## राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

#### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com.





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/NH-39/Imphal-Moreh/Pkg-III/2017-Part(1)/175320/1983 Date:17.03.2021

To

All the respective bidders,

Sub: "Widening and Improvement of Imphal-Moreh section of NH-39 from Km 406.000 to Km 425.411 to Two (2) lane with paved shoulders configuration in the State of Manipur (Package-IV) on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode" - Financial Bid Opening- Reg.

Ref.: Your Bid submitted on 23.02.2021

Tender ID: 2020\_NHIDC 605663 1

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Subhas Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
6	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non- Responsive
8	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
9	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Responsive
11	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. – M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
12	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
13	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
14	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

2. The financial Bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 19.03.2021 at 11.30 AM at NHIDCL HQ.

Dy. GM (Tech.)

# National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 15.03.2021 for "Widening and Improvement of Imphal-Moreh section of NH-39 from Km 406.000 to Km 425.411 to Two (2) lane with paved shoulders configuration in the State of Manipur (Package-IV) on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 24.12.2020 with Bid due date 23.02.2021.

- 2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 24.02.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.
- 3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 14 (Fourteen) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s Subhas Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.
4	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania
6	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure
8	M/s Satya Builders
9	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
10	M/s SKV infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)
11	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)
12	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
13	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
14	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

- 4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;
- A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

le

M

All follows

Bidders	5			Details of do	cument subm	itted as per i	RFP	
Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney f or Signing the bid if s ole firm	Power of Attorney f or the Lea d Member of Joint V enture	Joint Biddi ng Agreeme nt for Joint Venture	Bid Securi ng declarati on	Integrity Pact (For work value of 10 0 Cr. not r equired)	ument C ost	Undertaking of the Person having POA t at they agree nd abide by he bid documnts uploaded
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Subhas Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. – M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
13 L	M/s Nad Bindu nfratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva nfraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
14 II	M/s BKD  nfrastructure  Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 14 (Fourteen) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only)

A Jose

through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 186.70 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.				
1	Estimated Project Cost	186.70				
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	93.35				
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	56.01				
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	18.67				
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	28.00				
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)					
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))					
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	9.34				
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	9.34				
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.60				
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.87				
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	28.01				
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	16.80				
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.60				
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	93.35				
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	56.01				
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	18.67				

- 7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 14 (Fourteen) bidders are attached as Annexure-I.
- 8. Observations of the Committee:

The Committee observed that 2 bidders out of 14 (Fourteen) bidders, have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2018-19 and annual turnover from FY 2018-19



m

Joseph



to FY 2014-15. Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial statements for annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to 2014-15 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP and from FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP.

9. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of receivable value for civil work given by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values uploaded on UDIN Portal matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

10. During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidder has failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP. The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
1	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	The bidder should have submitted at least one completed similar work to qualify the RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). However, bidder has not met eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered as non-responsive.

11. Further the committee had sought clarification from following bidder w.r.t to their submitted documents. The bidder has furnished their comments as below:

S.No.	Name of Bidder	Clarification sought	Bidder's Reply	Remarks		
1	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. – M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	In Table "To calculate the value of B" of Annexure-VI, M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (lead member of JV) has assessed value of B Rs. 7159.68 Cr. and has calculated bid capacity taking Rs. 75.34 Cr. as value of B. Please clarify.	Replied	Found satisfactory		

12. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Subhas Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructure Development Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
6	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non- Responsive
8	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
9	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Responsive

h

my for

Joseph

### 1494163/2021/Technical

11	M/s Bharat Soun Pipe & Construction Co M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (37/)	Rasponsive
12	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
13	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd M/s Viva Infraventure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
14	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 13 (Thirteen) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

W. Blah, (ED-V)

Convener

K C Bhatt, DGM(T)

Member Secretary

A.K. Jha, GM (T)

Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Fin)

