राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)
(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/M-P/Civil/2B/2020/184110/ /1898 **10.02.2021**

Sub: "Improvement of existing road to 2 laning with Hard Shoulders of Maram-Peren section (Package-IIB, length- 18.160 Km) from Design Chainage 56.840 km to 75.000 Km on NH129A in the State of Manipur on EPC Mode **- Reg.**

Ref. Your Bid submitted on 15.12.2020

Tender ID: 2020_NHIDC_557643_1

To
All the respective bidders,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s AG Construction	**Technical Bid not evaluated**
2	M/s DivyaSimandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	**Non-Responsive**
3	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	Responsive
5	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	**Non- Responsive**
6	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	**Non- Responsive**
7	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.	**Non- Responsive**
8	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	**Technical Bid not evaluated**
9	M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd.	**Technical Bid not evaluated**
11	M/s HaigreevaInfratech Projects Ltd.	**Non- Responsive**
12	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
13	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
14	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	**Non- Responsive**
15	M/s RK Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	**Technical Bid not evaluated**
16	M/s Shri Balaji Construction Company	Responsive

2. The financial bids of the technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 11.02.2021 at 1100 Hrs in NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

KC Bhatt
10/2/21

(K C Bhatt)
Dy. GM (Tech.)

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 04.02.2021 **for "Improvement of existing road to 2 laning with Hard Shoulders of 2 laning of Maram-Peren section (Package-IIB, length- 18.160 Km) from Design Chainage 56.840 km to km 75.000 on NH-129A in the State of Manipur on EPC Mode"**

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 04.05.2020 with Bid due date 15.12.2020.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 16.12.2020 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 16 (Sixteen) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project. However, the Committee observed that, 04 (Four) out of 16 (Sixteen) nos. of bidders have requested to withdraw their Bids stating that they submitted the Bids unaware of revised condition of Additional Performance Security w.r.t. RFP, Cl. 2.21.1(b) which was amended through Corrigendum-VI uploaded on CPP and NHIDCL website on 27.11.2020. The Competent Authority accorded approval to such bidders to withdraw their Bids and the revised RFP Condition vide Cl. 2.20.5 shall not imply to the said Bidders. Accordingly, the Committee did not carried out the Technical Evaluation of the following bidders: (i) M/s A G Construction (ii) Kaluwala Construction Private Limited (iii) M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd. and (iv) M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Remarks
1	M/s AG Construction	Bid withdrawn
2	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	-
3	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	-
4	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	-
5	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	-
6	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	-
7	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.	-
8	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Bid withdrawn
9	M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	-
10	M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd.	Bid withdrawn
11	M/s Haigreeva Infratech Projects Ltd.	-
12	M/s Satya Builders	-
13	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	-
14	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	-
15	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Bid withdrawn
16	M/s Shri Balaji Construction Company	-

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s AG Construction	Technical Bid not evaluated						
2	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.							
8	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Technical Bid not evaluated						
9	M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd.	Technical Bid not evaluated						
11	M/s Haigreeva Infratech Projects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
12	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
13	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
14	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technical Bid not evaluated						
16	M/s Shri Balaji Construction Company	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all the 16 (Sixteen) bidders submitted the bid document Fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty-Three Thousand Six Hundred Only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation).

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 171.61 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	171.61
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	85.81
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	51.49
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.16
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least **One Similar Completed Work –15%** of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	25.74
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	8.58
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	8.58
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	8.58
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.15
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.72
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	25.74
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.44
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.15
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	85.81
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	51.48
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	17.16

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 14 (Fourteen) bidders are attached as Annexure-I except M/s A G Construction, M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited, M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd. and M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.

8. Observations of the Committee:

The Committee observed that 8 bidders out of 12 no. (Twelve) bidders, have submitted the financial capacity such as turnover and Net worth of FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 & FY 2014-15. Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial accounts of FY 2018-19 to 2014-15 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii) of RFP and of FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP.

