

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-29/Dab-Man/Pkg-5/2021/ 828

Date: 28.03.2021


**Subject:** "Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder of Daboka - Khatkhathi stretch of NH-29 of Package 5 starting from Near Ganpath Gaur Gaon at Km 95+400 to Kwaram Taro village at Km 113+330 (Design KM 96+400 to Km 113+830) in the state of Assam on EPC mode" under NH (O)-NE"- **Result of Technical Evaluation of Bids reg.**

**Reference:** 2021\_NHIDC\_617601\_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsive/Non-Responsive of the participated bidders are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1.	M/s BKSONS Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Balaji Construction Company	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
3.	M/s Gaaneshkartikey Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Jayzee Construction	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
5.	M/s Ganpati Builders	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6.	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Buru Enterprises	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
8.	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Technically Responsive
9.	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10.	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Company- M/s Park Infrastructure Limited (JV)	Technically Responsive
11.	M/s M.P. Agarwalla - Md. Matlebuddin Ahmed (JV)	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid will be opened on 30.03.2021 at 1100 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

  
28/3/21  
(K.C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)



**National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 27.03.2021 for "Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder of Daboka - Khatkhathi stretch of NH-29 of Package 5 starting from Near Ganpath Gaur Gaon at Km 95+400 to Kwaram Taro village at Km 113+330 (Design KM 96+400 to Km 113+830) in the state of Assam on EPC mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 19.02.2021 with Bid due date 18.03.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 19.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 11 (Eleven) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1.	M/s BKSONS Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
2.	M/s Balaji Construction Company
3.	M/s Gaaneshkartikey Construction Pvt. Ltd.
4.	M/s Jayzee Construction
5.	M/s Ganpati Builders
6.	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt. Ltd
7.	M/s Buru Enterprises
8.	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP
9.	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
10.	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Company- M/s Park Infrastructure Limited (JV)
11.	M/s M.P. Agarwalla - Md. Matlebuddin Ahmed (JV)

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bidder's Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s BKSONS Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

*[Handwritten signatures and initials]*



Bid der s Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Secur ing decla ration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid docume nt Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	M/s Balaji Construction Company	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Gaaneshkartikey Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Jayzee Construction	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Ganpati Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt. Ltd	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	M/s Buru Enterprises	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Company- M/s Park Infrastructure Limited (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s M.P. Agarwalla - Md. Matlebuddin Ahmed (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 11 (Eleven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 176.83 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	176.83
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	88.42
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	53.05
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.68
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15%</b> of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	26.52
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	8.84
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).

ke

Am

Shraw

KR

8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	8.84
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	8.84
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.30
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.77
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	26.52
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.92
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.31
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	88.42
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	53.05
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.68

7. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following 4 (Four) firms are not eligible. The reasons are given against their name;

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Balaji Construction Company	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i), the bidder should have a minimum Net Worth (the "Financial Capacity") of 5% (five percent) of the Estimated Project Cost at the close of the preceding financial year. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i) required Net Worth is Rs. 8.84 Cr. However, Net Worth of the bidder as per audited Balance Sheet as on 31.03.2020 is 3.76 Cr. Since, the bidder has not met the required Net Worth. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	Bidder has not met the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) at least one similar work from Category-1 and/or Category-3 completed more than 90% within last five financial years. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
3	M/s Ganpati Builders	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i), the bidder should have a minimum Net Worth (the "Financial Capacity") of 5% (five percent) of the Estimated Project Cost at the close of the preceding financial year. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i) required Net Worth is Rs. 8.84 Cr. However, Net Worth of the bidder claimed and assessed is Rs. 8.36 Cr. Since bidder has not met the required Net Worth. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
4	M/s Buru Enterprises	The bidder furnished a different set of annual financial statements in bid for the project "Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from km 81+000 to km 95+400 (Design Chainage 80+930 to 96+400) of Loring Thepi – Ganapath Gaur Gaon Section - (Package-4) of NH-29 in the state of Assam on EPC mode" in NHIDCL under Assam division. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.

8. At evaluation stage the Committee observed that as per Appendix-XI of RFP, bidder should submit the annual turnover excluding component of indirect taxes such as Service Tax, VAT, Sales Tax and GST etc. However, Appendix-XI of some showing the turnover including indirect taxes. After considering the turnover excluding indirect taxes, the bidders remains eligible.

9. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI. The Committee observed that some bidders have not uploaded Net Worth as per audited last Balance Sheet and Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of all last five financial years. The Committee decided to

*12* *MJ* *JSU* *JS*



consider the values certified by the Statutory Auditor in Appendix-X and Appendix-XI with the value of Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account excluding the indirect taxes.


10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 7 (Seven) responsive bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

11. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1.	M/s BKSONS Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Balaji Construction Company	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
3.	M/s Gaaneshkartikey Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Jayzee Construction	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
5.	M/s Ganpati Builders	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6.	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Buru Enterprises	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
8.	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Technically Responsive
9.	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10.	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Company- M/s Park Infrastructure Limited (JV)	Technically Responsive
11.	M/s M.P. Agarwalla - Md. Matlebuddin Ahmed (JV)	Technically Responsive

12. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 7 (Seven) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener

  
K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary

  
A.K. Jha,  
GM (T)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager(Fin)