राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड सङ्क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

BUILDING THE NATION

NHIDCL/Manipur/T-M(Pkg1-4)/AE/192263/2148

Dated: 10.06.2021

To,

All the Bidders, (listed below)

SUD: CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road of Tamenglong to (i) Mahur in the state of Manipur from km 0.000 at Tamenglong to km 10.000 Dialong (Length-10.000 near km) (Package-1) on EPC mode.

(ii) Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road of Tamenglong-Mahur section in the state of Manipur from km 10.000 at Dialong to km 31.430 near Old Tamenglong (Length-21.430 km) (Package-2) on EPC mode.

(iii) Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road of Tamenglong-Mahur section in the state of Manipur from km 31.430 at Old Tamenglong to km 43.480 ending near Phelong (Length-12.050 km) (Package-3) on EPC mode.

(iv) Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road of Tamenglong-Mahur section in the state of Manipur from km 43.480 at Phelong to km 54.180 ending near Azuram (Length-10.700 km) (Package-4) on EPC mode.

2021_NHIDC_612393 _1 Tender Id:

Based on Technical Evaluation of Bids received, the status of Technically Responsive Bidders is as under:

SI. No.	Name of Consultancy Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Remarks
1	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s MSPARK Futuristics& Associates	40.00	19.00	36.06	95.06	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	39.50	20.00	34.34	93.84	Technically Responsive
3	M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s AVYS Engineering Private Limited	40.00	20.00	34.62	94.62	Technically Responsive

SI. No.	Name of Consultancy Firm	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total	Remarks
4	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	40.00	14.00	33.88	87.88	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd.	39.75	18.00	35.06	92.81	Technically Responsive
6	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s ARMENGE Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt Ltd	40.00	13.00	35.17	88.17	Technically Responsive
7	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Varija Associates	40.00	20.00	36.34	96.34	Technically Responsive

2. A copy of Minutes of meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee is also enclosed herewith for information to applicant bidders. The Committee has recommended opening Financial Bids of the technically responsive bidders on 14.06.2021(Monday) at 15.00 hrs.

Enclosed: MoM of TEC dated 08.06.2021

(K. C. Bhatt) Dy. General Manager (Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

2nd Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 08.06.2021 to evaluate the Technical bids for CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road on EPC mode of Tamenglong to Muhur in the state of Manipur for the following stretches:

- (i) from km 0.000 to km 10.000 (PKG-1)
- (ii) from km 10.000 to km 31.430 (PKG-2)
- (iii) from km 31.430 to km 43.480 (PKG-3)

(iv) from km 43.480 to km 54.180 (PKG-4)

The RFP for the subject work was invited on 27.01.2021 with Bid due date 19.04.2021 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 20.04.2021.

2. Accordingly, the Committee carried out the technical evaluation and uploaded the technical scores of all 7 (Seven) nos. of bidders on 25.05.2021 for their comments/clarification. The technical score of Consultancy firms for the subject work are as given below:

Sr. No.	1	Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCI
1	Relevant experience for	or the assignment	40	40	assessed of key personnel	
2	Experience in use of te	chnology for road inspection	20	18	personnel	
3	Qualifications and com assignment	mpetence of the key staff for the	40	34.60		
	Randhir Kumar Choudhury	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.80	90	
	Amit Kumar Mishra	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.64	88	
	Satyendra Prasad Singh	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.82	94	
	Neeraj Pandey	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.40	90	2
	Bhanu Prasad Reddy	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.40	90	
	Prasant Dixit	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	4.14	69	
	Sanjay Kumar	Road Safety Expert	4	3.40	85	

Ap frace

m

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience	ce for the assignment	40	39	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	20	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	34.34	-	
	Arun Kumar Magapu	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.08	84	
	Lavenya Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.10	70	n ta
	Dinessh Kumar Pandit	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.70	90	
	P. Ratnam	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.34	89	2
	Mohd. Monish	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.10	85	
	Reddy Prasanna Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Mahesh Chandra Goyal	Road Safety Expert	4	3.56	89	

Sr. No.	19.	Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience	e for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key personnel	
2	Experience in use of	of technology for road inspection	20	20	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	34.62		
	Vijay Kumar Gupta	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	9.84	82	
	Harivansh Kumar Sinha	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.13	71	
	Ramesh Chandra	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	
	MeerjaAriffBaig	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	1
	Sushil Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91	
	Santosh Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6 5.46 91	91		
	Suraj Kumar Pathak	Road Safety Expert	4	3.54	88.50	

le

ann & frace

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points Points Scored		Marks	NHIDCI
1	Relevant experier	nce for the assignment	40	39.25	assessed of key personnel	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	20 14 personnel		
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	33.82		<u>.</u>
	Nand Kumar Singh	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	9.12	76	
	Ananta Prasad Sahu	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91	-
	Ashwini Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	
	Vinod Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	Nil
	Dadan Singh	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.04	84	
	Deepak Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Tejas Patel	Road Safety Expert	4	3.28	82	

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCI
1	Relevant experien	ce for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	18	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	35.06		
	Sukhvir Kumar Jain	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.32	86	
	Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91	-
	Rakesh Kumar Singh	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.61	87	
	Ragib Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	2
	Akula Venkat Ramula	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.34	89	
	Ashish Nandi	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Hemant Kumar Pandya	Road Safety Expert	4	3.14	78.5	

Mos the fisce

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	cored Marks	NHIDCI
1	Relevant experience	e for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use of	of technology for road inspection	20	13	personnel	
3	Qualifications and o assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	35.17		
	JM Patnaik	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.32	86	
	Ramasubbaraju K	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.70	90	
	Manavadariya Anilbhai	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	FIPL-1
	Pramod Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	AEMCPL
	Satyanarayana Sahu	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91	
	K Shiva Rama Krishna	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Anju Sharma	Road Safety Expert	4	3.04	76	

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points Points Scored		Marks	NHIDC	
1	Relevant experience	ce for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key personnel		
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	20			
3	Qualifications and assignment			36.34			
	Sunil Kumar Das	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.68	89		
	Anand Kumar Saini	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91		
	Mohan Sreedharan	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91		
	Aditya Ishwar	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	1	
	Nirmal Kumar Singh	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91		
	T. Venkata Ramana	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91		
	Sanjay Kumar Sharma	Road Safety Expert	4	3.82	95.5		

3. In response to HQ NHIDCL letter 2117 dated 25.05.2021, "M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates, M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited and M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited and" submitted their observation and clarification against

Page 4 of 14

experience of the Firm & Key Staff.

4. The Committee noted that Consultancy firms have submitted their representation against the technical evaluation result uploaded on 25.05.2021. The Technical Evaluation Committee has re-examined the observation. Detailed observation & clarification and remarks given below:

Sr.	Clause Ref.	Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Particulars	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed by the Committee	Revised marks					
A		Criteria for assessment of experience in use of techno	2		1					
	2.2	Experience in Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength measurement	2	1	Ţ					
127		Experience 1-3 Projects - 1 >3 Projects - 2								
	was in asso	Bidder has claimed 6 projects. In 4 projects out of 6 projects ciation with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. Th narks have given for 2 projects only. Hence, no change in r	ere are no cla	arity that test perforn	ancy Pvt. Ltd ned by whom					
	3.2	Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit or better technology for bridge inspection Experience 1-2 Projects - 1 3-5 Projects - 2 >5 Projects - 3	3	2	3					
	Remarks: F	urther to clarification submitted by the Consultant, experier	nce in use of I	MBIU re-examined a	ind found that					
	Consultant has experience in more than 5 projects which had not been considered all projects due to oversight. Therefore, all projects have been considered now. Hence, 1 additional mark has given.									
	Therefore, a	Il projects have been considered now. Hence, 1 additional	mark has give	en.						
3	Evaluation	Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequ	acy of the As	signment						
1		er cum Senior Highway Engineer: Mr. Randhir Kumar C Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in	5	0	0					
	2 (d)	Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above). 1 project – 4 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark								
	Pomarke: B	idder has claimed 2 projects (project no. 8 and 13). In both	projects desi	anation of the key p	ersonnel was					
	Remarks: Bidder has claimed 2 projects (project no. 8 and 13). In both projects designation of the key personnel was Project Manager. However, the designation as Project Manager consider for Construction/Construction Supervision work. Therefore, same has not been considered. Hence, no change in marks.									
2		ngineer cum Highway Engineer-I: Mr. Amit Kumar Mish								
	2 (i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects < 12 years -0 12 years -16 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 2 (two) marks.	20	17	17					
	from 24.07.2	idder has claimed total 14 years of professional experience 2006 and last project completed on 31.03.2020. Therefor as calculated 13 years and 8 months. Therefore, marks ha	ore, total prof	essional experience	e of the key					
3		ity/Material Expert: Mr. Prasant Dixit								
	1 (i)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	0	21					
	Hence, mark	arks under this category had not been considered due to or s have been changed.		8						
		Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	15	14	14					
_		Am Ar -	for		Page 5 of					

		10 years -11				
		Add one mark extra for each additional year of				
		experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.				
		The key personnel has working experience in total 5 proje				
	for Madhya	a Pradesh District Roads II Sector Project". This is neither h	nighway nor b	ridge project. There	fore, same ha	
		onsidered. From the remaining projects total professional			13 years and	
	month. The	erefore, marks have been given accordingly. Hence, no cha	ange in marks.	(
4	Road Safe	ty Expert: Mr. Sanjay Kumar	1			
	2 (i)	Total Professional Experience in handling	15	14	14	
		Highway/Bridge projects				
		< 10 years -0				
		10 years -11 marks				
		Add one mark extra for each additional year of				
	experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.					
		The key personnel has working experience in total 9 project				
		rds". This is neither highway nor bridge project. Therefo				
	01	projects total professional experience has been calculated	13 years and	6 month. Therefore	e, marks have	
		accordingly. Hence, no change in marks.				
	2 (vi)	Experience in similar capacity in field of Road Safety	5	0	5	
		Management Plan				
		1 project – 4 marks				
		2 or more -5 marks				
		Further to clarification submitted by the Consultant, CV of				
		under this criteria had had not been considered due to c		refore, projects nos	5, 5, 6, 8 and 9	
	have been of	considered now. Hence, marks have been revised accordin	gly.			

Sr.	Clause Ref.	Particulars	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed by the Committee	Revised marks				
Α	Evaluation	Criteria for Assessment of Experience of the Firm							
1	S.N. 4 of Appendix- EC	Experience in DPR/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40%ofproject length) or more in last 7years. 1 project–4 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks Extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks.	5	4.5	5.00				
	Remarks: Further re-presentation experience under this criteria re-examined and found that some projects had no								
	been considered due to oversight. Therefore, same has been considered now. Hence, marks have been revised. Evaluation Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for adequacy of the Assignment								
B									
1	2 (b)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of	apu 15	13	13				
	-	project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above). < 2 projects -0 2 Projects -11 marks Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to		2					
		maximum 4marks.							
	Remarks: On INFRACON portal projects at Sr. no. 9, 13 and 16 claimed by bidder have already been considere Further, projects at Sr. no. 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 17 claimed by bidder have not considered since these a construction work projects. Hence, no change in marks.								
	2 (d)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar Configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above). 1 project – 4 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark	5	0	0				
		Mino to freed			Page 6				

