### राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Nagaland//Peren Dimapur/ Pkg 5/ 2021 | 700

02.02.2021

To

All the Technically Qualified Bidders

**Sub:** Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren - Dimapur section on NH - 129A from Design Km 173.850 to Km 190.850 (Length - 17.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg - V) under NH(O) - TSP- *Opening of financial bid - reg*.

Based on the Technical Evaluation, following is the evaluation result of bidders for the subject project:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Asean Agencies	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Multi Builders	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Responsive
6	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
7	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive

2. A copy of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of the Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) is also enclosed herewith for information of applicant bidders.

Ayla

3. Accordingly, Financial bid of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 04.02.2021 at 1500 Hrs in NHIDCL, HQ,  $3^{\rm rd}$  Floor, PTI Building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001

Encl: As above.

(A. K. Jha)

General Manager (Technical)

Email: gmnagaland.nhidcl@gmail.com

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Construction of 2 Laning with Hard Shoulder of Peren - Dimapur section on NH - 129A from Design Km 173.850 to Km 190.850 (Length - 17.00 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Pkg - V) under NH(O) - TSP" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 28.01.2021.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 18.01.2021.

- 2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met to open the technical Bids on 19.01.2021 at 1100 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.
  - (i) M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
  - (ii) M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd.
  - (iii) M/s Asean Agencies
  - (iv) M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
  - (v) M/s Multi Builders
  - (vi) M/s Satya Builders
  - (vii) M/s W Imo Longkumar M/s K Nakhro & Sons M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)
- 3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 144.15 Crore.

Sr.No	Particulars	Amount in Rs.
1	Estimated Project Cost	144.15
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	72.08
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	43.25
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.42
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	21.62
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	7.21
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	7.21

Page 1 of 10

m Ship

Ajoys

10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.52
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.44
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	21.62
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	12.97
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.32
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	72.08
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	43.25
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.42

- 4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.
- 5. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 21.01.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 28.01.2021. Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of TEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder			
1	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)	(i) As per the Joint Venture Agreement provided, the number of members in the Joint Venture are three i.e. M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co. However, as per RFP clause 2.1.11 (a), "Number of members in a Joint Venture shall not exceed 2 (Two)". Kindly clarify. (ii) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	The bidder stated that as per the RFP document, the number of Joint venture partners allowed is only 2 partners. The bidder stated that they missed the point from their end. However, they were referring to a generic RFP from MoRTH. As per the generic document, it says up to three(3) joint ventures partners are allowed.  Further, the bidder stated to only consider M/s W Imo Longkumar and M/s K Nakhro & Sons as the bidders	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the Committee. It has been observed that as per the Joint Bidding Agreement, the number of members in the Joint Venture are three i.e. M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co.  As per RFP clause 2.1.11(a), it is clearly stated that "Number of members in a Joint Venture shall not exceed 2 (Two)". Hence, the reply submitted by the bidder has not been considered and the committee decided to treat the bid as Technically Non - responsive.		

Page 2 of 10

m

MM

Her .

(i) Appendix IA Annexure I The bidder has motin FRP format. Please Clarify (ii) Statutory Certificate of project code "J" Could not be located. Please Clarify. (iii) Appendix IA Annexure VI could not be located. Please Clarify. (iv) Addited Balance sheet for FY 2016-17 could not be located. Please Clarify (v) Profit and Loss Statement of Audited Balance for FY 2018-19 could not be located. Please Clarify (vi) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (vii) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of KFP. Please clarify and re submitt.  (i) Appendix IA Annexure IV (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify and re submitt.  (i) Appendix IA Annexure IV (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify and re submitt.  (ii) Appendix IA Annexure IV (viiii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please clarify (viiii) For consideration of single work under category 1 ft 3, experience certificate from the a				
Annexure IV  "amount received from Firm and TAN no "for all submitted projects could not be located. Please Clarify  M/s Multi  Builders  Annexure IV  "amount received from Firm and TAN no "for all submitted projects could not be located. Please Clarify  (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.  Submitted the reply along with the revised documents requested.  bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically eligible, hence the comsider the bid as technically responsive.	2 Dwarakamai Construction	Please Clarify  (ii) Statutory Certificate of project code "J" Could not be located. Please Clarify.  (iii) Appendix IA Annexure VI could not be located. Please Clarify.  (iv) Audited Balance sheet for FY 2016-17 could not be located. Please Clarify  (v) Profit and Loss Statement of Audited Balance for FY 2018-19 could not be located. Please Clarify  (vi) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.  (vii) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.		bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as
Page 3 of 10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Annexure IV  "amount received from Firm and TAN no "for all submitted projects could not be located. Please Clarify  (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	submitted the reply along with the revised documents requested.	bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as technically responsive.

