राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/MANIPUR/TAMENGLONG-MAHUR/2020/PKG-1/190626/ 1985

Dated: 17.03.2021

To,

All the Bidders, (listed below)

Sub: Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur in the state of Manipur from km 0.000 at Tamenglong to km 10.000 near Dialong (Length-10.00km) (PKG-1) on EPC mode - Regd.

Ref: NIT & RFP Bid document uploaded on CPP Portal on 04.12.2021 with Bid due date 11.03.2021.

Tender Id: 2020_NHIDC_ 600943 _1

Based on Technical Evaluation, Following 11(Eleven) bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1.	M/s L.G. Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd M/s AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
3.	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s Roadridge Developers Pvt. Ltd M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s Asean Agencies	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Technically Non- Responsive
8.	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Responsive
9.	M/s Allgrace Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10.	M/s Vallabhneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd M/s Sri Krishna Enviro Engineers (JV)	Technically Responsive
11.	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
12.	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
13.	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Technically Non- Responsive
14.	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non- Responsive

2. Authority shall open the financial bids of all Technically Responsive bidders on 19.03.2021 at 11:00 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, and New-Delhi - 110001 in the presence of the Authorized Representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

(K. C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 17.03.2021 for "Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Muhur in the state of Manipur from km 0.000 at Tamenglong to km 10.000 near Dialong (Length-10.00km) (PKG-1) on EPC mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 04.12.2020 with Bid due date 11.03.2021.

- 2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 12.03.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.
- 3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 14 (Fourteen) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s L.G. Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)
2	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. – M/s AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
3	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
4	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
. 5	M/s Roadridge Developers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
6	M/s Asean Agencies
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure
8	M/s Satya Builders
.9	M/s Allgrace Developers Pvt. Ltd.
10	M/s Vallabhneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Sri Krishna Enviro Engineers (JV)
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
12	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.
13	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited
14	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.

- 4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;
- A. Bids Received on CPP Portal



Ay Ing Look

Bidders		<u> </u>		Details of do	cument subm	itted as per R	EP	
Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney f or Signing the bid if s ole firm	Power of Attorney f or the Lea d Member of Joint V enture	Joint Biddi	Bid Securi ng declarati on	Integrity Pact (For work value of 10 0 Cr. not r equired)	Bid doc ument C ost	Undertaking of the Person having POA th at they agree a nd abide by t he bid docume nts uploaded
1	M/s L.G. Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. – M/s	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV) M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Roadridge Developers Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Asean Agencies	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Allgrace Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Vallabhneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Sri Krishna Enviro Engineers (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
13	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
14	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Amy Joseph

- 5. The Committee observed that all 14 (Fourteen) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.
- 6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 139.75 Crore.

Sr. No		Amount Rs. in Cr.			
1	Estimated Project Cost	139.75			
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	69.88			
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	41.93			
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.98			
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15 % of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	20.96			
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	6.99			
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))				
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	(i) (d). 6.99			
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	6.99			
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.19			
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.40			
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	20.96			
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	12.58			
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)				
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	69.88			
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	41.93			
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.98			

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 14 (Fourteen) bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

8. Observations of the Committee:

k

The Committee observed that 1 bidder out of 14 (Fourteen) bidders, have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2018-19 and annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to FY 2014-15. Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial statements for annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to 2014-15 since bidder has submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP.

9. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of receivable value for civil work given by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values uploaded on UDIN Portal matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

10. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following three firms are not eligible for opening of their financial bids due to the reasons given against their name. These firms may submit their representation if any within two days of uploading of technical result:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	The bidder should have submitted at least one completed similar work to qualify the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). However, projects claimed for similar work does not meet the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	The bidder should have submitted at least one completed similar work to qualify the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). However, projects claimed for similar work does not meet the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.
3	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i), bidder should have technical threshold capacity. However, some projects submitted in technical bid do not meet the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5 Therefore, bidder does not meet the technical threshold capacity. Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.

11. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s L.G. Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	Responsive
2	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. – M/s AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
3	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
4	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Roadridge Developers Pvt. Ltd M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
6	M/s Asean Agencies	Responsive
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non- Responsive
8	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
9	M/s Allgrace Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Vallabhneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Sri Krishna Enviro Engineers (JV)	Responsive
11	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
12	M/s CMM Infraprojects Ltd.	Responsive
13	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	Non- Responsive
14	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive



Just

AM



12. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 11 (Eleven) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah,

(ED-V)

Convener

K C Bhatt, DGM(T)

Member Secretary

A.K. Tha,

Member

Bhaskar Mallick,

Manager (Fin)

