

NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil/ Peren - Dimapur/Pkg 2/ 2021/ 862 Date: 16.04.2021

Name of Work: Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren – Dimapur section on NH – 129A from Design Km 126.775 to Km 146.208 (Length – 19.433 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg – II) under NH(O)

## Tender ID: 2021\_NHIDC\_622183\_1

Please refer to bid submitted for the project cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
6	M/s DMR Builders Private Limited	Technically Responsive
7	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	Technically Responsive
8	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s B. P. Construction (JV)	Technically Responsive
9	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	Technically Responsive
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	Technically Responsive
11	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/s Om Parkash and Company JV	Technically Non Responsive
12	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Technically Responsive
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive

15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises JV	Technically Responsive
16	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited	Technically Responsive
17	M/s Arvind Aviation	Technically Non Responsive

2. Financial bid of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 19.04.2021 at 1500 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building,4, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110001, in presence of authorized representative of bidders who chose to attend

Encl: - As stated above.

General Manager (Teg

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for :" Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren - Dimapur section on NH - 129A from Design Km 126.775 to Km 146.208 (Length - 19.433 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg - II) under NH(O)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 15.04-2021.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 05.04.2021 at 1100 hrs.

- 2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.
  - (i) M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited
  - (ii) M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Private Limited
  - (iii) M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited
  - (iv) M/s SKV Infratech Private Limited M/s B.P. Construction JV
  - (v) M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Private Limited
  - (vi) M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co
  - (vii) M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited
  - (viii) M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited
  - M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited JV
  - (x) M/s Arvind Aviation
  - (xi) M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited
  - (xii) M/s DMR Builders Private Limited
  - (xiii) M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited
  - (xiv) M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited
  - (xv) M/s JKM Infra Works LLP
  - (xvi) M/s Jayzee Construction
  - (xvii) M/s KBM Enterprises M/s EL & TY Enterprises JV

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 190.37 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	190.37
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	95.19
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	57.11
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 $\&$ 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	19.04
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	20.00
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category $1\&2$ , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	9.52
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i)

Page 1 of 19

285 - 15		
		(d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category $3\&4$ , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	9.52
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	9.52
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.71
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.90
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	28.56
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.13
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.71
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	95.185
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	57.111
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	19.037

4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. It was bought to the notice of the committee that M/s Arvind Aviation has submitted blank pages in the bid due to which the bid has been considered as non responsive, therefore no clarification is required.

6. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on 09.04.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 15.04.2021. Some of the bidder has not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate however the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.N o	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise Turnover values.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
2	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	(i) UDIN number on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise Turnover values.</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. it was observed that the bidder

. Page 2 of 19

		clarify	(ii) The hidden has	
		<ul> <li>(ii) Which project has been considered for similar work under category 1 &amp; 3, along with the experience certificate. Please clarify.</li> </ul>	(ii) The bidder has claimed experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3 for sector Road, PMGSY Road and MDR Road etc.	However as per RFP these Roads comes under category 4. Hence not considered for single work as per RFP clause 2.2.2.5 (iii). Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive.
		<ul> <li>(iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value for all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify.</li> </ul>	(iii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.	*
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	<ul> <li>UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value for all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify.</li> </ul>	(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
4	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<ul> <li>(i) Re submit UDIN number for Appendix X, XI in clear print.</li> <li>(ii) UDIN number for all eligible projects could not be located.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder has UDIN number in clear print.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
5	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	<ul> <li>UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify</li> </ul>	(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise Turnover values.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

M

th

Am for

Page 3 of 19

6.	M/s DMR Builders Private Limited	<ul> <li>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, , experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</li> <li>(ii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.</li> <li>(iii) Mismatch in the values of the Eligible project submitted in UDIN on ICAI Portal. Please clarify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise Turnover values.</li> <li>(iii) The bidder clarifies that "Regarding mismatch in the values of eligible project on ICAI portal, it is clarified that it is just a difference in between the actual</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
7	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	<ul> <li>(i) Annexure XI is not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.</li> <li>(ii) Annexure VI value of A and B is considered as nil. Please clarify</li> <li>(iii) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure XI as per RFP format.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder clarifies that "The Error is of clerical in nature. The corrected document is attached for your kind reference".</li> <li>(iii) The bidder has submitted undertaking regarding non submission of Audited balance sheet for FY 2019- 20.</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s B. P. Construction (JV)	<ul> <li>A. M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd.</li> <li>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd.</li> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</li> <li>B. M/s B. P. Construction</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
	<ul> <li>B. M/s B. P. Construction</li> <li>(i) Annexure VI is not submitted as per RFP.</li> <li>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure VI as per RFP format.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</li> </ul>	
M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	<ul> <li>A. M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited</li> <li>(i) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify.</li> <li>(ii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value for all submitted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited</li> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