Page 3 of 10

M

#fr

4	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.  (i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify (ii) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	The bidder has submitted the reply along with the revised documents requested.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as technically responsive.
5	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	For M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.  For M/s AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	The bidder has submitted the revised Annex - IV, but did not submit the revised Statutory Auditor's Certificate indicating TAN number.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was observed that the bidder has not provided the TAN No. of Authority in C.A Certificate as required vide Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. However, Committee noted that the bidder has worked as main contractor in all the projects Code specified and has submitted the certificates from the authority, which are the Govt. agencies for some of the requisite projects. Further bidder has not submitted the TAN no. from the concerned agencies. Committee deliberated on the issue and since bidder has worked as main contractor and submitted certificates from the Government Authorities. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
6	M/s Satya Builders	(i) For Project code "B,C,D,E" year wise breakup of receivable value in civil work is not	The bidder has submitted the reply along with the revised	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and

Page 4 of 10

m My

Her

Ajough

		reflected in UDIN ICAI certificate. Please clarify. (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify. (iii) Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	documents requested.	financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as technically responsive.
7	M/s Asean Agencies	Annex - IV, details of Eligible projects for Technical Threshold Capacity is not as per the format of RFP. Please clarify and re submit.	The bidder has submitted the reply along with the revised documents requested.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as technically responsive.

- 6. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.
- 7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its  $2^{nd}$  meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Asean Agencies	Technically Responsive	1
3	M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Multi Builders	Technically Responsive	0
5	M/s Satya Builders	Technically Responsive	
6	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)	Technically Non - Responsive	0
7	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive	0

M

My

Agen .

Ajayn

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia

(ED-I) Chairman B. Shivprasad

(GM-Tech)

Member

(GM-Tech)

Member

Bhaskar Mallick

Manager -Fin.

Member

# <u>Annexure - I</u>

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 72.08 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 21.62 Cr.	least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 43.25 Cr.	Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 14.42 Cr.
1	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	378.25 Cr	Yes (Rs 65.62 Cr)	NA	NA
2	M/s Asean Agencies	137.15 Cr	Yes ( Rs 82.62 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd	220.71	Yes ( Rs 29.33 Cr)	NA	NA .
4	M/s Multi Builders	120.05	Yes (77.57 Cr)	NA	NA
5	M/s Satya Builders	235.25	Yes (40.38 Cr)	NA	NA
6	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)	The bid of the bidder is treated as technically non responsive as per RFP clause 2.1.11(a), hence the bid has not been evaluated			
7	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)		Yes (98.67 Cr)	211.68 Cr	49.37 Cr

	,	Summary of	f Financial Eva	aluation		
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR) (Min. 7.21 Crores)	Turnover (in	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	54.08 Cr	176.08 Cr	Υ
2	M/s Asean Agencies	SE	-	50.19 Cr	75.75 Cr	Υ
3	M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd	SE	-	23.82 Cr	64.83 Cr	Υ
4	M/s Multi Builders	SE		13.89 Cr	51.09 Cr	Υ
5	M/s Satya Builders	SE	-	79.48 Cr	272.35 Cr	Υ
6	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo Chadi & Co (JV)	The bid of the bidder is treated as technically non responsive as per RFP clause 2.1.11(a), hence the bid has not been evaluated				
7	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	JV	7	Lead - 65.92 Cr Other- 5.84 Cr	Lead- 66.87 Cr Other - 12.51 Cr	

## Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

## Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 72.08 Crore

	T									
		Calculated / Assessed								
S No	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	Whether Qualifying or Not	
1	M/s A. K. Shivhare Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	2019	1	213.16	213.16	1.5	130. 17	669.1 8	Yes	
2	M/s Asean Agencies	2019	1	169.40	169.40	1.5	7.12	628.13	Yes	
3	M/s Dwarakamai Constructions Pvt. Ltd	2018	1.05	75.40	79.17	1.5	66.9	229.9	Yes	
4	M/s Multi Builders	2019	1	59.64	59.64	1.5	14.4 7	209.1	Yes	
5	M/s Satya Builders	2018	1.05	267.17	280.53	1.5	71.4 9	980.4 9	Yes	
6	M/s W Imo Longkumar - M/s K Nakhro & Sons - M/s Savizo	The bid	The bid of the bidder is treated as technically non responsive as per RFP clause 2.1.11(a), hence the bid has not been evaluated							

r	Chadi & Co (JV)								
7	M/s Coal Mines Associated Traders Pvt. Ltd. - AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	2018	1.05	93.72	98.41	1.5	284. 77	84.25	Yes
		2019	1	34.58	34.58	1.5	4.83	124.8 5	Yes