During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidder has failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP.The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
1	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder should upload all required documents to meet the threshold technical capacity as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) on CPPP portal. Bidder should claim their threshold technical capacity in the format given in Annexure-II and should provide details of projects in Annexure-IV of RFP. Bidder is claiming experience in Annexure-II should provide certificate from its statutory auditor in the format given in point no. 13, "Certificate from the Statutory Auditor regarding PPP projects" and/or 14, "Certificate regarding construction works" of Annexure-IV of RFP. However, Annexure-IV and certificates given in point no. 13 and/or 14 cloud not be uploaded on CPPP portal. The Competent Authority observed that the bidder uploaded in complete documents. Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	Point no. 7 of Annexure-IV of Appendix-1A of RFP, "*In case of projects in Categories 1and 2, particulars such as name, address and contact details of owner/ Authority/ Agency (i.e. concession grantor, counter party to concession, etc.) may be provided. In case of projects in Categories 3 and 4, similar particulars of the client need to be provided with the details whether the work was executed as main contractor or sub-contractor. In case the work has been executed as a sub-contractor of the main contractor, approval of the Authority must be submitted along with the bid.*" Therefore, bidder should submit the above-mentioned documents. However same could not be located in the technical bid. Accordingly, the bidder has not met eligibility criteria 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP (at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
		Project Cost) from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Hence, the Committee found that bidder is ineligible to qualify the technical capacity mentioned in the Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of RFP. Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.
3	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder has claimed 2 projects in Annexure-II of Appendix-1A to meet the threshold technical capacity mentioned in Data Sheet of RFP. The Committee considered both projects in Category-4 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 (i) and (iii) (b) (III) of RPF. Therefore, bidder has not met eligibility criteria 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP (at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost) from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Accordingly bidder could not qualify the technical capacity mentioned in the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.
4	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.	Point no. 7 of Annexure-IV of Appendix-1A of RFP, "*In case of projects in Categories 1 and 2, particulars such as name, address and contact details of owner/ Authority/ Agency (i.e. concession grantor, counter party to concession, etc.) may be provided. In case of projects in Categories 3 and 4, similar particulars of the client need to be provided with the details whether the work was executed as main contractor or sub-contractor. In case the work has been executed as a sub-contractor of the main contractor, approval of the Authority must be submitted along with the bid.*" Therefore, bidder should submit the above-mentioned documents. However same could not be located in the technical bid. Accordingly, the bidder has not met eligibility criteria 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP (at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost) from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Hence, the Committee found that bidder is ineligible to qualify the technical capacity mentioned in the Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of RFP. Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.
5	M/s Haigreeva Infratech Projects Ltd.	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, bidder should submit at last one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Bidder has submitted improvement of road works projects in Annexure-II of RFP. The Committee has considered all projects in Category-4 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 (i) and (iii) (b) (III) of RPF. Accordingly, the bidder has not met eligibility criteria 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP (at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost) from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Therefore, bidder could not qualify the technical capacity as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii). Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.
6	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	Bidder has claimed threshold technical capacity in Annexure-II of Appendix-1A to meet the threshold technical capacity mentioned in Data Sheet of RFP. The Committee has considered all projects in Category-4 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 of RPF. Therefore, bidder has not met eligibility criteria 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP (at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost) from Category-1 or 3 as defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5. Accordingly bidder could not qualify the technical capacity mentioned in the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the Committee considered bidder as non-responsive.

9. The Committee observed that following bidders submitted the clarification and same have been considered in evaluation;

Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	Clarification
1	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	In the clarification, bidder submitted the notes to accounts forming parts of Profit and Loss Accounts and Balance Sheet of last five years. Same has been considered.
2	M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	In the clarification, bidders has submitted the client's certificates for the projects claimed in Annexure-II and details of projects provided in Annexure-IV of RFP. Same has been considered.

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s AG Construction	**Technical Bid not evaluated**
2	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	**Non-Responsive**
3	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

4	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	Responsive
5	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	Non- Responsive
6	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive
7	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.	Non- Responsive
8	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Technical Bid not evaluated
9	M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Garg Sons Estate Promoters P. Ltd.	Technical Bid not evaluated
11	M/s Haigreeva Infratech Projects Ltd.	Non- Responsive
12	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
13	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
14	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	Non- Responsive
15	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technical Bid not evaluated
16	M/s Shri Balaji Construction Company	Responsive

11. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders' subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah, (ED-V) Convener	K C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary	A.K. Singh, GM (T) Member	Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Fin)

ANNEXURE-I

Sr. No.		1	2	3	4	5	6
Name of the bidder		M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Agrawal Global Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd.	M/s DNC Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s KMC Constructions LTD.
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 85.81 Cr. LM=51.49 Cr. OM=17.16 Cr.		**40.09 Cr.**	238.88 Cr.	257.08 Cr.	715.08 Cr.	88.68 Cr.	4043.30 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 25.74 Cr.		27.37 Cr. (a)	80.17 Cr. "a"	30.66 Cr. "a"	169.54 Cr. (b)	**NIL**	**NIL**
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=8.58, LM=5.15, OM=1.72)		22.28 Cr.	20.62 Cr.	30.80 Cr.	631.65 Cr.	44.37 Cr.	486.12 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=25.74, LM=15.44, OM=5.15)		48.34 Cr.	68.97 Cr.	132.35 Cr.	487.31 Cr.	219.49 Cr.	925.69 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=85.81, LM=51.48, OM=17.16)		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-	-	-	-	-	
	Cost	-	-	-	-	-	
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement		No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Responsiveness		**Non-Responsive**	Responsive	Responsive	**Non-Responsive**	**Non-Responsive**	**Non-Responsive**

ANNEXURE-I

Sr. No.		7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of the bidder		M/s SLMI Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Haigreeva Infratech Projects Ltd.	M/s Satya Builders	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	M/s Nagaland Steel Engineering Works	M/s Shri Balaji Construction Company
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 85.81 Cr. LM=51.49 Cr. OM=17.16 Cr.		502.42 Cr.	98.22 Cr.	216.58 Cr.	175.03 Cr.	81.52 Cr.	450.99 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 25.74 Cr.		108.71 Cr. "a"	NIL	67.86 Cr. (b)	60.21 (g)	NIL	38.94 Cr. (a)
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=8.58, LM=5.15, OM=1.72)		70.24 Cr.	104.97 Cr.	79.49 Cr.	32.39 Cr.	16.25 Cr.	26.86 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=25.74, LM=15.44, OM=5.15)		167.71 Cr.	375.49 Cr.	272.35 Cr.	88.74 Cr.	68.71 Cr.	95.67 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) Sole = 85.81 Cr. LM=51.49 Cr. OM=17.16 Cr.		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Cost (Crores)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive