

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Manipur/CivilWork/I-J/2020/Pkg-5/193567/2330

18.08.2021

Sub: "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Paved shoulder of Imphal to Jiribam section of NH-37 from Design Chainage 66.110 km to 101.280 Km (Existing Chainage km 67.496 to Km 103.557) (PKG-4) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode-2nd Call."-Financial Bid Opening- Reg.

Tender ID: 2021_NHIDC_632706_1

To

All the respective bidders,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the revised result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Responsive
2	M/s A.G. Construction	Responsive
3	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Non-Responsive
4	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Responsive
6	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited JV M/s G.P Projects Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd	Responsive
8	M/s Mundan Construction Company JV M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
9	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd	Responsive
10	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd	Responsive
11	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s Bharat Construction Co.	Responsive
12	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Responsive

2. Financial bids of the technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 19.08.2021 at 1700 Hrs in NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.


18/8/21
(K C Bhatt)
Dy. GM (Tech.)

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS& INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 17.08.2021 for "Widening to 2 (Two) Lane with Paved shoulder of Imphal to Jiribam section of NH-37 from Design Chainage 66.110 km to 101.280 Km (Existing Chainage km 67.496 to Km 103.557) (PKG-4) in the State of Manipur on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode-2nd Call."

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 05.06.2021 with Bid due date 26.07.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 27.07.2021 at 1800 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 12 (Twelve) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited
2	M/s A.G. Construction
3	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited
4	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
5	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited
6	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited -M/s G.P Projects Ltd. (JV)
7	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
8	M/s Mundan Construction Company-M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
9	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.
10	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.
11	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Bharat Construction Co. (JV)
12	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

[Handwritten signatures and initials are present below the table, including a large signature on the left and several smaller ones in the center and right.]

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	M/s A.G. Construction	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited -M/s G.P Projects Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Mundan Construction Company-M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Bharat Construction Co. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 12 (Twelve) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 47,200/- (Rupees Forty Seven Thousand Two Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 339.88 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	339.88
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	339.88
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	203.93
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	67.98

le   

5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii).	50.98
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i))	16.99
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	16.99
9	Minimum Financial capacity (Net Worth) required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	16.99
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.20
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.40
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	50.98
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	30.59
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.20
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	169.94
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	101.96
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	33.99

7. Further the Committee had sought clarification from all bidders as mentioned in para 3 of this minute. The bidders have furnished their comments as given in Annexure-I.

8. The Committee observed that to qualify the technical threshold capacity as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (i), M/s Shivalay Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. and M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. - M/s Bharat Construction Co. (JV) wherein the JV partner M/s Bharat Construction Co. have submitted the statutory auditor certificates in respect of payment received against the civil work executed by them including the payments received in financial year 2020-21. The Committee has considered the same as per RFP Cl. 2.1.13.

9. Observations of the committee:

The Committee observed that as per RFP Cl. 2.1.13 bidders should submit the audited financial statements of last five financial years from 2016-17 to 2020-21. All the bidders have submitted their financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2019-20 and annual turnover of FY 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-8, 2018-19 and 2019-20. The Committee evaluated the financial capacity of the bidders based on the credentials certified by the Statutory Auditors for the FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have submitted undertaking as per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii).

10. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

11. During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidders have failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP. The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
1	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. has two on-going works in NHIDCL in Manipur Div. Technical evaluation of the same has not been carried out. Hence, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, technical bid of M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. could not be eligible for this project.
2	M/s Mundan Construction Company-M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.4 JV member M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. should qualify the minimum criteria Net Worth. For this project JV member should qualify the minimum net worth of Rs. 3.40 Cr. Net Worth of M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. has assessed Rs. 0.17 Cr. Accordingly, JV member does not meet the minimum net worth required. Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.

12. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Responsive
2	M/s A.G. Construction	Responsive
3	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Non-Responsive
4	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Responsive
6	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited JV M/s G.P Projects Ltd.	Responsive
7	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd	Responsive
8	M/s Mundan Construction Company JV M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
9	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd	Responsive
10	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd	Responsive
11	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s Bharat Construction Co.	Responsive
12	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Responsive

13. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-II.

14. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole or JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

be *mm* *Ag* *Jace*

15. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of 10 (Ten) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 14 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,
(ED-V)
Convener



K C Bhatt,
DGM(T)
Member Secretary



A.K. Jha,
GM (T)
Member



Bhaskar Mallick,
Manager (Fin)

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
1	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Appendix XI of RFP	As per Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18 the revenue of operation includes "Construction and other Related Activity" bifurcation is required.	The bidder has submitted the bifurcation for the revenue of operation.	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix X of RFP	As per Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 the Net worth is Rs 73.44 Cr whereas as per UDIN on ICAI Portal the Net worth value is Rs 7344.85 Cr. Similarly Security Premium is mentioned as Rs 600.7 Cr. Please Clarify.	The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects correct units as required for Appendix X.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for all projects. Please clarify.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Annexure IV which depict year wise break up of receivable value for all projects	Found satisfactory.
2	M/s A G construction	Appendix X of RFP	Units are not mentioned in UDIN on ICAI portal submitted for Appendix X.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects units as required for appendix X.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for all projects. Please clarify.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Annexure IV which depict year wise break up of receivable value for all projects	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix XI of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise turnover value of all five years.	The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects wise turnover value of all five years	Found satisfactory.
3	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for projects code F,G,H,I,J. Please clarify.	Not replied.	Payments received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificates have been considered.
		Annexure-VI of RFP	For calculation of Value of B authority certificate could not be located for all projects as mention in the submitted bid. Please clarify.	Not replied.	Bidder does qualify bid capacity criteria after considering total value (100%) of work in hand as claimed in table showing value of B.