2	change in r Resident o	narks. :um Highway Engineer-I: Mr. Lavanya Kumar			
-	2 (i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects < 12 years -0 12 years -16 marks Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of	20	0	0
		experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.		anneidered Acces	rdingly the ke
	personnel Remaining	5 projects Sr. no. 1,2,3,4 and 6 out of 12 nos. of projects h has total professional experience in highways sector is are not highway projects such as NH, SH, Expressways	calculated a	pproximately 8 ye	ars a monus
		Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of	5	4	4
	2 (iv)	Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on EPC			
		Mode of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks			ć
		Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project Subject to maximum1 (one) mark.			
	Remarks:	In the project at Sr. no. 3, designation of the key personn	el was Asst. H	Highway Engineer.	Projects at Si
	no. 8, 9, 1 considered	0, 11 and 12 are not highway projects such as NH, SH, for evaluation. Hence, no change in marks.	Expressways	s. Therefore, same	has not bee
3		um Highway Engineer-II: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Pandit	20	19	19
	2 (i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway	20	19	10
		projects < 12 years -0			
		12 years -16 marks			
		Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of			
		experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.			
	Remarks:	The key personnel has total professional experience under	this category	is 15 completed ye	ears from the
		ence, no change in marks.	*		
4		ement Specialist: Mohd. Monish	20	16	16
	2 (i)	TotalProfessionalExperienceofPavementDesign/ConstructionandMaintenanceofHighways/Roads/Air Field Runway< 10 years -0	20	10	10
-	Remarks: (Considering all projects of the key personnel, total profession	onal experience	e is calculated 11 c	completed
	years. Hend	ce, no change in marks.			
	2 (ii)	ExperienceinSimilarcapacityinConstruction/ConstructionSupervision of 2/4/6-laning**ofmajorHighwayProjects(similarconfiguration(2/4/6laning**)and above)< 5 years -0; 5 years -15 marks	20	18	18
-	Remarks:	n the claimed total 11 nos, of projects, designation of the k	ey personnel	was not as mentior	ned in the RFF
	as the simil	ar capacity in the projects at Sr.no. 1, 10 & 11. Project at	t Sr. no. 2 is n	ot construction sup	pervision work
	Hence, no c	hange in marks.			
5		y Expert: Mr. Mahesh Chandra Goyal	15	40	40
	2 (ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration 2/4/6laning** and above. <5years-0	15	13	13

Page **7** of **14**

experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.
Remarks: In the claimed total 11 nos. of projects, designation of the key personnel was not as mentioned in the RFP
as the similar capacity in the projects at Sr.no. 1 to 5. Projects at Sr. no. 6 and 18 are not highway projects such as
NH, SH, Expressway. From the remaining project total years of experience has calculated 7 completed years. Hence,
no change in marks.

Sr.	Clause Ref.	Particulars	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed by the Committee	Revised marks
А	Evaluation	Criteria for Assessment of Experience of the Firm			
1	S.N. 1 of Appendix- EC	Average Annual Turnover (last 3 years) from Consultancy business <2% of TPC–0 marks 2% of TPC– 1.5 marks Add for additional turnover 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks for every 1% of TPC above 2% of TPC subject to maximum 0.5 marks.	2	1.75	2
	Remarks:	The Average Annual Turnover of the Consultant is 172.77	Cr. which is me	ore than 4% (i.e. 33.	26 Cr.) of
		. There was a typographical error while placing the score.			
	S.N. 6 of Appendix- EC	Experience in Construction Supervision/DPR/Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years. 1 project–2.0 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks Extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1.0 marks	3	2.5	3
	Remarks: E	xperience under this category re-examined and found that	t some projects	s had not been cons	idered
		to oversight. Therefore, score has been revised now.			
B	Evaluation	Criteria for assessment of experience in use of techno	logy for road	inspection	
	1.1	Experience in Network Survey Vehicle (NSV) or better technology for pavement inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 2 marks (b) Own Equipment – 4 marks	4	2	2
	2.1	Experience in Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) or better technology for pavement strength Measurement (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment – 2 marks	2	1	1
	3.1	Experience in Mobile Bridge Inspection Unit or better technology for bridge inspection (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment – 2 marks	2	1	1
	4.1	Experience in Retro reflectometer technology (a) Equipment on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis- 1 marks (b) Own Equipment – 2 marks	2	1	1
	File No. RW/ for equipment as leasing a assignment." OF UNDERS	dder has given circular reference issued by Ministry of Ro NH-33044/24/2020-S&R (P&B) dated 06.01.2021. It is sta it is rationalized and full score be accorded for either of ov s the intent of contract is to get the service of the Cons Bidder has associated M/s Sri Infotech for the scope of se TANDING. According to MoM M/s Sri Infotech will carry o e same circular does not apply in instant case. Hence, no c	ated in para 5 wnership or hire sultant for usin ervice mentione ut tests using	of the circular that e purchase or other ng the equipment for ed in para 4 of MEM own technology and	"The marks option such or the given ORANDUM
		\bigcap			