Sr. N	lo.		1			3		4	5	
Name of the bid	der	M/s L.G. Chaudhary (lead member)	M/s Yogi Constructi on Co. (other member)	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. (lead member)	M/s AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (other member)	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd. (lead member)	M/s Viva Infravent ure Pvt. Ltd. (other member)	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastru cture Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Roadridge Developers Pvt. Ltd. (lead member)	
		51%	49%	51%	49%	51%	49%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		J	V	JV	,	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	Indi	а	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum thresh		67.79 Cr.	150.28 Cr.	156.55 Cr.	49.98 Cr.	205.09 Cr.	61.40 Cr.		124.46 Cr.	55.43 Cr
capacity (Clause Sole = 69.88 Cr. LM=41.93 Cr. OM=113.98 Cr.	2.2.2.2 (i)	218.0)7 Cr.	260.5	260.53 Cr. 266.49 Cr.		49 Cr.	234.30 Cr. 179.69 Cr		
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 20.96 Cr.		30.54 Cr. "01" of lead member		99.06 Cr. of lead member		88.89 Cr. "a" of lead member		50.81 Cr. "b"	27.61 Cr. "A" of other member	
Minimum Net Wo	orth (Rs. in	20,78 Cr.	16,45 Cr.	78.56 Cr.	5.84 Cr.	17.74 Cr.	8.05 Cr.		7.86 Cr.	17.58 Cr.
Cr.) (Sole=6.99, LM=4 OM=1.40)		37.23 Cr.		84.40 Cr.		25.79 Cr.		25.13 Cr.	25.71 Cr.	
Average Annual	Turnover	137.68 Cr.	60.20 Cr.	73.38 Cr.	20.02 Cr.	52.30 Cr.	22.88 Cr.		24.75 Cr.	20.53 Cr.
(Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=20.96, LM= OM=4.19)	12.58,	197.88	3 Cr.	93.40	93.40 Cr.		75.18 Cr.		45.28 Cr.	
Whether meeting Capacity (Rs. in (Sole=69.88, LM= OM=13.98)	Cr.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting Financial Thresh Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held	Nos.	1	-	1	1	-	•	-	-	-
with NHIDCL	Cost	162.74	-	116.75	116.75	-	-	-	-	•
	Whether meeting the Fechnical Requirement		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Υe	S
Responsiveness		Respon	sive	Respons	ive	Responsive		Respon sive	Responsive	

6

m

Joseph

Sr. No.		6	7	8	9		0	11
Name of the bidder		M/s Asean Agencies	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	M/s Satya Builders	M/s Allgrace Developers Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Vallabhnen i Constructio ns Pvt. Ltd. (lead member)	M/s Sri Krishna Enviro Engineers (other member)	M/s Divya Simandhar Constructi on Pvt. Ltd.
		100%	100%	100%	100%	51%	49%	100%
Sole/JV	-	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	J	V	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	Inc	dia	India
Minimum threshold capacity Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 69.88 CrM=41.93 Cr. DM=113.98 Cr.		201.84 Cr.	152.08 Cr.	218.37 Cr.	155.00 Cr.	125.41 Cr. 19.25 Cr. 144.66 Cr.		93.65 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 20.96 Cr.		95.01 Cr. "A"	Reason mentioned in point no. 10 of Minutes of Meeting	67.86 Cr. "b"	34.70 "E"	33.32 Cr. "C" of lead member		34.21 Cr. "a"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=6.99, LM=4.19, OM=1.40)		50.19 Cr.	24.32 Cr.	79.49 Cr.	17.78 Cr.	43.26 Cr. 7.87 Cr. 51.13 Cr.		20.84 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=20.96, LM=12.58, OM=4.19)		190.40 Cr.	86.58 Cr.	272.35 Cr.	42.85 Cr.	143.87 Cr.	14.78 Cr. 5 Cr.	50.93 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bio (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=69.88, LM=41.93, OM=13.98)	I Capacity	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Nos.	1	-	1	7	*	- •	-
Projects held with NHIDCL	Cost (Crores)	130.56		145.87	*	-	-	
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Non- Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Respo	onsive	Responsive

k

fust

Jose

Sr. No.		12	13	14		
Name of the bidder	e bidder		M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Limited	M/s Evergreen Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.		
		100%	100%	100%		
Sole/JV						
Country		India	India			
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 69.88 Cr. LM=41.93 Cr. OM=113.98 Cr.		94.23 Cr.	715.08 Cr.	47.94 Cr.		
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 20.96 Cr.		23.17 Cr. "C"	Reason mentioned in point no. 10 of Minutes of Meeting	33.98 Cr. "C"		
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=6.99, LM=4.19, OM=1.40)		55.65 Cr.	631.65 Cr.	12.41 Cr.		
Average Annual Turnove Cr.) (Sole=20.96, LM=12.58, OM=4.19)	Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=20.96, LM=12.58,				487.31 Cr.	50.25 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=69.88, LM=41.93, OM=13.98)		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Whether meeting the Fi Threshold Requirement			Yes	Yes		
Droinate hald with	Nos.	-	-	-		
Projects held with NHIDCL	Cost (Crores)		-	-		
Whether meeting the Te Requirement	echnical	Yes	No	No		
Responsiveness		Responsive	Non- Responsive	Non-Responsive		

b

My free