## 1494163/2021/Technical

Sr.	No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Name of the bi	Name of the bidder		M/s Subhas Infraengin eers Pvt.	M/s Stone Concern Infrastructu re Developme nt Pvt. Ltd.	Projects	Ganesh	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastruc ture Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Krishna Infrastr ucture	,	× .
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum thres capacity (Claus Sole = 93.35 Cr LM=56.01 Cr. OM=18.67 Cr.	se 2.2.2.2 (i)	140.78 Cr.	231.01 Cr.	106.87 Cr.	460.62 Cr.	175.03 Cr.	234.30 Cr.	152.08 Cr.	218.37 Cr.	205.64 Cr
Minimum threst technical capab category 1 & 3 i complete project 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 28.00 Cr.	oility from in a single	29.69 Cr. "C"	30.68 Cr. "a"	70.67 Cr. "A"	61.85 Cr. *10"`	60.21 Cr. "g"	50.81 Cr. "b"	Projects claimed does not meet the RFP Clause	67.86 Cr.	30.17 Cr. "C
Minimum Net W Cr.) (Sole=9.34, LM= OM=1.87)		26.40 Cr.	52.11 Cr.	11.37 Cr.	52.72 Cr	36.84 Cr.	25.13 Cr.	27.23 Cr.	79.49 Cr.	22.57 Cr.
Average Annual (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=28.01, LM: OM=5.60)		68.37 Cr.	130.64 Cr.	46.63 Cr.	145.80 Cr.	121.77 Cr.	70.61 Cr.	86.62 Cr.	272.35 Cr.	134.78 Cr.
Whether meeting Capacity (Rs. in (Sole=93.35, LM= OM=18.67)	Cr.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meetin Financial Thresh Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects hald	Nos.	-	-	-		-	-	-	1	-
Projects held with NHIDCL	Cost	-	-		-		•	(*)	145.87 Cr.	-
Whether meeting Technical Requi	g the rement	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsi ve	Responsi ve	Non- Responsi ve	Responsi ve	Responsive

6

Nun

Tool of

## 1494163/2021/Technical

Sr. No	),		10		-11	12		13	14
Name of the bidder		M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – (lead member)	M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (other member)	M/s Eharat Spun Pipe & Construc tion Co. (lead member)	M/s Park Infrastructu re Ltd. (other member)	M/s Divya Simandhar Constructio n Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Nad Bindu Infratech Pvt. Ltd (lead member	M/s Viva Infraventur e Pvt. Ltd. (other member)	M/s BKD Infrastructu re Pvt. Ltd.
		80%	20%	51%	49%	100%	51%	49%	100%
Sole/JV		J	V		JV	Sole		V	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	In	dia	India
Minimum threshold ca	apacity	122.00 Cr.	78.94 Cr.	87.89 C	36.88 Cr.		205.09 Cr.	56.11 Cr.	
(Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 93.35 Cr. LM=56.01 Cr. OM=18.67 Cr.		200.9	94 Cr.	124.	77 Cr.	93.65 Cr.	261.20 Cr.		258.60 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 28.00 Cr.		37.29 Cr. project "a	of lead member	33.09 Cr. "	ACCUPATION OF STREET	34.21 Cr. "A"	88.89 Cr. "a" of lead member		40.15 Cr. "C"
Minimum Net Worth (R	ts. in Cr.)	8.19 Cr.	22.24 Cr.	16.86 Cr.	6.10 Cr.		17.74 Cr.	8.05 Cr.	
(Sole=9.34, LM=5.60, OM=1.87)		30.43	30.43 Cr. 22.96 Cr. 20.84 Cr. 25.79 Cr.		Cr.	33.82 Cr.			
Average Annual Turno Cr.)	ver (Rs. in	59.53 Cr.	82.27 Cr.	30.65 Cr.	14.19 Cr.		52.30 Cr.	22.88 Cr.	
(Sole=28.01, LM=1680 OM=5.60)	,	141.80 Cr.		44.84 Cr.		54.52 Cr.	75.18 Cr.		151.94 Cr.
Whether meeting the B (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=93.35, LM=56.01, OM=18.67)		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the F Threshold Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with	Nos.	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-
NHIDCL	Cost (Crores)	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Whether meeting the T Requirement	echnical	Yes	Yes	Ye	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Responsive	Respon	sive	Responsive	Respons	sive	Responsive

le

(m)

M

Joseph