राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com





(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/NH-39/Imphal-Moreh/Pkg-III/2017/161553/1984

17.03.2021

To

All the respective bidders,

Sub: "Widening and Improvement of Imphal-Moreh section of NH-39 from Km 395.680 to Km 406.000 to Two (2) lane with paved shoulders configuration in the State of Manipur (Package-III) on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode" - Financial Bid Opening- Reg.

Ref.: Your Bid submitted on 23.02.2021

Tender ID: 2020_NHIDC_605658 1

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
4	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non- Responsive
5	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
6	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Responsive
8	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
9	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

2. The financial Bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 19.03.2021 at 11.00 AM at NHIDCL HQ.

(K C Bhatt)

Dy. GM (Tech.)

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 15.03.2021 for "Widening and Improvement of Imphal-Moreh section of NH-39 from Km 395.680 to Km 406.000 to Two (2) lane with paved shoulders configuration in the State of Manipur (Package-III) on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode"

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 04.12.2020 with Bid due date 23.02.2021.

- 2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 24.02.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.
- 3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 10 (Ten) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

nojecc	
Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania
4	M/s Krishna Infrastructure
5	M/s Satya Builders
6	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
7	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)
8	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. – M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)
9	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
10	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bidders	Name of			Details of do	cument subm	itted as per R		4
Sr. no.	Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid docume nt Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

le

m

for for

Bidders				Details of d	ocument subn	nitted as per f	K:b	**************************************
Sr. no.	Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid docume nt Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
3	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
5	M/s Satya Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. — M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	·Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. – M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

- 5. The Committee observed that all 10 (Ten) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand and Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.
- 6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 183.28 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.				
1	Estimated Project Cost	183.28				
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)					
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	54.98				
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	18.33				
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	27.49				

e

An

Jose

6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	9.16
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	9.16
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	9.16
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.50
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.83
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	27.49
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	16.50
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.50
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	91.64
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	54.98
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	18.33

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the 10 (Ten) bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

8. Observations of the Committee:

The Committee observed that 2 bidders out of 10 (Ten) bidders, have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2018-19 and annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to FY 2014-15. Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial statements for annual turnover from FY 2018-19 to 2014-15 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP and from FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP.

9. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of receivable value for civil work given by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values uploaded on UDIN Portal matched with the value of Audited Balance Sheet. However if any of these bidders becomes L1, then further verification if required can be done before award of the work.

le m

Ar Insu

10. During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidder has failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP. The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks				
1	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	The bidder should have submitted at least one completed similar work to qualify the RFP CI. 2.2.2.2 (ii). However, bidder has not met eligibility criteria of RFP CI. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Hence, the bidder considered bidder as non-responsive.				

11. Further the committee had sought clarification from following bidder w.r.t to their submitted documents. The bidder has furnished their comments as below:

S.No. Name of Bidder		Clarification sought	Bidder's Reply	Remarks	
1	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. — M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	In Table "To calculate the value of B" of Annexure-VI, M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co. (lead member of JV) has assessed value of B Rs. 7159.68 Cr. and has calculated bid capacity taking Rs. 75.34 Cr. as value of B. Please clarify.	Replied .	Found satisfactory	

12. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Responsive
4	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	Non- Responsive
5	M/s Satya Builders	Responsive
6	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt. Ltd. – M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (JV)	Responsive
8	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Construction Co M/s Park Infrastructure Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
9	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 9 (Nine) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ŵ. Blah,

(ED-V) Convener K C Bhatt, DGM(T)

Member Secretary

A.K. Jha, GM (T) Bhaskar Mallick,

Manager (Fin)

1494072/2021/Technical

Sr. No.		1	2	3	4	5	б
Name of the bidd	er	M/s Dwarkamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	M/s R.K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	M/s Krishna Infrastructure	M/s Satya Builders	M/s Dev Yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum thresho capacity (Clause Sole = 91.64 Cr. LM=54.98 Cr. OM=18.33 Cr.		147.69 Cr.	460.62 Cr.	175.03 Cr.	152.08 Cr.	218.37 Cr.	205.64 Cr.
Minimum thresho technical capabili category 1 & 3 in complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii Rs. 27.49 Cr.	ty from a single	29.69 Cr. "C"	61.85 Cr. "10"	60.21 Cr. "g"	Projects claimed does not meet the RFP Clause	67.86 Cr. "b"	30.17 Cr. "C"
Minimum Net Wor in Cr.) (Sole=9.16, LM=5. OM=1.83)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	26.40 Cr.	52.72 Cr	36.84 Cr.	27.23 Cr.	79.49 Cr.	22.57 Cr.
Average Annual T (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=27.49, LM=1 OM=5.50)		68.37 Cr.	145.80 Cr.	121.77 Cr.	86.62 Cr.	272.35 Cr.	134.78 Cr.
Whether meeting Capacity (Rs. in C (Sole=91.64, LM=5 OM=18.33)	r.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting Financial Thresho Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects hald	Nos.		-	-	•	1	•
Projects held with NHIDCL	Cost	[84]	*	-	-	145.87 Cr.	+
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive	Responsive

m

Ay

the fostal

1494072/2021/Technical

Sr. 1	No.		7		3	9	10
Name of the bide	der	M/s SKV Infratech Pvt Ltd. – (lead member)	M/s SPG Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd (other member)	M/s Bharat Spun Pipe & Constructi on Co. (lead member)	Mrs Park Infrastructure Ltd. (other member)	M/s BKD Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Divya Simandhar Construction Pvt. Ltd.
		80%	20%	51%	49%	100%	100%
Sole/JV	***************************************	J	V		JV	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum thresho (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)		122.00 Cr.	78.94 Cr.	87.89 C	36.88 Cr.		
Sole = 91.64 Cr. LM=54.98 Cr. OM=18.33 Cr.		200.9	34 Cr.	124.77 Cr.		258.60 Cr.	93.65 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 27.49 Cr.		37.29 Cr. proje memi		33.09 Cr. "A" of other member		40.15 Cr. "C"	27.37 Cr. "A"
Minimum Net Wor	th (Rs. in	8.19 Cr.	22.24 Cr.	16.86 Cr.	6.10 Cr.		
Cr.) (Sole=9.16, LM=5.5 OM=1.83)	50,	30.43	Cr.	22.96 Cr.		33.82 Cr.	20.84 Cr.
Average Annual To	urnover	59.53 Cr.	82.27 Cr.	30.65 Cr.	14.19 Cr.		
(Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=27.49, LM=1 OM=5.50)	6.50,	141.80	141.80 Cr.		44.84 Cr.		54.52 Cr.
Whether meeting to Capacity (Rs. in Cr (Sole=91.64, LM=54 DM=18.33)	.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nhether meeting t Financial Threshold Requirement		Yeşs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held	Nos.	-	-	-	-	-	
with NHIDCL	Cost (Crores)			-	*	-	-
/hether meeting the		Yes	Yes	Yes	5	Yes	Yes
esponsiveness		Responsive	Responsive	Respons	sive	Responsive	Responsive

to any the food