

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/Imp-Koh(NH-39)/Pkg-5B/2020/186099/2016

26.03.2021

To

All the respective bidders,

**Sub:** "Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Sekmai to Nilkhuthi section (Pkg-5B) of Imphal Kohima Road (Design Chainage from Km 297+700 to Km 308+460) of NH-39 in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode" - **Financial Bid Opening- Reg.**


**Ref.:** Your Bid submitted on 17.03.2021

**Tender ID: 2020\_NHIDC\_571047\_1**

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1.	M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Pramod Kumar Saxena Contractor	Technically Non -Responsive
3.	M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive
5.	M/s R & B Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive
6.	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Non -Responsive
7.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive

2. The financial Bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 27.03.2021 at 1200 Hrs at NHIDCL HQ.

  
26/3/21  
(K C Bhatt)  
Dy. GM (Tech.)

**National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 26.03.2021 for "Improvement/ Up-gradation of existing 2-Lane road to 4-Lane Divided Highway from Sekmai to Nilkhuthi section (Pkg-5B) of Imphal Kohima Road (Design Chainage from Km 297+700 to Km 308+460) of NH-39 in the state of Manipur on EPC Mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 13.07.2020 with Bid due date 17.03.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 18.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 7 (Seven) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1.	M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
2.	M/s Pramod Kumar Saxena Contractor
3.	M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.
4.	M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
5.	M/s R & B Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
6.	M/s Satya Builders
7.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bid der s Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Secur ing decla ration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid docume nt Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Pramod Kumar Saxena Contractor	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s R & B Infra Projects	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
	Pvt. Ltd.							
6.	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 7 (Seven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 144.56 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	144.56
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	72.28
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	43.37
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.46
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work</b> –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	21.68
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	7.23
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	7.23
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	7.23
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.34
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.45
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	21.68
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.01

14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.34
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	72.28
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	43.37
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.46

7. On opening of the technical bids, the Committee observed that M/s Satya Builders has already been awarded two works in NHIDCL under Manipur division. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Satya Builders has not been considered for evaluation for the subject project. Therefore, the Committee evaluated technical bids of remaining 6 (Six) bidders.

8. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following 5 (Five) firms are not eligible. The reasons are given against their name;

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Pramod Kumar Saxena Contractor	Bidder has not met the threshold technical capacity of the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder has not submitted the eligible projects to qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Accordingly, bidder has not met the eligibility criteria of the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
3	M/s R & B Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder has not submitted the Annual Financial Statements of last five financial years and Appendix-X and Appendix-XI. Since the bidder has not submitted required essential bidding documents. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
4	M/s Satya Builders	As per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of the bidder has not considered for evaluation.
5	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	As per Sl. no. 16 of Annexure-IV of RFP, bidder has not submitted as the work completion certificate issued by the client for the work claimed by the bidder for similar work under the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Accordingly, bidder has not met the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.

9. At evaluation stage the Committee observed that as per Appendix-XI of RFP, bidder should submit the annual turnover excluding component of indirect taxes such as Service Tax, VAT, Sales Tax and GST etc. However, Appendix-XI of M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd. and M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd. showing the turnover including indirect taxes. After considering the impact, the bidders remains eligible.

10. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI. The Committee observed that M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd. has uploaded turnover on UDIN portal of two financial years 2018-19 & 2019-20 and M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd. uploaded turnover of financial year 2019-20 only. The Committee decided to consider the values certified by Statutory Auditor in Appendix-X and Appendix-XI matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account excluding the indirect taxes. However, if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

11. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 02 (Two) responsive bidders is attached as Annexure-I.

12. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:






Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1.	M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Pramod Kumar Saxena Contractor	Technically Non -Responsive
3.	M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s B. Patel Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive
5.	M/s R & B Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive
6.	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Non -Responsive
7.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non -Responsive

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 02 (Two) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener

  
K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary

  
Col. B. Shivprasad,  
GM (T)  
Member

  
Sandeep Kumar,  
Manager (Fin)

Sr. No.	1	2
Name of the bidder	M/s RSV Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	M/s NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.
	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole
Country	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 72.28 Cr. LM=43.37 Cr. OM=14.46 Cr.	161.26 Cr.	529.41 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 21.68 Cr.	40.07 Cr. "a"	73.46 "A"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) Sole=7.23, LM=4.34, OM=1.45	31.16 Cr.	62.36 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) Sole=21.68, LM=13.01, OM=4.34	80.49 Cr.	182.81 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) Sole=72.28, LM=43.37, OM=14.46	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-
	Cost	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive

