राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सडक परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय. भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

> (भारत सरकार का उद्यम) (A Government of India Enterprise) NHIDCL/HQ/R-J/NH-07/Landslide-Sinkingzone/2020-21/l/ 1184

To,

#### All Bidders.

Sub: "Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368.000 to Km 468.000 (NH-07), in the State of Uttarakhand".

- Result of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids -reg.

Your Bid dated 12.10.2021. Ref:

Sir,

Please refer to your proposal for the project cited above. In this regard, result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

Sr. no	Name of the Firm	Technical Score	Status of Eligibility
1	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	85	Eligible
2	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	81	Eligible
3	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	83	Eligible
4	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	85	Eligible
5	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	-	Not eligble

Financial bids will be opened on 03.11.2021 at 1500 hrs.

(V. Jaiswal) DGM(T)





CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

02.11.2021

BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

Minutes of 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for the work of "Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368.000 to Km 468.000 (NH-07), in the State of Uttarakhand".

#### Date: 01.11.2021

Venue: PTI Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Tender for the subject project was invited having Bid Due Date as 12.10.2021 till 1500 hrs. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met to open the Technical Proposals on 16.10.2021 at 1630 Hrs. Five (05) number of bidders submitted their bids for the subject work, out of which 04 bidders were found Technically Responsive by TEC. The result of technical evaluation as recommended by TEC in its 1<sup>st</sup> meeting dated 27.10.2021 is as under:

	Summar	y of evaluation	of Technica	I Proposals			
SI. No	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignments	Adequacy of approach	Material Testing, Survey & Investigation , Equipment and Software	Total marks	Projects with NHIDCL	Remarks
	Maximum Marks	80	10	10	100		
1	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	66	09	10	85	Nil	
2	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	62	09	10	81	01 No. in Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands	Eligible for opening of Financial Proposal
3	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	64	09	10	83	Nil	
4	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	66	09	10	85	01 No. in Assam	

Page 1 of 3

5	M/s Consultancy association Magot Consultants F	with M/s Engineering	Non-Responsive. The firm does not qualify the minimum eligibility requirement of having experience of at least 1 project for preparation of DPR of Slope Stabilization/protection and Scientific treatment for Sinking/sliding zones. Hence, the firm is considered Technically Non- responsive.	01 no. in Union Territory of Ladakh	Non- Eligible for opening of Financial Proposal
---	---	-------------------------	--	---	---

2. TEC opined that the bidders be allowed 03 days time period (upto 30.10.2021) to make representation/querry/clarification, if any, on technical evaluation and accordingly the technical result was uploaded on website in accordance to RFP provision.

3. Two (02) bidders have raised queries/clarifications on the technical result evaluated by TEC, which has been deliberated by TEC and summarized below:

SI. No.	Clarification/representation/query sought by Bidders	Recommendation of TEC
M/s	Xplorer Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. (received vide their letter o	dated 28.10.2021)
01	With reference to the summary of evaluation of Technical proposal it has been noted that though we have executed successfully the following assignment for NHIDCL, it's not reflected in your letter. Details of the assignment available on Infracon as well as submitted in FIRM's references in Appendix-II along with our bid. Assignment name: "Specialised consultancy services for 'Good for Tender' design based on detailed investigations, estimation, survey, costing and preparation of Technical Schedules of EPC documents for construction of 1200 m, long new 4-lane bridge with approaches and river training works over river Jia Bharali in the State of Assam."	x
02	Further, we would like to draw your kind attention that as per our evaluation, our point should be around 72 out of 80 i.e. allotted point of 64 out of 80 for Firm's relevant experience considering five different criteria.	The proposal has been evaluated as per RFP. The marks allotted to the firm by TEC against the marks claimed by the firm are detailed under Annexure-1.
M/s	Technical Consultancy Services (received vide their letter dated	30.10.2021)
1	Kindly refer clause no. 12.1 Stage-I: Proof of Eligibility Evaluation (Table-1: Minimum Eligibility Requirements) The applicant should have experience of atleast 1 project for preparation of DPR of slope stabilization/protection and scientific treatment for sinking/sliding zones. Explanation : Note - The experience of a firm in preparation of DPR for Highway/Airport/Railway/Hydro/Tunnel/Irrigation works shall be considered.	project for preparation of DPR of slope stabilization/protection and scientific treatment for
	her finz	Page 2 of 3

