राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम) (A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-29/Dab-Man/ Pkg-6/2020/184250/824 Date:25.03.2021

Sub: "Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from km 113+300 to km 131+500 (Design Chainage 113+830 to 131+152) of Kwaram Taro Village - Manja Section - (Package-6) of NH-29 in the state of Assam on EPC mode"- **Result of Technical Evaluation of Bids reg.**

Reference: 2020_NHIDC_558532_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsiveness of the participated bidders are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	**Non-Responsive**
2	M/s E5 Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Responsive
4	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive
6	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.-M/s Babul Nath (JV)	Responsive
7	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Responsive
9	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Sarjan Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	**Non- Responsive**
12	M/s SS Builders- M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive

2. Financial bid will be opened on 30.03.2021 at 1700 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

25/3/21

(K.C. Bhatt)
Dy. General Manager (Tech)

1497800/2021/Technical

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 04.03.2021 for "Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from km 113+300 to km 131+500 (Design Chainage 113+830 to 131+152) of Kwaram Taro Village - Manja Section - (Package-6) of NH-29 in the state of Assam on EPC mode"

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 11.05.2020 with Bid due date 21.12.2020.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 22.12.2020 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 12 (Twelve) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	-
2	M/s E5 Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	-
3	M/s Singh Construction Co.	-
4	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	-
5	M/s M & S Co.	-
6	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.- M/s Babul Nath (JV)	-
7	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	-
8	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	-
9	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	-
10	M/s Sarjan Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	-
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	-
12	M/s SS Builders- M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	-

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	M/s E5 Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
5	M/s M & S Co.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.-M/s Babul Nath (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Sarjan Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
12	M/s SS Builders- M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all the 10 (Ten) out of 12 (Twelve) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 35,400/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand and Four Hundred Only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date. Two bidders namely M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd. and M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd. have submitted Rs. 23,600 (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) online ~~or~~ based on the project cost invited initially. However, due to increase in the project cost, difference amount of bid document fees Rs. 11,800 (Rupees Eleven Thousand Eight Hundred only) have been submitted by both bidders.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 220.20 Crore.

2/6

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	220.20
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	330.30
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	198.18
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	66.06
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least **One Similar Completed Work –15%** of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	33.03
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	22.02
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	22.02
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	11.01
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	6.61
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.20
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	44.04
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	26.42
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	8.81
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	110.10
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	66.06
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	22.02
18	Additional experience in construction of Bridge/ROBs/Flyovers shall have completed at least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	15 m

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 12 (Twelve) bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

8. Observations of the Committee:

The Committee observed that 3 bidders out of 12 (Twelve) bidders, have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2018-19 and annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to FY 2014-15. Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial statements for annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to 2014-15 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP and from FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP.

During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidder has failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP.The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

3/6

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
1	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	As per Corrigendum-V dated 18.08.2020, technical threshold capacity required Rs. 330.30 Cr. As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i), bidder should meet the threshold technical capacity. Bidder has been claimed threshold technical capacity Rs. 336.56 Cr by 9 projects. As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.6 *(ii), "for a project to qualify as a eligible project under category 3 & 4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than 10% of estimated project cost for which RFP has been invited"*. The payment received in respect of project code B, H, and I were less than 10% of estimated project cost. Accordingly, threshold technical capacity has been assessed Rs. 286.42 Cr. excluding projects no. B, H, and I. Therefore, bidder has not met the required threshold technical capacity. Hence, bidder has been considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should upload all required documents on CPP portal to meet the threshold technical capacity. Bidder should claim their threshold technical capacity in Annexure-II of Appendix-IA, details of projects in Annexure-IV of RFP and statutory auditor's certificates as per format given in point no. 13, "Certificate from the Statutory Auditor regarding PPP projects" and/or 14, "Certificate regarding construction works" of Annexure-IV of RFP. However, Annexure-IV and statutory auditor's certificates given in point no. 13 and/or 14 in Annexure-IV cloud not be uploaded on CPP portal. Therefore, it has been observed that bidder has been uploaded incomplete documents on CPP portal. Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.