forl

thy th

Ann

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	
сы « т.		Please clarify. (iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.	submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise Turnover values. (iv) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	
	×	<ul> <li>(iv) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, , experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</li> <li>B. M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited</li> <li>(i) UDIN number for Appendix x is incorrect. Please clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>B. M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited</li> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted UDIN number.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, , experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</li> </ul>		
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	<ul> <li>(i) UDIN number for project code A,B,F,G could not be located. Please clarify</li> <li>(ii) "Units" in UDIN on ICAI for Appendix xi could not be located. Please clarify</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The Bidder has submitted UDIN number for project code A,B,F,G.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder clarifies that the units are in Lakhs for Appendix xi in UDIN on ICAI portal.</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

Maps the any

Page 6 of 19

11 M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/	A. M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited s (i) For consideration of	A. M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited (i) The bidder has submitted	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was
Om Parkash and Compan JV	single work under	the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	observed that as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) the bidder has to provide least one similar work of 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 28.56 Cr. and the bidder has not submitted as such experience of Rs 28.56 Cr or more.
	<ul> <li>(ii) As per submitted ( Appendix XI (8) "This is also certified that turnover mentioned in para 5 is in respect of execution of construction/ civil /engineering activities and does not include any trading activity" but as per audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20, 2018-19, 2017-18, 2016-17, 2015-16 it has been observed that the income of the firm is from "sale of services" for all respective years. Please clarify.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ii) The bidder clarifies that the nature of the business is civil construction and work contract are considered as supply of service. So in finance statement work contracts are shown as sales of service.</li> </ul>	Therefore, the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive.
	(iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal (i does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects average of last five years.	
	B. M/s Om Parkash and Company	B. M/s Om Parkash and Company	¥
	<ul> <li>(i) For consideration of ( single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> <li>(ii) "Units" in UDIN on ICAI (ii)</li> </ul>	the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	

MP th

Annos

1				
		for Appendix xi could not be located. Please clarify.	the units are in Crore.	
12	M/s Jayzee Construction	<ul> <li>(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.</li> <li>(ii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the Net worth. Please clarify.</li> <li>(iii) Re submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</li> <li>(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which depicts net worth value in UDIN on ICAI portal.</li> <li>(iii) The bidder has submitted audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	(i) For Project code A to D and I submitted for annexure IV it has been observed that the bidder has worked in JV, while the Statutory auditor only reflects the total payment rather than year wise payment. The same is reflect in UDIN on ICAI portal also.	<ul> <li>(i) The bidder clarifies that "For Project code A to D and I submitted for annexure IV, the Statutory auditor has reflected the year wise payment (i.e. from F.Y. 2015-16 to 2019-20) including the total payment received in last 5 years and also the share of J.K. Mahanta in the CA Certificates. The same can be located in Part 4 - page no. 107 (Project code A), page no. L10 (Project code B), page no. 113 (Project code C), page no. 116 (Project code D) &amp; part 5 - page no. 131. (Project code l) of Technical Bid submitted by us. Further we have submitted in Part 8 - page nos. 246 &amp; 247 the year wise payment details uploaded on ICAI portal. The uploaded year wise payment certificates from statutory auditor are attached herewith for your reference".</li> </ul>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

Assil

Nh p Al

Amoz

Page 8 of 19

-				
		(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	(ii) The bidder has the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	
		(iii)Appendix XI, X is not submitted as per RFP format ALONG WITH UDIN number which depict the same values.	(iii) The bidder has submitted Appendix X, XI as per RFP format.	
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	<ul> <li>(i) Annexure VI for calculation of Bid Capacity CA certificate with UDIN number could not be located. Please clarify</li> </ul>	(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure VI as per RFP Format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. The committee was informed that the Threshold Technical capacity of the
		(ii) UDIN number on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify	(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Appendix XI.	firm should be Rs. 95.185 Cr. but it was observed that the bidder has no such experience which fulfils the criteria. The committee was also informed that as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) the bidder has to provide
		(iii) Annexure II Technical Capacity of the Bidder is not submitted as per RFP format.	(iii)The bidder has submitted Annexure II.	bidder has to provide least one similar work of 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 28.56 Cr. and the bidder has no such experience. Therefore, the
		(iv) Annexure IV Details of Eligible Projects is not submitted as per RFP format.	(iv) The bidder has submitted annexure iv as per RFP format.	committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive.
		<ul> <li>(v) For consideration</li> <li>of single work</li> <li>under category 1 &amp;</li> <li>3, experience</li> <li>certificate from</li> <li>the authority could</li> </ul>	(v) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	