Hence, the experience of preparation of DPR for Highway Railway/Hydro/Tunnel/Irrigation
works should be considered in place of DPR of slope works shall be taken int
stabilization/protection & scientific treatment for consideration.
sinking/sliding zones, hence not provided with bid as already
described by NHIDCL in modifies RPF document in eprocure & Since, the bidder does not qualif
INFRACON portal. the minimum eligibilit
The experience certificates of preparation of DPR for highway requirement of having experienc
projects are attached on page no. 44 to 71 of Proof of of at least 1 project for
Eligibility. preparation of DPR of Slop
Stabilization/protection an
Scientific treatment fo
Sinking/sliding zones, thus it wa
declared Non-Responsive.

4. TEC opined that the final technical scores of all the firms remain unchanged, as detailed under para 1 above and accordingly TEC recommends that the following 04 (Four) bidders qualify for Opening of Financial Proposal:

1. M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

- 2. M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.
- 3. M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.
- 4. M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

(K.P. Singh) Executive Director (Tech) (Convener)

(Col. R.S. Pari) GM (Tech.)

(Member)

(V. Jaiswal) DGM (Tech) (Member Secretary)

(Bhaskar Mallick) Manager (Fin.) (Member)

Annexure-I

	Evaluation for assesment of e	xperience o	f the firms (R	elevent experi	ience of firm for assignment)	
	Length (Km)	100.00				
	2 Times	200.00				
	3 Times	300.00	3/5			
S. No.	Description	Maximum marks		R Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	Remarks	
			Max. Points	Max. Points		
1	Specific experience of the DPR consultancy for 2/4/6 laning project	30	obtained	Claimed	-	
	Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects More than or equal to the indicative Length of the				Total 11 nos. of Projects claimed by the bidder. Resons for non-consideration of projects given below: 1. Project at pg no.14 is of slope stabilisation which is not required as per RFP.	
	package applied for - 11 Marks				<ol> <li>Project at pg no.22 is Supervision work.</li> <li>Projects at pg no.26 &amp; 29 are Bridge &amp; Flyover project.</li> <li>Project at pg no.33 is of prior date (Dec. 2012) instead of (Or 2012)</li> </ol>	
1.1.2	More than or equal to 2 times the indicative length of the package applied for - 13 Marks	15	11	15	<ul> <li>(Oct.2014).</li> <li>5.Project at pg no.37 already Considered.</li> <li>6. Project at pg no.41 Client's Completion certificate could not be located.</li> </ul>	
1.1.3	More than or equal to 3 times the indicative length of the package applied for - 15 Marks				<ul> <li>7.Project at pg no.44 already considered.</li> <li>8.Project at pg no.47 DPR is under preparation.</li> <li>9. Project at pg no. 50 already considered</li> <li>10.Project at pg no. 53 Client's Completion certificate could not be located.</li> </ul>	
1.2	DPR for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length of a package applied for or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied for Or DPR for high altitude (2000 mtr or more above MSL) road project of 2/4/6 laning of minimum 25% of the indicative length of the package or feasibility study of	15	15	15	No comments	
.2.1	2/4/6 laning of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package I Projects - 11 Marks					
.2.2	2 projects - 13 marks					
.2.3	≥ 3 projects - 15 marks	8				
2	DPR of Bridge having length more than 100 m					
2.1	1 bridge - 11 Marks					
.2	2 bridges - 12 Marks					
2.3	3 bridges - 13 Marks	15	15	15	No comments	
2.4	4 bridges - 14 Marks					
2.5	≥ 5 bridges - 15 Marks					
3	Specific experience of firms in terms of turnover					
3.1	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years > 15 Cr 10 Marks					
	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years 10-15 Cr 8 Marks	10	8	6	No comments	
	Firm's Average Turnover of last 5 years > 5 crore but < 10 Cr 6 Marks DPR of number of Slope Protection/Stabilization work/					
	Sinking Zones/ Landsliding zones					
	2 Project - 9 Marks	15	7	9	Only 01 DPR project related to slope stabilization work. (at pg no. 14)	
4.3	3 Project - 11 Marks				pg no. 14)	
4.4	4 Project - 13 Marks > 5 Project - 15 Marks					
	Geological/Geotechnical Professionals * working with the firm					
4.1	<2 nos 0 Marks	10	0	10	Out of total 11 nos. of Geotechnical Professionals, only two	
	2-4 nos 8 Marks	10	8	10	professionals exhibits qualification and experience as Geotechnical as per available documents.	
	4-6 nos 9 Marks >6 nos 10 Marks				Λ	
	Total	80	64	70	↓	

