

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

**NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./Civil Work/ Taksing - Ghora /2020 /2367**

## Corrigendum-II

**Date: 09.03.2021**

**Name of Work: Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Taksing to Ghora from KM 0.000 to KM 7.090 in Upper Subansiri District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode**

**Tender ID: 2021\_NHIDC\_611258\_1**

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Vertex Construction	Technically Responsive
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	Technically Responsive
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10	M/s SPN Construction	Technically Responsive
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	Technically Responsive
12	M/s Amit Singla	Technically Responsive
13	M/s LNS Infrastructure	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 11.03.2021 at 1500 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl:- As stated above

  
**A.K. Jha**  
General Manager (Tech.)

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation**

**Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Taksing to Ghora from KM 0.000 to KM 7.090 in Upper Subansiri District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode." held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at 1100 Hrs on 01.03.2021**

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 22.02.2021.

2. Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) met to open the technical Bids on 23.02.2021 at 1500 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency
- (ii) M/s Balaji Construction and Comapny
- (iii) M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
- (iv) M/s LNS Infrastructure
- (v) M/s Shivsai Construction JV Rinya Yangfo Construction
- (vi) M/s Vertex Construction
- (vii) M/s Buru Enterprises
- (viii) M/s Pera Enterprises
- (ix) M/s Munish Kumar Bansal
- (x) M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.
- (xi) M/s SPN Construction
- (xii) M/s Sri Harsha Constructions
- (xiii) M/s Amit Singla

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 70.63 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	70.63
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	35.32
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	21.19
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.06
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	10.59
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	3.53
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	3.53
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	3.53
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.12
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	0.71
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	10.59

13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	6.36
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.12
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	35.315
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	21.189
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.063

4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. The details of bidders and the clarification to be sought are tabulated below:


S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</li> <li>(ii) UDIN number are not located on Appendix x, xi. Please Clarify</li> <li>(iii) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located. If not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify</li> <li>(iv) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> <li>(v) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III could not be located. Please Clarify</li> </ul>
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Comapny	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Appendix 1A Annexure IV as per RFP format could not be located along with authority certificate, if submitted kindly mention the page number of the submitted bid.</li> <li>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> </ul>
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Appendix 1A Annexure IV year wise receivable value for all eligible projects could not be located on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</li> <li>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> </ul>
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV Rinya Yangfo Construction	<p><b>a) M/s Shivsai Construction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> <li>(ii) Appendix x could not be located. Please Clarify.</li> <li>(iii) UDIN number could not be located on Appendix X, XI. Please clarify.</li> <li>(iv) Power of Attorney is not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.</li> <li>(v) Appendix 1A Annexure IV UDIN number could not be located on all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify.</li> </ul> <p><b>b) M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</li> <li>(vi) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year</li> </ul>


		<p>wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</p> <p>(ii) Audited Balance sheet of FY 2016-17 could not be located. Please Clarify</p> <p>(iii) Power of Attorney could not be located. Please clarify</p>
5	M/s Vertex Construction	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	<p>(i) Appendix IA Annexure I not in RFP format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Appendix IA Annexure IV not in RFP format along with Statutory Auditor format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</p> <p>(v) Appendix x, xi could not be located. Please Clarify</p> <p>(vi) Appendix IA Annexure VI not in RFP format. Please clarify</p>
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix IA Annexure IV not in RFP format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For project code A &amp; B in Annexure IV same UDIN number is mentioned in the bid. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Appendix x could not be located. Please clarify.</p>
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	<p>(i) UDIN numbers for project code "H &amp; I" submitted for eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III could not be located. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict all five years Turnover values. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Audited Balance sheet for FY 2015-16 could not be located. Please clarify.</p>
10	M/s SPN Construction	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix 1A Annexure IV as per RFP format could not be located along with authority certificate, if submitted kindly mention the page number of the submitted bid.</p> <p>(iii) Appendix IA Annexure III "Net Cash Accruals" is not calculated as per RFP format. Please clarify.</p>
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>