free My

th

Shings

Page 9 of 19

		not be located .Please identify the page number		
15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises JV	and clarify.A. M/sKBMEnterprises(i) As per submitted Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19 it has been observed that sales and Contract Receipt includes agriculture produce and supply of material have been added and bifurcation of these receivable value could not be located. Please clarify.	A. M/s KBM Enterprises i) The bidder has submitted bifurcation of the receivable values.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
		(ii) Appendix IA Annexure VI could not in RFP format. Please Clarify.	ii) The bidder has submitted Appendix IA Annexure VI as per RFP format.	
		(iii)For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	(iii) The bidder has submitted the experience certificate of single work under category 1 & 3.	
		(iv) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	(iv) The bidder has submitted Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects as per RFP format.	
		B. M/s EL & TY Enterprises	B. M/s EL & TY Enterprises	
		(i) "Units" in UDIN on ICAI for Appendix xi could not be located. Please	i) The bidder clarifies that the units are in Lakh.	
		clarify (ii)UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5	ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise	
	/	foold My	the my	Page 10 of 19
		V		

any

	years. Please clarify.	Turnover values.	
--	------------------------	------------------	--

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -1.

8. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive	0
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
5	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
6	M/s DMR Builders Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
7	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	Technically Responsive	0
8	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s B. P. Construction (JV)	Technically Responsive	1 - Manipur (SKV)
9	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	Technically Responsive	1 - Nagaland (OIA) 1 - Andaman & Nicobar (Kaba)
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
11	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/s Om Parkash and Company JV	Technically Non Responsive	0
12	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive	0
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Technically Responsive	1 - Arunachal Pradesh
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive	0
15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises <b>JV</b>	Technically Responsive	1 - Nagaland (KBM)
16	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
17	M/s Arvind Aviation	Technically Non Responsive	0

for

Page 11 of 19

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 13 (Thirteen) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah (ED) Chairman

B. Sh prasad (GM-Tech) Member

A.K. Uha (GM-Tech) Member

Bhaskar Mallick Manager -Fin. Member

Page 12 of 19

## Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 95.16 Cr.	2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 28.56 Cr.	least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 57.11 Cr.	capacity) i.e. Rs. 19.04 Cr.
1	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	112.67 Cr	Yes (Rs 45.09 Cr)	NA	NA
2	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	107.47 Cr	No ( Rs 0 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	208.80 Cr	Yes ( Rs 52.82 Cr)	NA	NA
4	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	593.68 Cr	Yes ( Rs 204.41 Cr)	NA	NA
5	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	127.29 Cr	Yes (Rs 45.16 Cr)	NA	NA
6	M/s DMR Builders Private Limited	226.76 Cr	YES ( Rs 32.46 Cr)	NA	NA
7	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	105.37 Cr	Yes ( Rs 53.68)	Yes ( Rs 259.16 Cr)	Yes ( Rs 259.16 Cr)
8	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s B. P. Construction (JV)	NA	Yes ( Rs 37.29 Cr)	Yes ( Rs 137.69 Cr)	Yes ( Rs 96.35 Cr)
9	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	NA	Yes ( Rs 28.67 Cr)	338.76 Cr	28.67 Cr
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	205.61 Cr	Yes ( Rs 58.28 Cr)	NA	NA
11	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/s Om Parkash and Company <b>JV</b>	NA	No ( Rs 0 Cr)	65.45 Cr	19.89 Cr

 $\langle$ 

Page 13 of 19

12	M/s Jayzee Construction	133.66 Cr	Yes ( Rs 133.66 Cr)	NA	NA
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	248.81 Cr	Yes ( Rs 61.76 Cr)	NA	NA
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	0 Cr	Yes (Rs 0 Cr)	NA	NA
15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises JV	NA	Yes ( Rs 48.21 Cr)	86.65 Cr	45.91 Cr
16	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited	126.39 Cr	Yes ( Rs 61.45 Cr)	NA	NA
17	M/s Arvind Aviation	0 Cr	No (Rs 0 Cr)	NA	NA