### National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) for the work of "Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368.000 to Km 468.000 (NH-07), in the State of Uttarakhand".

Date: 27.10.2021

Venue: PTI Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Tender for the subject project was invited having Bid Due Date as 12.10.2021 till 1500 hrs. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) met to open the Technical Proposals on 16.10.2021 at 1630 Hrs.

2. Five number of bidders have submitted their bids for the subject work, which are mentioned as under:

- i. M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
- ii. M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.
- iii. M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.
- iv. M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited
- v. M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

3. TEC noted that as per Clause 3.1.1 of 'Letter of Invitation' (LoI) of RFP, the following documents were submitted by the bidders in their proposals:

				Name of Bidders		
0	Details of documents	1 M/s SPECTRUM Techno	2 M/s VKS Infratech	3 M/s XPLORER Consultancy	4 M/s Thoughts Consultants	5 M/s Technical Consultancy
SI. no.	required in support of Proof of eligibility	Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Management Pvt. Ltd.	Services Pvt. Ltd.	Jaipur Private Limited	Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
1.	Forwarding letter for Proof of Eligibility in the Form-E1.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Firm's relevant experience and performance for the last 10 years in Form E2/T3 to be submitted	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	<b>Firm's turnover</b> for the last 5 years in Form E3 to be submitted through	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

am (Ks)

Page 1 of 6

				Name of Bidders		
		1	2	3	4	5
Sl. no.	Details of documents required in support of Proof of eligibility	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
	INFRACON only.					
4.	A copy of payment receipt (RTGS/NEFT/Other online mode) as <b>Document fee</b> must be submitted.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Power of Attorney on a stamp paper of Rs.100 and duly notarized authorizing to submit the proposal.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	In case of Joint Venture/ Association of firms, the proposal shall be accompanied by a certified copy of legally binding		N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes

4. Thereafter, TEC undertake the 1st Stage Evaluation i.e. Stage I - Proof of Eligibility as per clause 5.1 of Letter of Invitation (LOI) of RFP. The evaluation result is tabulated below:

				Name of Bidders		
SI. no.	Details of documents required in support of Proof of eligibility	1 M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	2 M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	3 M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	4 M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	5 M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
1.	The proposal is accompanied by Letter of Proposal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		A	$\square$		fin th	Page 2 of 6

			1	Name of Bidders		
		1	2	3	4	5
SI. no.	Details of documents required in support of Proof of eligibility	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
2.	The proposal is accompanied by Document fee.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	The firms(s) have required experience. (Detailed Evaluation sheet is attached as Annexure-I)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
4.	The firms(s) have required turnover. (Detailed Evaluation sheet is attached as Annexure-I)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	The documents are properly signed by the authorized signatories and whether the proposal contains proper POA as mentioned at Para 1.8.1 above.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	The proposals have been received on or before the dead line of submission.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	In case of Joint Venture/ Association of firms, the proposal shall be accompanied by a certified copy of legally binding Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on a stamp paper of Rs.100, signed by all firms to the joint venture/ Association as detailed at Para 1.8.2 above.	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
8.	Nationality of the firm as per clause 1.8.5 of Letter of Invitation (Lol) of RFP.	Indian	Indian	Indian	Indian	Indian

A

4.1. TEC opined that the bidder M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd. be declared as 'Non-Responsive' as the firm do not qualify the minimum eligibility requirement of having experience of at least 1 project for preparation of DPR of Slope Stabilization/protection and Scientific treatment for Sinking/sliding zones. Therefore, the bidder is considered 'Technically Non-Responsive' and hence further evaluations has not been carried out.