		<p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Appendix x to be submitted for audited year only. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iv) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify</p>
12	M/s Amit Singla	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Company Incorporation, GST certification could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Audited Balance sheet for all five years could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) As per Appendix IA Annexure I "Details of bidder" Name of the firm is M/s Amit Singla, whereas the bid is submitted under name of Amit Singla contractors and engineers. Please clarify.</p>


6. The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) decided to ask for the above tabulated clarification after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
Ajay Ahulwalia  
(ED)  
Chairman

  
B. Shivprasad  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
Sandeep Kumar  
Manager -Finance  
Member

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Takasing to Ghora from KM 0.000 to KM 7.090 in Upper Subansiri District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC mode" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 09.03.2021.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 22.02.2021.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency
- (ii) M/s Balaji Construction and Company.
- (iii) M/s DivyaSimandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
- (iv) M/s LNS Infrastructure
- (v) M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction
- (vi) M/s Vertex Construction
- (vii) M/s Buru Enterprises
- (viii) M/s Pera Enterprises
- (ix) M/s Munish Kumar Bansal
- (x) M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.
- (xi) M/s SPN Construction
- (xii) M/s Sri Harsha Constructions
- (xiii) M/s Amit Singla

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 70.63 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	70.63
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	35.32
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	21.19
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.06
5	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.59
6	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.53
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	3.53
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	3.53
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause	2.12

	2.2.2.4 (i)	
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	0.71
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	10.59
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	6.36
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.12
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	35.315
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	21.189
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.063

4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on 01.03.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09.03.2021. For some of the bidders the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work is not reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. As per the RFP, the Net worth and the Annual Average Turnover of the last indicated financial year is to be certified by the Statutory Auditor and the same shall be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI and attach a copy of the certificate downloaded from the portal indicating Net worth and Turnover for each of last five years. However in some cases certificates uploaded on the UDIN portal of ICAI and submitted by the bidders are from the firm/partner other than Statutory Auditor. Committee decided to consider the same, as the values uploaded on UDIN Portal match with the value of Audited Balance Sheet. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	<p>(i) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located. If not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the undertaking for FY 2019-20 for non-submission of Audited Balance Sheet.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

		could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.	of single work under category 1 & 3.	
		(iv) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III could not be located. Please Clarify	(iv) The bidder has submitted detail of banker.	
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	<p>(i) Appendix 1A Annexure IV as per RFP format could not be located along with authority certificate, if submitted kindly mention the page number of the submitted bid.</p> <p>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted Appendix 1A Annexure IV as per RFP format</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
3	M/s DivyaSimandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) Appendix 1A Annexure IV year wise receivable value for all eligible projects could not be located on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	<p>a) M/s Shivsai Construction</p> <p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix x could not be located. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iii) UDIN number could not be located on Appendix X, XI. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Power of Attorney is not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.</p>	<p>a) M/s Shivsai Construction</p> <p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the Appendix x as per RFP format.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted Appendix X, XI as per RFP Format.</p> <p>(iv) The Bidder has submitted the POA.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

		<p>(v) Appendix 1A Annexure IV UDIN number could not be located on all submitted eligible projects. Please clarify.</p> <p>b) <b>M/s RinyaYangfo Construction</b></p> <p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</p> <p>(iii) Audited Balance sheet of FY 2016-17 could not be located. Please Clarify</p> <p>(iv) Power of Attorney could not be located. Please clarify</p>	<p>(v) The bidder has submitted UDIN number of all projects submitted for Appendix 1A Annexure IV.</p> <p>b) <b>M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction</b></p> <p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</p> <p>(iii) The Bidder has submitted the Audited Balance sheet for FY 2016-17.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted POA.</p>	
5	M/s Vertex Construction	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	<p>(i) Appendix IA Annexure I not in RFP format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted Appendix IA Annexure I as per RFP format.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid</p>