1.7		Summary o	f Financial Ev	aluation		
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR 9.5 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 28.56 Crores)	
1.	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	SE	-	11.59 Cr	97.43 Cr	Y
2.	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	SE		20.07 Cr	42.95 Cr	Y
3.	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	SE	-	33.83 Cr	149.47 Cr	Y
4.	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	119.02 Cr	246.83 Cr	Y
5.	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	20.84 Cr	50.92 Cr	Y
6.	M/s DMR Builders Private Limited	SE	-	19.44 Cr	69.08 Cr	Y
7.	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	SE	-	29.19 Cr	54.27 Cr	Y
8.	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. - M/s B. P. Construction (JV)	JV	80-20	Lead - 8.19 Cr Other- 6.58 Cr	Lead - 59.53 Cr Other-26.66 Cr	Y
9.	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	JΛ	60-40	Lead - 149.76 Cr Other- 4.87 Cr	Lead-117.28 Cr Other - 14.44 Cr	Y
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	SE	-	41.95 Cr	155.91 Cr	Y
11	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/s Om Parkash and Company <b>JV</b>	Jγ	80-20	Lead - 6.24 Cr Other- 4.98 Cr	Lead - 18.93 Cr Other-20.83 Cr	Y
12	M/s Jayzee Construction	SE	-	11.78 Cr	44.77 Cr	Y
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	SE	-	34.54 Cr	130.65 Cr	Y

Page 15 of 19

r. *		Summary of	Financial Eva	aluation		
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR 9.5 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 28.56 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requireme nt
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	SE		32.23 Cr	105.76 Cr	Y
15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises <b>JV</b>	JV	80-20	Lead - 22.83 Cr Other- 4.87 Cr	Lead-37.51 Cr Other - 26.65 Cr	Y
16	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited	SE	-	11.37 Cr	46.90 Cr.	Y
17	M/s Arvind Aviation	SE	-	0 Cr	0 Cr	Y

.

			Statement	of Bid Capac	ity Assessm	ent			
		Minimum	Requiremer	nt of Bid Cap	bacity = Rs.	95.185 (	rore		
									1
				Calculate	d / Assessed				
S No	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	Whether Qualifying or Not
1	M/s Ratna Infrastructure Projects Private Limited	2017	1.10	119.2	131.12	1.5	55.7 4	435.9 6	Yes
2	M/s Mahashiv Promoters Private Limited	2018	1.05	51.51	54.09	1.5	0	193.1 6	Yes
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	2015	1.20	167.36	200.83	1.5	287. 91	465.2 1	Yes
4	M/s Ajwani Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	2018	1.05	338.61	355.54	1.5	358. 85	974.4 3	Yes
5	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.1	71.7	78.87	1.5	30.6 1	265.1 5	Yes
6	M/s DMR Builders Private	2016	1.15	113.16	130.13	1.5	113. 51	375.2 7	Yes

Page 17 of 19

ι T	Limited								
7	M/s C Gopal Reddy and Co	2018	1.05	130.88	137.42	1.5	0	515.3 4	Yes
8	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s B. P. Construction (JV)								
	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.1	85	93.50	1.5	26.9 8	323.6 5	Yes
	M/s B. P. Construction	2015	1.2	37.91	45.49	1.5	21.4 7	149.1 3	Yes
							Total	472.7 7	
9	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited JV M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited								
	M/s Overseas Infrastructure Alliance (INDIA) Private Limited	2015	1.2	174.66	209.59	1.5	532. 72	253.2 5	Yes
	M/s Kaba Infratech Private Limited	2019	1	27.47	27.47	1.5	1.86	101.1 5	Yes
							Total	354.4 0	Yes
10	M/s K.C.V.R Infra Projects Private Limited	2016	1.15	186.2	214.13	1.5	0	445.7 3	Yes
11	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited - M/s Om Parkash and		-						

Page 18 of 19

b									
4	1		T	E		r.	1	1 1	
1 P*	Company JV								
	M/s P.S. Infrabuild Private Limited	2015	1.20	42.71	51.25	1.5	82.0 3	110.16	Yes
	M/s Om Parkash and Company	2016	1.15	22.42	25.78	1.5	6.96	89.73	Yes
							Total	199.8 9	Yes
12	M/s Jayzee Construction	2019	1	73.20	73.20	1.5	0	274.50	Yes
13	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	2015	1.20	150.24	180.29	1.5	116. 21	559.87	Yes
14	M/s Chakradhar Contractors and Engineers Private Limited	2019	1	317.51	317.51	1.5	456. 3	734.36	Yes
15	M/s KBM Enterprises - M/s EL & TY Enterprises <b>JV</b>								
	M/s KBM Enterprises	2017	1.1	85	93.50	1.5	26.9 8	323.65	Yes
	M/s EL & TY Enterprises	2015	1.2	37.91	45.49	1.5	21.4 7	149.13	Yes
							Total	472.7 7	Yes
16	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Private Limited	2018	1.05	49.78	52.27	1.5	27.5	168.51	Yes
17	M/s Arvind Aviation		ł	1	1			-	No