5. TEC further carried out the 2nd Stage Evaluation i.e. Stage II - Technical Evaluation of the above mentioned qualified firms as per Clause 5.2 of 'Letter of Invitation' (LoI) of RFP. The key points observed during second stage evaluation are summed up as follows:

# 5.1 Firm's Relevant experience for assignments:-

The marks obtained by the firms towards relevant experience for assignments are enclosed as Annexure-II which is summarized below:

Sr. No.	Name of the firm	Maximum Marks	Marks obtained
1.	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	- 80	66
2.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.		62
3.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.		64
4.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited		66

### 5.2 Adequacy of Approach:-

The marks obtained by the firms towards Adequacy of Approach are enclosed as Annexure-III which is summarized below:

Sr. No.	Name of the firm	Maximum Marks	Marks obtained
1.	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	- 10	09
2.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.		09
3.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.		09
4.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited		09

## 5.3 <u>Material Testing Facility, Survey and Investigation, Equipment and Software proposed</u> to be used:-

The marks obtained by the firms towards Material Testing Facility, Survey and Investigation, Equipment and Software proposed to be used for assignments are enclosed as Annexure-IV which is summarized below:

Sr. No.	Name of the firm	Maximum Marks	Marks obtained
1.	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	10	10
	hers my		Page <b>4</b> of <b>6</b>

2.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	10
3.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	10
4.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	10

6. The final technical scores of the firms w. r. t. above mentioned 03 (Three) criteria of technical evaluation are mentioned as under:

	Sumn	nary of evaluatio	n of Technical	Proposals			
SI. No.	Name of the Firm	Relevant experience for assignments	Adequacy of approach	Material Testing, Survey & Investigation, Equipment and Software	Total marks	Projects with NHIDCL	Remarks
	Maximum Marks	80	10	10	100		
1	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	66	09	10	85	Nil	
2	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	62	09	10	81	01 No. in Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands	Eligible for opening of Financial Proposal
3	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	64	09	10	83	Nil	
4	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	66	09	10	85	01 No. in Assam	
5	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	minimum eligik at least 1 pro Stabilization/pr	oility requiremend oject for preparotection and zones. Hence	does not qual ent of having exper aration of DPR o Scientific treatm e, the firm is cor	ience of f Slope ient for	01 no. in Union Territory of Ladakh	Non- Eligible for opening of Financial Proposal

7. In view of the above, TEC agreed and recommends that the following 04 (Four) bidders qualify for next stage of evaluation i.e. Stage-III: Opening of Financial Proposal:

1. M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.

Page 5 of 6

- 3.
- M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited 4.

6

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

VI

(K.P. Singh) **Executive Director** (Tech) (Convener)

(Col. R.S. Puri) GM (Tech.)

(Member)

(V. Jaiswal) DGM (Tech)

(Member Secretary)

(Bhaskar Mallick) Manager (Fin.) (Member)

			Evaluatic	<b>Evaluation of Technical Proposals</b>	S		
Consulta	Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368.000 to Km 468.000 to	Preparation of D	etailed Project Report for Km 468.000 (NF	roject Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Km 468.000 (NH-07), in the State of Uttarakhand.	ı Hill & Valley Side, Sink akhand.	ing Zones and Bridges in	between Km 368.000 to
			Summary of ev	Summary of evaluation of Technical Proposals	oposals		
S. No.	Description	Maximum Marks	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
-	Firm's relevant experience in last 07 years	80	66.00	62.00	64.00	66.00	
2	Adequacy of approach	10	9.00	00.6	9.00	00.6	
3	Material testing, survey & investigation, equipment and software proposed to be used	10	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	Non-Responsive
	Total marks	100	85	81	83	85	0
	Remarks		Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of Eligible for opening of Eligible for opening of financial proposal financial proposal financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Eligible for opening of financial proposal	Not Eligible for opening of financial proposal