9. Further the committee had sought clarification from following bidders' w.r.t to their submitted documents. The bidders have furnished their comments as below:

S.No.	Name of Bidder	Clarification sought	Bidder's Reply	Remarks
1	M/s E5 Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should upload Net Worth UDIN portal. However, bidder has uploaded Share Capital only on UDIN portal. (RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i))	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should upload Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of last five years. However, annual turnover uploaded on UDIN portal of FY 2019-20 only. Please upload the annual turnover of remaining four years from FY 2015-16 to FY 2018-19 on UDIN portal. (RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (ii))	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should completed at least one similar Bridge having span equal to or greater 15m (equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project). However, same could not be located in the technical bid. Please indicate the exact location of project has been submitted in the technical bid to qualify criteria under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory
2	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Bidder has been submitted Financial Statements of last five financial years from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20. However, Net Worth claimed as per Balance Sheet prepared as on 31.03.2019 in Appendix-X. Please provide the updated Appendix-X with UDIN.	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder has been submitted Financial Statements of last five financial years from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20. However, Annual Turnover claimed from FY 2014-15 to 2018-19 in Appendix-XI. Please provide the updated Appendix-XI with UDIN.	Replied	Found satisfactory
3	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should upload Net Worth on UDIN portal as claimed in Appendix-X of RFP. However, Net Worth uploaded on UDIN portal not matched with Net Worth claimed in Appendix-X of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should upload year wise turnover on UDIN portal. However, Annual Turnover of from FY 2015-16 to FY 2018-19 has not been	Replied	Found satisfactory

4/6

1497800/2021/Technical

		uploaded on UDIN portal.		
4	M/s M & S Co.	Bidder should submit the cost of BID/RFP document Rs. 35,400 (Rs. 30,000 + 18% GST) as per Corrigendum-V dt. 18.08.2020 uploaded on NHIDCL website and CPP portal. However, copy of online payment receipt has been submitted by bidder of Rs. 23,600 only. (Cl. 1.2.4 of RFP)	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should submit the details of ongoing & awarded (for which LOA has been issued) works in NHIDCL in Annexure-IX of RFP. However, same could not be located.	Replied	Found satisfactory
5	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Bibber should certify that we are not disqualified in terms of the additional criteria specified by the Department of Disinvestment in their OM No. 6/4/2001-DD-II dated 13.7.01, a copy of which forms part of the RFP at Annexure VII of Appendix-IA of RFP. However, Annexure VII could not be located in the technical bid. Please provide the exact location of Annexure VII in the technical bid submitted.	Replied	Found satisfactory
6	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Bidder should completed at least one similar Bridge having span equal to or greater 15m (equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project). However, same could not be located in the technical bid. Please indicate the exact location of project has been submitted in the technical bid to qualify criteria under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should upload Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of last five years. However, annual turnover has not been uploaded on UDIN portal from FY 2014-15 to 2016-17. (RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (ii))	Replied	Found satisfactory
7	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should completed at least one similar Bridge having span equal to or greater 15m (equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project). However, same could not be located in the technical bid. Please indicate the exact location of project has been submitted in the technical bid to qualify criteria under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should upload Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of last five years. However, annual turnover has not been uploaded on UDIN portal from FY 2014-15 to 2016-17. (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) of RFP)	Replied	Found satisfactory
8	M/s Sarjan Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should completed at least one similar Bridge having span equal to or greater 15m (equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project). However, same could not be located in the technical bid. Please indicate the exact location of project has been submitted in the technical bid to qualify criteria under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should upload Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of last five years. However, annual turnover has not been uploaded on UDIN portal from FY 2015-16 to 2016-17. (RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3	Replied	Found satisfactory

5/6

		(ii))		
9	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should submit the cost of BID/RFP document Rs. 35,400 (Rs. 30,000 + 18% GST) as per Corrigendum-V dt. 18.08.2020 uploaded on NHIDCL website and CPP portal. However, copy of online payment receipt has been submitted by bidder of Rs. 23,600 only. Please clarify. (RFP Cl. Cl. 1.2.4)	Replied	Found satisfactory
10	M/s SS Builders-M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Bidder should upload Annual Turnover on UDIN portal of last five years. However, annual turnover **of M/s New India Constructions & Developers Pvt. Ltd.** (JV partner) has not been uploaded on UDIN portal from FY 2015-16 to FY 2017-18. (RFP Cl. 2.2.2.3 (ii))	Replied	Found satisfactory
		Bidder should completed at least one similar Bridge having span equal to or greater 15 m (equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project). However, same could not be located in the technical bid. Please indicate the exact location of project has been submitted in the technical bid of the both JV partners to qualify criteria under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.	Replied	Found satisfactory

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Shivam Transcon Pvt. Ltd.	**Non-Responsive**
2	M/s E5 Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Singh Construction Co.	Responsive
4	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive
6	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Ltd.-M/s Babul Nath (JV)	Responsive
7	M/s KRC Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Responsive
9	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Sarjan Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	**Non- Responsive**
12	M/s SS Builders- M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive

11. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 10 (Ten) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah, (ED-V) Convener	K C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary	A.K. Jha, GM (T) Member	Bhaskar Mallick,, Manager (Fin)

6/6