personnel’s, if one of the bidder becomes L1 than the bid will be considered as technically non responsive in the above mentioned tender.


10. It was observed by the committee that the details of the **revenue from operations** as per the audited balance sheets for the respective years are not attached by M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited and M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd. Please ensure to give the details/ breakup of the same within 7 days.
11. Accordingly, the detailed evaluation sheets are enclosed at Annexure A.
12. In its evaluation, the committee observed that the participating firms as mentioned above in para 2(b) are technically qualified in terms of provisions of RFP. The Technical score of the firms are as under :

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience of the firm ( Total Score 40 )	Evaluation criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection ( Total Score 20 )	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment ( Total Score 40 )	Total Score (Total Score 100)	Contracts awarded in NHIDCL (as per clause 23 of the RFP)
1	M/s Bloom Companies LLC, JV with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited In Association With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non Responsive				0
2	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	34.50	15.00	33.25	82.75	0
3	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	38.50	20.00	34.66	93.16	1 - Nagaland
4	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Technically Non Responsive				1 - Nagaland


5	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	38.25	20.00	34.60	92.85	1- Assam
6	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in JV with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and association with M/s P. K. Engineers	Technically Non Responsive				1 – Uttarakhand (MAGOT)

13. In view of the above, TEC decided to ask all the bidders to submit their comments within 7 days as per Clause 10 (vii) data sheet of RFP by uploading Technical Score on website.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to Chair.

  
**Ajay Ahluwalia**  
 (ED-I)  
 Convener

  
**AK Jha,**  
 GM(T)  
 Member

  
**B. Shiv Prasad**  
 GM(T)  
 Member

  
**Sandeep Kumar**  
 Mananger (Finance)  
 Member

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited  
(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate Technical Proposals for "Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of

- (i) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Dembuen to Bruni from KM 0.000 to KM 36.300 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.
- (ii) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Mipi to Mathun (Basam) from KM 0.000 to KM 16.730 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode held on 01.04.2021 at PTI Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) vide its first meeting evaluated the technical proposals of the subject work. TEC in its 1<sup>st</sup> meeting has asked the bidders to submit their comments by uploading Technical score and Eligibility status on website. Consequent upon Approval of Competent Authority, the technical score of the bidders along with minutes of meeting were uploaded on the website to submit the clarification/representation if any by the consultant on or before 26.03.2021.

2. It was brought to the notice of the committee that as per clause 12 (2) of section - 6 (Terms of Reference for Authority's Engineer) ***The age of the Key Personnel should not be more than 45 years and Sub Professional should not be more than 35 years on the date of submission of proposal. Consultants are advised in their own interest to frame the technical proposal in an objective manner as far as possible so that these could be properly assessed in respect of points to be given as part of evaluation criteria.***
3. It was brought to the notice of the committee that as per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f) ***"In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered non-responsive".***
4. It was observed that the age of the Team Leader of the following bidders mentioned in the tabulated below were more than the max age limit of 45 years, hence the bids were not eligible and committee considered their score as zero due to which their bid were considered as technically non responsive.

S.no.	Name of Consultant	Name of the Key Personnel	Designation of the Key Personnel	Date of Birth	Age as per Bid due date
1	M/s Bloom Companies LLC, JV with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited In Association With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh	Team Leader	02-01-1969	52



2	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh	Team Leader	16-12-1966	54
3	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in JV with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and association with M/s P. K. Engineers	Mr. A RAJA SEKHAR	Team Leader	11-06-1968	53

5. The details of query /representation received along with the decision of the committee are tabulated below:

(i) **M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited**

S.No.	Description	Representation/ observation of committee	Decision of TEC
1.	Marks given to Team Leader - Mr. P.K. Tiwari	Consultant has represented that Team Leader has to get 95 marks instead of 75 marks.	TEC has examined and considered the projects mentioned in the submitted bid which been considered and accordingly increased 18 marks
2.	Marks given to Resident Cum Highway Engineer- Mr. Rakesh Kumar Singh	Consultant has represented that Team Leader has to get 95 marks instead of 94 marks.	TEC has examined and found that there is no change in marks.
3.	Marks given to Senior Quality Cum Material Expert 1 - Mr. Mohit Mohan Agrawal	Consultant has represented that Team Leader has to get 95 marks instead of 89 marks in general qualification.	TEC has examined and considered the projects mentioned in the submitted bid which been considered and accordingly increased 2 marks
4.	Marks given to Senior Quality Cum Material Expert 2 - Mr. Deepak Kumar	Consultant has represented that Team Leader has to get 95 marks instead of 91 marks in general qualification.	TEC has examined and found that there is no change in marks.

(ii) **M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited.**

S.No.	Description	Representation/ observation of committee	Decision of TEC
1.	Marks given to Team	Consultant has represented	TEC has examined and

*Ajay* *[Signature]* *[Signature]* *[Signature]*

	Leader - Mr. J.K Awasti	that Team Leader has to get 91 marks instead of 82 marks.	considered the projects mentioned in the submitted bid which been considered and accordingly increased 9 marks
--	-------------------------	---	--

iii. **M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.**

S.No.	Description	Representation/ observation of committee	Decision of TEC
No clarification has been submitted by the consultant.			

6. Considering Para 3 above, the revised evaluation is tabulated as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience of the firm ( Total Score 40 )	Evaluation criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection ( Total Score 20 )	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment ( Total Score 40 )	Total Score (Total Score 100)	Contracts awarded in NHIDCL (as per clause 23 of the RFP)	Remarks
1	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	34.50	15.00	36.95	<b>86.45</b>	0	Qualified
2	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	38.50	20.00	34.66	<b>93.16</b>	1 - Nagaland	Qualified
3	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited.	38.25	20.00	36.40	<b>94.65</b>	1 - Assam	Qualified
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC <b>JV</b> with M/s Specialized Engineering	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))					

*Ajaya* *hpl* *gh* *d*

	Service Limited <b>Association</b> With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.	
5	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))
6	M/s Technical Consultancy Services <b>JV</b> with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and <b>Association</b> with M/s P. K. Engineers	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))


7. It was brought to the notice of the committee that both the bidder has submitted the details of the **revenue from operations** as per the audited balance sheets for the respective years by M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited and M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.
8. In view of the above, committee recommends that Financial bids of the following technically qualified firms with their respective technical score to be opened after 3 days with the approval of Competent Authority.

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Score	Remarks
1	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	86.45	Qualified


Ajay

2	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	93.16	Qualified
3	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited.	94.65	Qualified
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC <b>JV</b> with M/s Specialized Engineering Service Limited <b>Association</b> With M/s Dracs Consultancy and Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))	
5	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. <b>Association</b> with M/s Intratech Civil Solutions & Consultant	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))	
6	M/s Technical Consultancy Services <b>JV</b> with M/s MAGOT Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and <b>Association</b> with M/s P. K. Engineers	Technically Non Responsive (As per RFP section 2 clause 3.4 X (f))	

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

  
**Ajay Ahluwalia**  
 (ED)  
 Convener

  
**AK Jha,**  
 GM(T)  
 Member

  
**B. Shiv Prasad**  
 GM (T)  
 Member

  
**Sandeep Kumar**  
 Manager (Finance)  
 Member