Agaya

*[Handwritten signatures and marks]*

		<p>(iii) Appendix IA Annexure IV not in RFP format along with Statutory Auditor format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify</p> <p>(v) Appendix x, xi could not be located. Please Clarify Appendix IA Annexure VI not in RFP format. Please clarify</p>	<p>(iii) The bidder has submitted Appendix IA Annexure IV as per RFP format. (year wise receivable value does not mentioned by CA).</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</p> <p>(v) The bidder has submitted Appendix x, xi as per RFP format.</p>	<p>as Technically responsive.</p>
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix IA Annexure IV not in RFP format. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For project code A &amp; B in Annexure IV same UDIN number is mentioned in the bid. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Appendix x could not be located. Please clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted Appendix IA Annexure IV as per RFP format.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder clarifies that "the same UDIN number was put by mistake during typing by CA" and submitted correct UDIN number for project code A.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted Appendix x as per RFP format.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	<p>(i) UDIN numbers for project code "H &amp; I" submitted for eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder clarifies that "As Per information given by our Chartered Accountant, all the mandatory fields were entered at the time of generation of UDIN from UDIN site. Infact, UDIN is not generated unless and until all the mandatory field are filled. UDIN generation form website requires two rows of data to be mandatorily filled at the time of UDIN Generation. It is not intended by UDIN site to capture all the data of intended certificate otherwise they could have</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>

		<p>(ii) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III could not be located. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict all five years Turnover values. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>made it mandatory. But if required by your office, we can resubmit certificate with all year figures bearing fresh UDIN.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted name of the bank.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder clarifies that "As Per information given by our Chartered Accountant, all the mandatory fields were entered at the time of generation of UDIN from UDIN site. Infact, UDIN is not generated unless and until all the mandatory field are filled. UDIN generation form website requires two rows of data to be mandatorily filled at the time of UDIN Generation. It is not intended by UDIN site to capture all the data of intended certificate otherwise they could have made it mandatory. But if required by your office, we can resubmit certificate with all year figures bearing fresh UDIN".</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p>	
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically</p>

		(iii) Audited Balance sheet for FY 2015-16 could not be located. Please clarify.	civil work. (iii) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet for FY 2015-16.	responsive.
10	M/s SPN Construction	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix 1A Annexure IV as per RFP format could not be located along with authority certificate, if submitted kindly mention the page number of the submitted bid.</p> <p>(iii) Appendix IA Annexure III "Net Cash Accruals" is not calculated as per RFP format. Please clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted Appendix 1A Annexure IV.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted Appendix IA Annexure III</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Appendix x to be submitted for audited year only. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iv) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). Please clarify</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted Appendix x as per RFP format.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
12	M/s Amit Singla	(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the client certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence

			submitted the UDIN number which reflect year wise breakup of receivable value of the civil work.	the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
		(ii) UDIN numbers for all submitted eligible projects does not depict year wise receivable value on UDIN ICAI Portal. Please clarify.	(iii) The bidder has submitted Company Incorporation, GST certification.	
		(iii) Company Incorporation, GST certification could not be located. Please clarify.	(iv) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet for all five years.	
		(iv) Audited Balance sheet for all five years could not be located. Please clarify.	(v) The bidder clarifies that "We hereby inform you that the name of our firm is "M/s Amit Singla" a proprietary firm, which engages in civil construction works i.e. Road and bridge works, that's why we put contractors & Engineers after the name "Ms Amit Singla" in our profile mentioned in the CPPP portal".	
		(v) As per Appendix IA Annexure I "Details of bidder" Name of the firm is M/s Amit Singla, whereas the bid is submitted under name of Amit Singla contractors and engineers. Please clarify.		

6. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.


7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.


Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	Technically Responsive	0
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	Technically Responsive	0

5	M/s Vertex Construction	Technically Responsive	0
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	Technically Responsive	0
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
10	M/s SPN Construction	Technically Responsive	0
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	Technically Responsive	0
12	M/s Amit Singla	Technically Responsive	0
13	M/s LNS Infrastructure	Technically Responsive	1 - Arunachal Pradesh


9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 13 (Thirteen) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
Ajay Ahulwalia  
(ED-I)  
Chairman