1		on Criteria for assessment of score of Key Staff for ac ader cum Senior Highway Engineer: Mr. Nand Kumar		Assignment	
	2 (b)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity	of 15	0	0
		project Preparation including design of major highw Project (of length 40% of project length or more			
		similar configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above).			
		< 2 projects -0			
		2 Projects -11 marks			
		Add 2 marks for each additional project subject	to		
	Remarks	maximum 4marks. On INFRACON portal project at Sr. no. 3, has only qual	ify the eligibility	criteria Project no (having leng
		40% of the project length i.e. 13 km. (4 lane). Project no			
	of the key	personnel was Project Manager which has not consider			
		ulfil this criteria. Hence, no change in marks.			
2		vement Specialist: Mr. Dadan Singh	20	10	10
	2 (ii)	Experience in Similar capacity in Construction/Construction Supervision of 2/4/	20	19	19
		laning**of major Highway Projects (similar configuration			
		(2/4/6laning**) and above)			
		< 5 years -0; 5 years -15 marks			
		Add one mark extra for each additional year	of		
-	Romarke	experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks. Projects nos. 1,2 and 3 are non-highways projects such		Fypresswave Dec	ignation of th
		inel was Field Engineer in project no. 8 and Highway De			
		lighway Design Engineer does not come under similar ca			
	no. 13 is C	&M project. Therefore, total 9 completed years of experi			
_		/. Hence, no change in marks.	05	00	00
	2 (iii)	Experience in similar capacity a Pavement/Geotechnical	is 25	20	20
		Engineer in construction/ construction supervision of	of		
		Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project lengt			
		or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) an			
		above)			
		< 2 nos0 2 nos20 marks			
		Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject	t		
		to maximum 5 marks.			
		Bidder has claimed 6 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6,8,9			
		vas less than 6 months. Designation of the key personne			
			sidered only an	u marks have giver	accordingly
		project. Therefore, 2 projects 4 and 5 have been con shange in marks.	S		
		change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o	f 5	4	5
	Hence, no o	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila		4	5
	Hence, no o	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above)		4	5
	Hence, no o	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks	r	4	5
	Hence, no o	Change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (or length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project	r	4	5
	Hence, no o 2 (iv)	 change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. 	r		
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: 1 personnel v	hange in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are qu	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h	ut of the key
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered	 change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are qu due to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. H 	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h	ut of the key
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered o Road Safet	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Here y Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite Hence, 1 addition	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given.	ut of the key ad not been
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. History Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that the second sec	r t I 9). In projects alifying the crite Hence, 1 addition he key personn	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: I personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bio	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Here y Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that to d. However, total 65 projects are showing on INFRACC	r I 9). In projects alifying the crite lence, 1 addition he key personn DN portal. There	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pu efore, as per RFP, 0	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: I personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated on	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Here y Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that to d. However, total 65 projects are showing on INFRACON portal. It	r t l 9). In projects alifying the crite tence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 p efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: I personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated on	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (o length 40% of project length or more of simila configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project- 4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Here y Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that to d. However, total 65 projects are showing on INFRACC	r t l 9). In projects alifying the crite tence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 p efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated on & 5 of tech	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Hy Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling	r t 9). In projects alifying the crite Hence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 p efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated of & 5 of tech evaluation.	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Figure 1: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects	r t 9). In projects alifying the crite Hence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	e nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project ne has not been co	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4 unsidered for
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated of & 5 of tech evaluation.	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Hy Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	r t 9). In projects alifying the crite Hence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	e nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project ne has not been co	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4 onsidered for
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated of & 5 of tech evaluation.	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Hy Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that to the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite tence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	e nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project ne has not been co	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4 unsidered for
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated of & 5 of tech evaluation.	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Hy Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite tence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	e nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project ne has not been co	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4 unsidered for
	Hence, no o 2 (iv) Remarks: personnel v considered Road Safet It has been technical bid evaluated of & 5 of tech evaluation.	change in marks. Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (olength 40% of project length or more of similal configuration (2/4/6laning**) and above) 1 Project-4 marks Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) mark. Bidder has claimed 4 projects (projects nos. 4,5,6 and vas less than 6 months. Projects nos. 4 and 5 are quidue to oversight. Therefore, same has considered now. Hy Expert: Mr. Tejas Patel observed by the Technical Evaluation Committee that to the basis of projects available on INFRACON portal. It nical bid have not been updated on INFRACON portal. Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	r t 1 9). In projects alifying the crite tence, 1 addition he key personn N portal. There has also been o . Therefore, sar	e nos. 6 and 9, inpu eria. Project no. 5 h nal mark has given. el has claimed 69 pi efore, as per RFP, 0 bserved that project ne has not been co	ut of the key ad not been rojects in the CV has been s nos. 1, 3, 4 unsidered for

	experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.								
Remarks:	INFRACON projects nos. 2 & 3 and 11 to 14 are neither	showing project	ts such as NH, SH	H, Expressway					
	or Bridge projects. Therefore, experience of the key per								
projects. In the remaining projects the key personnel has total professional experience completed									
Therefore, marks have been given accordingly. Hence, no change in marks.									
2 (ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on	15	11	11					
	Major Highway Project (similar configuration								
	2/4/6laning** and above.								
	<5years-0								
	5 years -11 marks								
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of								
	experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.								
	INFRACON projects nos. 2 & 3 and 11 to 14 neither highwater jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no								
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience		ot been consic lose are qualif per requireme	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered th ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 comple	ot been consic lose are qualif per requireme	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has not bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complet A. Hence, no change in marks.	ot been consid lose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac herefore, marks ha	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks.	ot been consid lose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac herefore, marks ha	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no is. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project	ot been consid lose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac herefore, marks ha	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	ot been consid lose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac herefore, marks ha	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has not bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered th ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos0	ot been consid lose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac herefore, marks ha	e projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly 2 (iv)	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has not bes. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos0 2 nos8 marks Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks.	ot been consic nose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th 10	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac nerefore, marks ha 0	o projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota we been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly 2 (iv) Remarks:	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has no bs. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos0 2 nos8 marks Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks. Identification and improvement of black spots have not been	ot been consic nose are qualif per requireme eted years. Th 10 no found in the	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac nerefore, marks ha 0 0	0 projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					
Bridge pro projects no the remain experience accordingly 2 (iv) 2 (iv) Remarks: 65. Input of	jects. Therefore, experience of the key personnel has not bes. 24, 28, 45, 48, 49, 51, 55 to 65 has been considered the ing projects designation of the key personnel was not as in years under this criteria has been calculated 5 complex. Hence, no change in marks. Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) < 2 nos0 2 nos8 marks Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks.	ot been consic nose are qualif per requirement eted years. Th 10 en found in the nos. 55 and 56	lered for the same ying the criteria of ent of the RFP. Ac nerefore, marks ha 0 0 e claimed project n. . Only project no.6	0 projects. Th this Clause. I cordingly, tota ve been give					

5. The Committee noted that the typographical error which placing the score for average annual turnover of M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd. As per RFP, score for Average Annual Turnover should be given as follows:

Average Annual Turnover (last 3 years) from Consultancy business

<2% of TPC-0 marks

le

2% of TPC (i.e. 831.53 Cr. x 2% =16.63 Cr.) - 1.50 marks

Add for additional turnover 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks for every 1% of TPC above 2% of TPC subject to maximum 0.5 marks.

i.e. 3% of TPC (i.e. 831.53 Cr. x 3% =24.95 Cr.) - 1.75 marks

4% of TPC (i.e. 831.53 Cr. x 4% =33.26 Cr.) - 2.00 marks

The Average Annual Turnover of M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd. has been calculated 29.62 Cr. which is more than the 3% but less than 4% of the project cost. Therefore, score for average annual turnover should be given 1.75 instead of 2. Hence, same has been revised now.

