

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/CivilWork/I-J//2020/Pkg-2-Part(1)/194772/ 2012 24.03.2021

To

All the respective bidders,

Sub: "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Paved shoulder of Imphal to Jiribam section of NH-37 from Design Chainage 15.940 km to 33.120 Km (Total length=17.180 Km) (PKG-2) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode" - Financial Bid Opening- Reg.

Ref.: Your Bid submitted on 01.03.2021


Tender ID: 2021_NHIDC_613031_1

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s S.S. Civil Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Sreenivas Constructions-M/s SAIDAX Engineers & Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive
6	M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. - M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (JV)	Non-Responsive
7	M/s Satya Builders	Non-Responsive
8	M/s Sanwariya Construction	Non-Responsive
9	M/s RSGV Infra	Responsive

2. The financial Bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 25.03.2021 at 11.00 AM at NHIDCL HQ.

Encl: MoM of TEC dated 23.03. 2021


(K C Bhatt) 24/3/21
Dy. GM (Tech.)

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 23.03.2021 for "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Paved shoulder of Imphal to Jiribam section of NH-37 from Design Chainage 15.940 km to 33.120 Km (Total length=17.180 Km) (PKG-2) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 30.01.2021 with Bid due date 01.03.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 02.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 9 (Nine) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project. The following bidders submitted the bids;

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s S.S. Civil Construction Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.
4	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.
5	M/s Sreenivas Constructions-M/s SAIDAX Engineers & Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
6	M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. - M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (JV)
7	M/s Satya Builders
8	M/s Sanwariya Construction
9	M/s RSGV Infra

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s S.S. Civil Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

[Handwritten signatures and initials are present below the table, including a large signature on the left and initials '1/4' on the right.]



Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Sreenivas Constructions- M/s SAIDAX Engineers & Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. - M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Sanwariya Construction	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s RSGV Infra	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 9 (Nine) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee observed that 01 (one) bidder M/s Sanwariya Construction vide letter no. SC/HO/2020-21/IJ2/001 dated 02.03.2021 out of the 9 (nine) bidders requested to withdraw their bid stating that they submitted the bid unaware of the conditions of Additional Performance Security in respect to RFP Cl. 2.21.1 (b). Further the Committee observed that M/s Satya Builders has already been two works in NHIDCL under Manipur division. Therefore, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Satya Builders is not eligible for evaluation for the subject project. Accordingly, the Committee evaluated technical bids of remaining 7 (Seven) bidders.

7. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 142.05 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	142.05
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	71.03
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead	42.62

le  

2/4

	Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.21
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	21.31
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i))	7.10
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	7.10
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	7.10
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.26
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.42
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	21.31
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	12.78
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.26
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	71.03
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	42.62
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.21

8. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI. The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidders have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of receivable value for civil work given by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values uploaded on UDIN Portal matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

9. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following four firms are not eligible for opening of their financial bids due to the reasons given against their name;

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Sreenivas Constructions-M/s SAIDAX Engineers & Infrastructures Pvt.	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii), bidder has not submit the at least one completed similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. However, similar work claimed by the bidder is not falling either under Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. Therefore, has not met the

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
	Ltd. (JV)	eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. - M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (JV)	Other member of joint venture M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. has not submitted the certificate of Net Worth and Turnover issued by Statutory Auditor as per the format given in Appendix-X and Appendix-Xt respectively. Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.
3	M/s Sanwariya Construction	Bidder has withdrawn their bid. Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.
4	M/s Satya Builders	As per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of the bidder is ineligible.

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s S.S. Civil Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Sreenivas Constructions-M/s SAIDAX Engineers & Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive
6	M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. - M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (JV)	Non-Responsive
7	M/s Satya Builders	Non-Responsive
8	M/s Sanwariya Construction	Non-Responsive
9	M/s RSGV Infra	Responsive


11. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 5 (STx) responsive bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

12. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 5 (Five) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,
(ED-V)
Convener



K C Bhatt,
DGM(T)
Member Secretary



A.K. Singh,
GM (T)
Member



Bhaskar Mallick,
Manager (Fin)

1497480/2021/Technical

Annex-I

Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5
Name of the bidder	M/s S.S. Civil Construction Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	M/s RSGV Infra
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)) Sole = 71.03 Cr. LM=42.62 Cr. OM=14.21 Cr.	164.50 Cr.	147.69 Cr.	109.65 Cr.	116.46 Cr.	239.04 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii)) Rs. 21.31 Cr.	29.64 Cr. "C"	37.11 Cr. "C"	70.67 Cr. "A"	23.17 Cr. "C"	44.15 Cr. "A"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=7.10 Cr., LM=4.26 Cr., OM=1.42 Cr.)	34.27 Cr.	23.82 Cr.	11.37 Cr.	55.56 Cr.	16.82 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=21.31 Cr., LM=12.78 Cr., OM=4.26 Cr.)	69.56 Cr.	50.38 Cr.	46.63 Cr.	175.33 Cr.	59.28 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole = 71.03 Cr. LM=42.62 Cr. OM=14.21 Cr.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	1	-	-	-
	Cost (Crores)	150.11 Cr.	-	-	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive

6

Amr

M

Jocel