  
B. Shivprasad  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
Sandeep Kumar  
Manager -Finance  
Member

# Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 35.32 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 10.59 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 21.19 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 7.06 Cr.
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	38.81 Cr	Yes (Rs 11.50 Cr)	NA	NA
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	45.70 Cr	Yes (Rs 30.71 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s DivyaSimandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	130.01 Cr	Yes ( Rs 45.16 Cr)	NA	NA
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	-	Yes ( Rs 21.76 Cr)	45.92 Cr	8.20 Cr
5	M/s Vertex Construction	75.52 Cr	YES ( Rs 21.76 Cr)	NA	NA
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	112.90 Cr	Yes ( Rs 44.38 Cr)	NA	NA
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	43.67 Cr	Yes ( Rs 12.96 Cr)	NA	NA
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	60.83 Cr	Yes (Rs 11.96 Cr)	NA	NA
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	193.86 Cr	Yes (Rs 18.11 Cr)	NA	NA
10	M/s SPN Construction	85.40 Cr	Yes (Rs 48.00)	NA	NA
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	55.81 Cr	Yes (Rs 17.51 Cr)	NA	NA
12	M/s Amit Singla	68.49 Cr	Yes (Rs 24.64 Cr)	NA	NA
13	M/s LNS Infrastructure	102.95 Cr	Yes (Rs 102.95 Cr)	NA	NA

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR 3.53 Cr)	Turnover (in INR 10.59 Cr)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	SE	-	21.32 Cr	34.23 Cr	Y
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	SE	-	3.76 Cr	25.48 Cr	Y
3	M/s DivyaSimandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	20.83 Cr	54.52 Cr	Y
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JV M/s RinyaYangfo Construction	JV	51%-49%	Lead-11.69 Cr, Other - 3.01 Cr	Lead-43.02 Cr, Other - 10.15Cr	Y
5	M/s Vertex Construction	SE	-	45.97 Cr	97.29 Cr	Y
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	SE	-	34.25 Cr	55.67 Cr	Y
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	SE	-	3.63 Cr	11.28 Cr	Y
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	SE	-	7.63 Cr	39.72 Cr	Y
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	47.21 Cr	79.83 Cr	Y
10	M/s SPN Construction	SE	-	3.89 Cr	41.39 Cr	Y
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	SE	-	7.61 Cr	22.75 Cr	Y
12	M/s Amit Singla	SE	-	6.79 Cr	16.97 Cr	Y
13	M/s LNS Infrastructure	SE	-	6.36 Cr	23.11 Cr	Y

# Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 35.315 Crore

S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	2018	1.05	49.58	52.06	1.5	1.99	193.23	Yes
2	M/s Balaji Construction and Company	2018	1.05	39.85	41.84	1.5	5.4	151.51	Yes
3	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	2017	1.1	66.73	73.40	1.5	30.61	244.65	Yes
4	M/s Shivsai Construction JVM/s RinyaYangfo Construction								
	M/s Shivsai Construction	2018	1.05	50.75	53.29	1.5	35.17	164.66	Yes
	M/s RinyaYangfo Construction	2015	1.2	12.12	14.54	1.5	2.77	51.77	Yes
							Total	216.41	Yes
5	M/s Vertex	2019	1	91.10	91.10	1.5	73.73	267.90	Yes

	Construction								
6	M/s Buru Enterprises	2019	1	87.22	87.22	1.5	0	327.08	Yes
7	M/s Pera Enterprises	2019	1	17.11	17.11	1.5	0	64.16	Yes
8	M/s Munish Kumar Bansal	2019	1	46.80	46.80	1.5	36.61	138.89	Yes
9	M/s Shreehari Associates Pvt. Ltd.	2019	1	99.41	99.41	1.5	117.82	254.97	Yes
10	M/s SPN Construction	2018	1.05	49.56	52.04	1.5	0	195.14	Yes
11	M/s Sri Harsha Constructions	2019	1	18.16	18.16	1.5	21.77	46.33	Yes
12	M/s Amit Singla	2019	1	23.48	23.48	1.5	10.02	78.03	Yes
13	M/s LNS Infrastructure	2016	1.15	44.88	51.61	1.5	52.69	140.89	Yes