				Proof of F	<b>Proof of Eligibility</b>				
ification & Preparat	parat	ion of Detailed P	Toject Report for Slope Protecti	on work on Hill & Valley Side,	Sinking Zones and B	Name of the Project: Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368 000 to Km 468 000 (NH-07) in the State of Human-theorem	Km 468 000 (NH-07) in the Sta	ate of I transchend	
Sole/ JV/ Letter Association (F	Letter (FG	Letter of Proposal (Form-E1)	Minimum Required Experience (As per Table-1: Minimum Eligibility Requirement)	Minimum Required Turnover for last 5 years (As per Table-1: Minimum Eligibility Requirement)	Document Fee (Rs. 5,900/-)	Proposals have been received on or before the dead line of submission	The documents are properly signed by the authorized signatories and whether the proposal contains proper POA (As per para 1.8.1)	In case a Joint Venture/Association of firms, the proposal shall be accompanied by a certified copy of legally binding Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on a stamp paper of Rs.100, signed by all firms to the joint venture/Association (As per para 1.8.2)	Whether the bidder is, "Responsive" or "Non- Responsive"
Association		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Responsive
Sole	Xe	s	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	V/N	Responsive
Sole Yes	Ye	s	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Responsive
Sole	Ϋ́	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Responsive
Association		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non-Responsive

		Eval	Evaluation for assesment of experience of the firms (Relevent experience of firm for assignment)	nent of experience	ence of the firms (Relevent	s (Relevent exp	serience of firm	n for assignmen	lt)			
and the second	Length (Km)	100.00	40% of length	40.00				D				
	2 Times	200.00	60% of length	60.00								
	3 Times	300.00	1	1/5		2/5		3/5	4	4/5	ν. Γ	5/5
	Description	Maximum marks		M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Manageme	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORE Services	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thought Jaipur Priv	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	M/s Technica Services in asso Magot Engineer Pvt.	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
			Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	-	Max. Points
Specifi laning	Specific experience of the DPR consultancy for 2/4/6 laning project	30					00140100	Claimed	obtained	Claimed	obfained	Claimed
Aggrega	Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/ 6 lane projects											
More	More than or equal to the indicative Length of the											
More t	More than or equal to 2 times the indicative length of the	15	Ξ	15	15	15	11	15	15	15		
More t packag	More than or equal to 1.5 Marks More than or equal to 3 times the indicative length of the package applied for - 15 Marks											
DPR f( 40 % Feasibi more tl	DPR for 224/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 40 % of indicative length of a package applied for or Feasibility Study for 2/4/6 laning projects each equal to or more than 60 % of indicative length of a package applied											
for	Or											
DPR f	DPR for high altitude (2000 mtr or more above MSL) road project of 2/4/6 laning of minimum 25% of the			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
ndicat 2/4/6 la	indicative length of the package or feasibility study of 2/4/6 laning of minimum 40% of the indicative length of	<u>a</u>	=	15	15	15	15	15	15	15		
the package	ckage											
I Projects	ects - 11 Marks											
2 projects	ects - 13 marks											
≥ 3 projects	ojects - 15 marks											
DPR of	DPR of Bridge having length more than 100 m											
I bridg	l bridge - 11 Marks											
2 bridg	2 bridges - 12 Marks										Non-Responsive	anonsive
3 bridg	3 bridges - 13 Marks	15	14	15	15	15	15	15	15	15		
4 bridg	4 bridges - 14 Marks											
≥ 5 bric	≥ 5 bridges - 15 Marks											
Specific	Specific experience of firms in terms of turnover										9	
Firm A Marks	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years > 15 Cr 10 Marks										$\subset$	
Firm A	Firm Average Turnover of last 5 years 10-15 Cr 8	10	10	10	6	6	8	9	6	ų	2	

