

NHIDCL/Manipur/Civil Work/C-T/Pkg-3A/2020 /191094/2026 Date: 28.03.2021

То

All the respective bidders,

Sub: "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Hard shoulder of Churachandpur to Tuivai section of NH 102B from Design Chainage 69+875 km to 121+769 Km (PKG-3) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode" - Financial Bid Opening- Reg.

Ref.: Your Bid submitted on 25.03.2021

Tender ID: 2020_NHIDC_604948_1

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness	
No.			
1	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive	
2	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Responsive	
3	M/s Iron Triangle Limited	Responsive	
4	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Responsive	
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania- M/s Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	
6	M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited- M/s B Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive	
7	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd- M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive	

2. The financial Bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 30.03.2021 at 11:45 AM at NHIDCL HQ.

(K C Bhatt) 2832

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 28.03.2021 for "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Hard shoulder of Churachandpur to Tuivai section of NH 102B from Design Chainage 69+875 km to 121+769 Km (PKG-3) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode."

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 22.12.2020 with Bid due date 25.03.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 26.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 7 (Seven) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project. The following bidders submitted the bids;

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	
1	M/s M & S Co.	
2	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	
3	M/s Iron Triangle Limited	
4	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania- M/s Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (JV)	
6	M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited- M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	
7	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd- M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Sr.		I		Details of d	ocument subm	itted as per R	FP	
no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Persor having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
i.	M/s M & S Co.	Yes -	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

S								
no	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Fower of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Eid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (Far work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Eid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Iron Triangle Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania- Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited- M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd- M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 7 (Seven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 59,600/- (Rupees Fifty Nine Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) observed that 01 (one) bidder M/s Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (OM) which is a other member in JV partner with M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania (LM) have already emerged as L1 bidder in two works in NHIDCL. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania- M/s Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (JV) is not eligible for evaluation for the subject project. Accordingly, the Committee evaluated technical bids of remaining 6 (Six) bidders.

7. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 475.86 Crore.

Sr. No	Particulars	Amount in Rs Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	475.86
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	475.86
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	285.52
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	95.17
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	71.38
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i))	23.79
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	23.79
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	23.79
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.27
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.76
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	71.38
1 4 1	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	42.83
	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.28
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	237.97
10	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	142.76
17 1	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	47.59

8. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following firm is not eligible for opening of financial bids due to the reasons given against their name;

S. No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1		The bidder is not eligible as per Clause 2.1.15 of RFP since the other member of JV i.e. M/s Topline Infra Projects

S. No	Name of Bidders failing critaria	Reasons
	Private Ltd. (JV)	Private Ltd (OM) have already emerged as L1 bidder in two works in NHIDCL

9. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive
2	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Responsive
3	M/s Iron Triangle Limited	Responsive
4	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Responsive
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania- M/s Topline Infra Projects Private Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive
6	M/s Ranjit Buildcon Limited- M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
7	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd- M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive

10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 6 (Six) responsive bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

11. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah, (ED-V) Convener

K C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary

12. Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Fin) Member