6. The revised scored by the individual firm as under:

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience for	or the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use of te	chnology for road inspection	20	19	personnel	
3	Qualifications and com assignment	40	36.06			
	Randhir Kumar Choudhury	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.80	90	
	Amit Kumar Mishra	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.64	88	
	Satyendra Prasad Singh	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.82	94	CPCPL-2
	Neeraj Pandey	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.40	90	MSPARK-1
	Bhanu Prasad Reddy	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.40	90	
	Prasant Dixit	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.40	90	
	Sanjay Kumar	Road Safety Expert	4	3.60	90	

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience for the assignment		40	39.50	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	20	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	40	34.34			
	Arun Kumar Magapu	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.08	84	
	Lavenya Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.10	70	
	Dinessh Kumar Pandit	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.70	90	
	P. Ratnam	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.34	89	2
	Mohd. Monish	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.10	85	
	Reddy Prasanna Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Mahesh Chandra Goyal	Road Safety Expert	4	3.56	89	

An

And

le

Ang

Page **11** of **14**

Sr. No.	Particulars		Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience	e for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use of	of technology for road inspection	20	20	personnel	
3	Qualifications and o assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	34.62		
	Vijay Kumar Gupta	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	9.84	82	
	Harivansh Kumar Sinha	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.13	71	
	Ramesh Chandra	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	MSV-1
	MeerjaAriffBaig	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	
	Sushil Kumar	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91	
	Santosh Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Suraj Kumar Pathak	Road Safety Expert	4	3.54	88.50	

Sr. No.	Particulars		Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experier	nce for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	14	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	33.88		
	Nand Kumar Singh	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	9.12	76	
	Ananta Prasad Sahu	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91	
	Ashwini Kumar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	
	Vinod Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	Nil
	Dadan Singh	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.10	85	
	Deepak Kumar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Tejas Patel	Road Safety Expert	4	3.28	82	

the food

and

le

Page **12** of **14**

Sr. No.	Particulars		Max Points	and the second se	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experien	ce for the assignment	40	39.75	assessed of key personnel	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	18		
3	Qualifications and assignment	40	35.06			
	Sukhvir Kumar Jain	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.32	86	
	Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91	
	Rakesh Kumar Singh	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.61	87	
×	Ragib Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	2
	Akula Venkat Ramula	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.34	89	
	Ashish Nandi	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Hemant Kumar Pandya	Road Safety Expert	4	3.14	78.5	

Sr. No.	agement Consultants Pvt Ltd Particulars		Max Points	Points Scored	Marks	NHIDCL
1	Relevant experienc	e for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use of	of technology for road inspection	20	13	personnel	
3	Qualifications and c assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	35.17		
	JM Patnaik	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.32	86	
	Ramasubbaraju K	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.70	90	
	Manavadariya Anilbhai	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	FIPL-1
	Pramod Kumar Singh	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	& AEMCPL-1
	Satyanarayana Sahu	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91	
	K Shiva Rama Krishna	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Anju Sharma	Road Safety Expert	4	3.04	76	

from the forsel

le

4

7. M/s Sr.No.	L N Malviya Infra Pr	ojects Pvt. Ltd. in association with Particulars	M/s Varija A Max Points	Associates Points Scored	Marks	Projects under NHIDCL
1	Relevant experience	ce for the assignment	40	40	assessed of key	
2	Experience in use	of technology for road inspection	20	20	personnel	
3	Qualifications and assignment	competence of the key staff for the	40	36.34		
	Sunil Kumar Das	Team Leader Cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	10.68	89	
	Anand Kumar Saini	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-I	3	2.73	91	
	Mohan Sreedharan	Resident Engineer cum Highway Engineer-II	3	2.73	91	LN Maluius 1
	Aditya Ishwar	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	5.46	91	Malviya-1 &
	Nirmal Kumar Singh	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	5.46	91	Varija-NIL
	T. Venkata Ramana	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	6	5.46	91	
	Sanjay Kumar Sharma	Road Safety Expert	4	3.82	95.5	
		Тс	tal Marks	96.34		

In view of the above, the Committee deliberated and recommended to open 7. Financial Bids of the following technically responsive bidders on 10.06.2021, subject to the approval of the Competent Authority:

- M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s (i) MSPARK Futuristics & Associates
- M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited Meeting ended with vote of thanks (ii) to Chair.
- M/s MSV International Inc in association with M/s AVYS Engineering (iii) **Private Limited**
- M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s (iv) Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited
- (\vee) M/s Geo Designs and Research (P) Ltd.
- M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s ARMENGE Engineering (vi) and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Varija Associates

W. Blah (ED-V) Convener

K.C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary/ Presenting Officer

GN

Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Finance) Member