Annexure-II

1

S. S.	Description	Maximum marks	M/s SPECT Consultants Pvt. with M/s Genstr	Maximum Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association marks with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Manageme	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	A Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	s Consultants ate Limited	M/s Technic: Services in asso Magot Enginee Pvt	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. 1 td
			Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points
3.3	Firm's Average Turnover of last 5 years > 5 crore but < 10 Cr 6 Marks			Claimen	optanico	Claimed	obtained	Claimed	obtained	Claimed	obtained	Claimed
4	DPR of number of Slope Protection/Stabilization work/ Sinking Zones/landsliding zones											
4.1	1 Project - 7 Marks											
4.2	2 Project - 9 Marks	15	=	11	H	15	7	6	2	5		
4.3	3 Project - 11 Marks						2		i i			
4.4	4 Project - 13 Marks											
4.5	25 Project - 15 Marks											
ŝ												
1	tirm											
Ŧ		4	c									
4.2	4.2 2-4 nos 8 Marks	0	h	10	0	10	8	10	8	8		
4.3	4.3 4-6 nos 9 Marks								]	i.		
4.4	4.4 >6 nos 10 Marks				_							
	Total	80	99	76	62	76	64	70	yy Yy	20	4	
								21	00	8	0	0

Annexure-III

Name of the Project

Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for upgradation of Guwahati Bypass from existing 4-Lane to 6-Lane Highway from Jalukbari to Koinadhora (Km. 146.172 to Km. 163.895) on NH-37 (New NH-27) in the State of Assam (the "Project") on EPC mode

			1 /5		c	15	•					
			1/1		Ż	C17	3/5	0	4/5	2	Ń	5/5
S.No.	Description	Max Marks	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	UM Techno Pvt. Ltd. in with M/s ultants Pvt.	M/s VKS Managemei	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.		M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	s Consultants ate Limited	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Technical Onsultancy Services i association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
			Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks Assessed	Marks Claimed	Marks	Marks
51	Site Appreciation	7	2	2	1	2	1	5	1	7		
	Team composition and task assignment	7	1	5	5	2	5	2	7	7		
- Annual CVV	Approach and initial view on project plan including key challenges envisaged and potential solutions for	3	ŝ	ю	ω	б	3	3	ŝ	c	Non-Ree	Non-Responsive
* *	Adoption of superior technology along with proof of past use vendor association	3	3	3	3	ŝ	n	ю	б	3		
	TOTAL POINTS	10	6	10	6	10	O	10	c	0	c	0



Annexure-IV

Consultancy Services for Identification & Preparation of Detailed Project Report for Slope Protection work on Hill & Valley Side, Sinking Zones and Bridges in between Km 368,000 to Km 468,000 (NH-07), in the State of Uttarakhand.

	-		Evalı	<b>Evaluation for assesment of Material</b>		Testing facility, Survey and Investigation, Equipment and Software	vey and Investigati	ion, Equipment ar	id Software				
S. No.	Description	Maximum marks	M/s SPECT Consultants association wit Consultan	M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with M/s Genstru Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	M/s VKS Infrato Pvt.	M/s VKS Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consul Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s XPLORER Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Thoughts Consultants Jaipur Private Limited	nsultants Jaipur Limited	M/s Tech association	M/s Technical Consultancy Services in association with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	y Services in Engineering Ltd.
			Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Max. Points obtained	Max. Points Claimed	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Max. Points	Reference
-	Availability of Material Testing Facilities	4					ondalica	Claimed	obtained	Claimed	obtained	Claimed	
I.I	Available in-house material testing facility	4											
	Outsourced	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			
1.2	(a) Through NABL accredited labs/IIT/NIT labs	3							9				
	(b) others (not included in a)	-	-										
61	Field Investigation/survey equipment and Facilities	3										Non-Decrements	-
2.1	Available in-house facility	3										isindent-not	v
	Outsourced (a) Through NABL accredited labs/ITT/NIT labs	3	ŝ	ς,	ς.	ŝ	3	3	m	3			
2.2	(b) others (not included in a)	-											
3	Office Equipment and Software	3											
3.1	Available in-house facility	3	3	ę	ę	3	ŝ	3	3	3			13
3.2	Outsourced	2											
	Total	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	
											2	2	

1