

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

प्रथम तल, टावर ए, वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली-110029,

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India

First Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, Tel: +91 11 26768950, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Procurement/Nagaland/2023-24/5002

Date: 25.02.2025

To,

All Respective Bidders,

Sub: Construction of 2-laning with Paved/ Hard Shoulder of Peren-Dimapur road section on NH-129A from design km 120.320 to design km 123.200 (Design length: 2.88 km in Peren town) in the State of Nagaland on EPC Mode under NH (O) (Package-1A) under SARDP-NE - **Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.**

Ref: NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil Work/Peren Town/Pkg-1A dated 10.10.2024.

Please refer to your bids submitted online on CPP Portal for the subject mentioned work. The following is the result of technical evaluation:

S. No.	Name of the bidder	Responsiveness	Local Supplier Status	Remarks
1	M/s K.C Angami & Sons Consortium	Provisionally Technically Responsive	Class-I-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject to submission of documents as detailed in Annexure-I.
2	M/s Spar Geo Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP as detailed in Annexure-I. SA certificate for Local Content does not mention project name.
3	M/s BCC Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	Class-I-Local Supplier	-
4	M/s Regal Enterprises	Technically Responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certificate for Local Content is not duly signed by the Statutory Auditor.
5	M/s Ace Construction	Technically Non-responsive	Class-I-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP as detailed in Annexure-I.
6	M/s Vertex Construction	Provisionally Technically Responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject to submission of documents as detailed in Annexure-I.
7	M/s Hardayal Constructions and Projects LLP	Provisionally Technically Responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject to submission of documents as detailed in Annexure-I.

8	M/s Affluent Enterprises & Services	Technically Non-responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) as detailed in Annexure-I. Certificate for Local Content by the Statutory Auditor is not available.
9	M/s Mayasheel Ventures Limited	Provisionally Technically Responsive	Non-Local Supplier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject to submission of documents as detailed in Annexure-I. SA certificate for Local Content does not mention project name.
10	M/s Nithin Sai Constructions	Technically Responsive	'Class-I-Local Supplier	-

2. As per Note-4 of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.1 of RFP, the bidders are required to submit the updated bid capacity by 1100 hrs. on 04.03.2025 on official mail ID as mentioned in RFP before opening the Financial Bids. Further, in reference to Cl. 2.19 of RFP, the bidders may submit their representations, in case of any objection, within 7 days from the date of uploading of technical result (i.e., on or before 04.03.2025).

3. Financial bids of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 05.03.2025 at 1500 hrs. at NHIDCL, HQ.


A.K Jha
General Manager (Tech)

Annexure-I

- a. **M/s K.C Angami & Sons Consortium:** The bidder has not submitted appendix-IB i.e., Letter comprising the Financial Bid as per clause 2.11.1 (p) of RFP.
- b. **M/s Spar Geo Pvt. Ltd:** The bidder is not meeting the required criteria of having at least One Similar work completed of category 1 or 3 of 20% of Estimated project cost as required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. The bidder has claimed five works out of which none have been found meeting the requirement to be considered under category 3 i.e. construction in highways sector. Hence, M/s Spar Geo Pvt. Ltd does not fulfil the criteria for single similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and thus considered technically non-responsive.
- c. **M/s ACE Construction:** The bidder is not meeting the required criteria of having at least One Similar work completed of category 1 or 3 of 20% Estimated project cost as mentioned under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. The bidder has claimed four works out of which none have been found meeting the requirement to be considered under category 3 i.e. construction in highways sector. Further, the bidder M/s ACE Construction has claimed Average Annual Turnover of Rs. 79.72 crore as per Annexure-III and Appendix-XI submitted in the bid. On examination of the financial statements for preceding 5 years, it was observed that the P&L statements of the company reflect the revenue from sales which have not been considered for calculation of turnover, rendering the Average Annual turnover and bid capacity as zero. Hence, M/s ACE Construction does not fulfill the criteria for single similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and does not meet the required criteria for financial capacity as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) and 2.2.2.1 of RFP thus considered technically non-responsive.
- d. **M/s Vertex Construction:** Out of the 12 projects claimed by the bidder, project code A & D can be considered as similar work, however, only these projects (A & D), are attached which either not contain details of project cost or are not accompanied with the work experience certificate from the project owning department as per instruction no.16 of annexure-IV of RFP. Therefore, project code A & D may be considered as similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, subject to the submission of experience certificate including project cost details.
- e. **M/s Hardayal Constructions & Projects LLP:** The bidder has not submitted Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) as per format given in Appendix-IX as per clause 2.11.2 (j) of RFP. The bidder has submitted Local Content Certificate without project name.
- f. **M/s Affluent Enterprises and Services:** The bidder is not meeting the required criteria of having Threshold technical capacity as mentioned under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) (A) of RFP. One of the projects is SR and thus not considered eligible work as per clause 2.2.2.5 (v). The technical capacity of bidder calculated as per RFP comes out to be Rs. 17.66 crore against requirement of Rs. 37.96 crore. Hence, M/s Affluent Enterprises and Services is considered technically non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP.
- g. **M/s Mayasheel Ventures Ltd:** Out of 6 projects claimed by the bidder, project code A can be considered as similar work, however, only project code A is attached which either not contain details of project cost or are not accompanied with the work experience certificate from the project owning department as per instruction no.16 of annexure-IV of RFP. Therefore, project code A may be considered as similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, subject to the submission of experience certificate including project cost details.