

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION  
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(A Government of India Enterprise)

**NHIDCL/MN/T-M/2020/PKG-5/191247/ 2339**

**Dated: 18.08.2021**

**To,**  
**All the Bidders, (listed below)**

**Sub:** Construction of two lanes with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur starting from km 54.180 near Azuram to km 80.630 near Mandeu (Package-5) on EPC mode.(Length - 26.450 km)- Financial Opening - Regd.

**Ref:** 1. NIT & RFP Bid document uploaded on CPP Portal on 18.06.2021 with Bid due date 02.08.2021.

2. NHIDCL Letter no. NHIDCL/MN/T-M/2020/Pkg-5/191247 dated 16.08.2021

**Tender Id: 2021\_NHIDC\_ 634749 \_1**

Based on Technical Evaluation, Following **09 (Nine)** bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. Authority shall open the financial bids of all Technically Responsive bidders on 19.08.2021 (Thursday) at 17.00 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building, 4 - Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001 in the presence of the Authorized Representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

(K. C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)

**National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation  
(Technical division)**

**2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee** held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 18.08.2021 for “Construction of two lanes with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur starting from km 54.180 near Azuram to km 80.630 near Mandeu (Package-5) on EPC mode”.

In continuation to the 1st Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee was held on 16.08.2021. Result of Technical Evaluation was accordingly uploaded on CPP portal and NHIDCL website wherein the bidders M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd. and M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd. were conditionally responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of certificate issued and countersigned by the Client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in 2 days.
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20 in 2 days.
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. The 2<sup>nd</sup> TEC meeting was held on 18.08.2021 w.r.t. submission of the representation made by the bidders M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd. and M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd. The representation submitted by the bidders have been evaluated and the remarks of evaluation of the representation are given below:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Condition	Remarks
1.	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of certificate issued and countersigned by the Client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent.	Bidder has submitted certificate of work done issued by client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer. On the basis of the documents submitted value of “B” has been assessed. Same has used to calculate the bid capacity of the bidder and it has been found the bidder has met the required bid capacity. Hence, bidder considered as technical responsive.

*h*

*hm*

*Ag*

*haci*




2.	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20.	Bidder has submitted the Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20. Same has been considered. Accordingly, bidder has considered as technical responsive.
----	------------------------------	---	--

3. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of 9 (Nine) following technically responsive bidders.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

4. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole or JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener



K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary



A.K. Jha,  
GM (T)  
Member



Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager (Fin)  
Member

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS & INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED**  
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 16.08.2021 for "Construction of two lanes with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur starting from km 54.180 near Azuram to km 80.630 near Mandeu (Package-5) on EPC mode"

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 18.06.2021 with Bid due date 02.08.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 03.08.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 10 (Ten) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid docum ent Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

6

Amg

Joseph

Ag

1/s-



Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 10 (Ten) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 47,200/- (Rupees Forty-Seven Thousand Two Hundred Only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee observed that one of the bidders M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. has two on-going works in NHIDCL in Manipur Div. Technical evaluation of the same has not been carried out. Hence, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. could not be eligible for this project.

7. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 358.39 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	358.39
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	358.39
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	215.03
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	71.68



5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15%</b> of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	53.76
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	17.92
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	17.92
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	17.92
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.75
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.58
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	53.76
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	32.26
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.75
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	179.20
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	107.52
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	35.84

8. Observations of the committee:

The Committee observed that as per RFP Cl. 2.1.13 bidders should submit the audited financial statements of last five financial years from 2016-17 to 2020-21. All the bidders have submitted their financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2019-20 and annual turnover of FY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-8, 2018-19 and 2019-20. The Committee evaluated the financial capacity of the bidders based on the credentials certified by the Statutory Auditors for the FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have submitted undertaking as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii).

9. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.





10. Further the Committee had sought clarification from M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd. w.r.t. their submitted documents. The bidder has furnished their comments as below:

Head	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
Similar work	2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	Bidder should have completed at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost shall be completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. Bidder is requested to indicate the project to qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii).	Certificate attached to indicate the project to qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP 2.2.2.2 (ii).	Found satisfactory.
Bid Capacity	Annexure-VI of RFP	To calculate the value of "B", value of work completed of all existing commitments should verified from the certificate issued and countersigned by the Client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent. Same could not be located in the technical bid. Please clarify.	Certificates attached, issued and duly countersigned by the client (EIC). Submitted for your perusal and further necessary action.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of certificate issued and countersigned by the Client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent.

11. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of certificate issued and countersigned by the Client or its Engineer- in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in 2 days.
4	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.-M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
5	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<b>Technically Non-Responsive</b>
6	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	Technically Responsive
7	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	Technically Responsive
9	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Conditionally responsive subject to submission of Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20 in 2 days.
10	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

12. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

13. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole or JV bidder, is 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

14. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of 9 (Nine) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 13 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener



K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary



A K Jha,  
GM (T)  
Member



Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager(Fin)



Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5
Name of the bidder	M/s JKM Infra Projects Ltd.	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers	M/s Vishnu Prakash R Punglia Ltd.	M/s Vallabhaneni Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Ayyamar Infraprojects India Pvt. Ltd.
	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	Sole	JV	Sole
Country	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2)					
(i)	915.58 Cr.	513.31 Cr.	475.59 Cr.	215.45 Cr.	208.41 Cr.
Sole = 358.39 Cr. LM=215.03 Cr. OM=71.68 Cr.				423.86 Cr.	
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii))	529.25 Cr. "a"	172.44 Cr. "G"	89.74 Cr. "H"	60.33 Cr. Project "a" of lead member	Bidder has two on-going works in NHIDCL in Manipur Div. Hence, technical evaluation of bid has not been carried out.
Rs. 53.76 Cr.					
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.)	175.00 Cr.	35.40 Cr.	98.13 Cr.	43.26 Cr.	15.17 Cr.
(Sole=17.92, LM=10.75, OM=3.58)				58.43 Cr.	
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.)	360.88 Cr.	124.65 Cr.	362.08 Cr.	143.87 Cr.	45.58 Cr.
(Sole=53.76, LM=32.26, OM=10.75)				189.45 Cr.	
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
(Sole=179.20, LM=107.52, OM=35.84)					
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	-	-	-	-	2
Nos.					
Cost	-	-	-	-	343.61 Cr.
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evaluated
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive	Conditionally Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive

*De* *Am* *Jeet*

Sr. No.	6	7	8	9	10
Name of the bidder	M/s B. R. Goyal Infrastructure Ltd.	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Chetak Enterprises Ltd.	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	M/s Dhatarwal Constructions Company Pvt. Ltd.
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole
Country	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)) Sole = 358.39 Cr. LM=215.03 Cr. OM=71.68 Cr.	371.74 Cr.	1101.33 Cr.	2669.52 Cr.	385.63 Cr.	395.60 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii)) Rs. 53.76 Cr.	133.51 Cr. "A"	214.10 Cr. "a"	1044.76 Cr. "a"	80.84 Cr. "d"	118.63 Cr. "b"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=17.92, LM=10.75, OM=3.58)	73.45 Cr.	325.48 Cr.	827.52 Cr.	81.34 Cr.	30.81 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=53.76, LM=32.26, OM=10.75)	164.44 Cr.	1124.28 Cr.	768.81 Cr.	138.49 Cr.	111.57 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=179.20, LM=107.52, OM=35.84)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos. - Cost -	-	-	-	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Conditionally Responsive	Responsive

*1* *am* *for*