# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4—संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली—110001

#### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(मारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

## NHIDCL/MANIPUR/TAMENGLONG-MAHUR/2020/PKG-3-Part(1)/192345/ 20 4

Dated: 25.03.2021

To,

## All the Bidders, (listed below)

Sub: Construction of two lanes with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur in the state of Manipur from km 20.500 at Old Tamenglong to km 30.800 near Phelong (Package-3) on EPC mode. - Technical Evaluation Result - Regd.

**Ref:** NIT & RFP Bid document uploaded on CPP Portal on 29.01.2021 with Bid due date 17.03.2021.

Tender Id: 2020\_NHIDC\_ 601034 \_1

Based on Technical Evaluation, Following **02** (Two) bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
4	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Non-Responsive
5	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Technically Non-Responsive

**2.** Authority shall open the financial bids of all Technically Responsive bidders on **26.03.2021** (Friday) at **14.30** hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor PTI Building, 4 - Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001 in the presence of the Authorized Representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

(K. C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)

# National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 24.03.2021 for "Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road of Tamenglong Mahur section in the state of Manipur from km 20.500 at Old Tamenglong to km 30.800 ending near Phelong (Package-3) on EPC mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 29.01.2021 with Bid due date 17.03.2021.

- 2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 18.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.
- 3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 5 (Five) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1.	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
2.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited
3.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.
4.	M/s Satya Builders
5.	M/s Krishna Infrastructure

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

### A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bid		Details of document submitted as per RFP						
der s Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid docume nt Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

fish

d

the

1/3

- 5. The Committee observed that all 5 (Five) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.
- 6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 176.54 Crore.

Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
Estimated Project Cost	176.54
Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	88.27
Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	52.96
Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.65
Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15</b> % of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	26.48
For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	8.83
Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	8.83
Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	8.83
Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.30
Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.77
Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	26.48
Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.89
Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.30
Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	88.27
Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	52.96
Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.65
	Estimated Project Cost  Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)  Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)  Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)  Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)  For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) 1)  Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )  Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)  Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)  Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)  Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Cher Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)  Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)

7. On opening of the technical bids, the Committee observed that M/s Satya Builders has already been awarded two works in NHIDCL under Manipur division. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Satya Builders is not eligible for evaluation for the subject project. Accordingly, the Committee evaluated technical bids of remaining 4 (Four) bidders.

2

Kh

2/3

8. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI. The Committee observed that both bidders have uploaded turnover on UDIN portal for last two financial years only. The Committee decided to consider the values certified by Statutory Auditor in Appendix-X and Appendix-XI matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

9. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following three firms are not eligible.

The reasons given against their name;

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons		
1	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	As per Sl. no. 16 of Annexure-IV of RFP, bidder has not submitted the work completion certificate issued by the client for the work claimed by the bidder for similar work under the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Therefore, bidder has not met the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.		
2	M/s Satya Builders	As per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of the bidder not considered for evaluation.		
3	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii), similar claimed by the bidder should be from the eligible projects of Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. However, similar work claimed by the bidder is MDR project and same does not fall under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) (IV). Therefore, bidder has not met the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.		

- 10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 02 (Two) responsive bidders is attached as Annexure-I.
- 11. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness	
1	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive	
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Responsive	
3	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	
4	M/s Satya Builders	Non-Responsive	
5	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non-Responsive	

12. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 02 (Two) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah,

(ED-V) Convener K C Bhatt, DGM(T)

Member Secretary

A.K. Jha, GM (T)

Member

Sandeep Kumar, Manager (Fin)

Sr. No.			2	
Name of the bidder		M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd. (lead member)	M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (other member)	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited
		51%	49%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Cl Sole = 88.27 Cr.	ause 2.2.2.2 (i)	205.09 Cr.	56.11 Cr.	
Sole = 88.27 Cr. LM=52.96 Cr. OM=17.65 Cr.		261.20 Cr.		715.08 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 26.48 Cr.		88.89 Cr. "a" of lead member		70.29 Cr. "D"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.)	Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.)		8.05 Cr.	
Sole=8.83, LM=5.30, OM=1.77		25.79 Cr.		631.65 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. i	Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.)		22.88 Cr.	
Sole=26.48, LM=15.89, OM=5.30		75.18	487.31 Cr.	
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) Sole=88.27, LM=52.96 OM=17.65		Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes
Decisete held with NUIDO	Nos.	.50		-
Projects held with NHIDCL	Cost	-	-	s=x
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Respo	Responsive	

k fores

A

Ayh