le

Am

Jace

4	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for all projects. Please clarify.	In Annex-IV, ICAI allows only five Columns for a specific UDIN. Since in one UDIN certificate relating to different projects are issued, it does not depict year wise breakup.	Payments received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificates have been considered.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	As per Annexure IV submitted under Equity shareholding it is mentioned with 1916750(Nos of Share) : Sudhir Kumar whereas , as per RFP the Equity Share holding of the firm is required for each project submitted under Annexure IV.	For all the entire project Equity Share of RKSCPL is 100%, which has already show in CA certificate.	Found satisfactory.
5	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited - M/s G.P Projects Ltd. (JV)				
	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for projects code A,C,D,E. Please clarify.	We are unaware of the fact that the Statutory Auditors needs to upload in ICAI portal year wise breakup for each certificate issued. We have approached Statutory Auditors for the same and it will be uploaded soon.	Payments received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificates have been considered.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN Number for Project code B submitted for Annexure IV could not be located. Please clarify.	We ensure to obtain and submit the same immediate.	Payment received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificate has been considered.
		Appendix XI of RFP	Appendix XI could not be located. Please clarify.	The turnover certificate submitted with our bid may please be considered. We are unable to attach the same herewith due to non-availability of time. We ensure to obtain and submit the same immediately.	Annual Turnover of last five years have been considered from Audited Financial Statements submitted by the bidder.





		Appendix X of RFP	Appendix X could not be located. Please clarify.	The Net Worth certificate submitted with our bid may please be considered. We are unable to attach the same herewith due to non-availability of time. We ensure to obtain and submit the same immediately.	Net Worth has been considered from Audited Financial Statements submitted by the bidder.
		Appendix X, XI of RFP	The Turnover and the Net Worth of the firm should be of Standalone firm. Whereas, it has been observed that Consolidated Balance Sheet of all five years are submitted. Please clarify.	The Net Worth certificate, Turnover Certificate submitted with our bid may please be considered. We are unable to attach the same herewith due to non-availability of time. We ensure to obtain and submit the same immediately.	Clarification submitted found satisfactory.
	M/s G.P Projects Ltd.	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for all projects. Please clarify.	We are unaware of the fact that the Statutory Auditors needs to upload in ICAI portal year wise breakup for each certificate issued. We have approached Statutory Auditors for the same and it will be uploaded soon.	Payments received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificates have been considered.
		2.2.2.8 (i) of RFP	Audited Balance Sheet for FY 2015-16 could not be located. Please Clarify.	The bidder has submitted Audited Balance Sheet for FY 2015-16.	Found satisfactory.
6	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for projects code D, J. Please clarify.	The year wise break up of receivable value for Annexure IV for projects code D, J is updated on UDIN on ICAI portal and today i.e. on 13/08/2021 the same is again submitted through your Mail account.	Found satisfactory
		2.2.2.8 (i)	Profit and Loss account statement of FY 2016-17 could not be located. Please clarify.	Profit and loss account statement of FY 2016-17 is now Mail to your account today i.e. on 13/08/2021	Found satisfactory

h

Am

Am

		2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	The experience certificate has been submitted and put up at Annexure-IV Part-3 page no 1 to 3. However, today i.e. on 13/08/2021 the same is again submitted through your Mail account.	Found satisfactory
		Annexure-VI of RFP	For calculation of Value of B authority certificate could not be located for all projects as mention in the submitted bid. Please clarify.	For calculation of value of B authority certificate has been submitted. However, today i.e. on 13/08/2021 the same is again submitted through your Mail account.	Found satisfactory
7	M/s Mundan Construction Company - M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)				
	M/s Mundan Construction Company (lead member)	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for all projects. Please clarify.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Annexure IV which depict year wise break up of receivable value for all projects	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix X, XI of RFP	Same UDIN number provided for Appendix X, XI which reflects Provisional FY 2020-21 Turnover value and Net worth. Whereas UDIN on ICAI portal should depict five year Turnover value of all audited five years and Net worth value of the latest Audited Financial Year. Please Clarify.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Appendix X, Appendix XI which reflects Turnover and Net worth respectively.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure VI of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict all five year value of Annexure VI. Please clarify.	The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflect five year value of Annexure VI.	Found satisfactory.

h

Am

Josef

4/14

		2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify	Lead Member of our Joint & Venture already meets the required criteria as mentioned in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. e Kindly refer page no. 316 of Ttechcompnewindia.pdf of our submitted bid wherein a certificate issued by GM (T) Cum Project Director of NITAI PIU Chandigarh is enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		2.1.6 of RFP	Joint Bidding Agreement could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 264 to 268 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submission wherein required enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		2.11	Integrity Pact could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 271 to 277 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submission wherein the required enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		2.1.6	Power of Attorney in favour of the Lead Member could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 269 to 270 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submission required enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-VI of RFP	For calculation of Value of B authority certificate could not be located for all projects as mention in the submitted bid. Please clarify.	In this regard no reply submitted.	Bidder does qualify bid capacity criteria after considering total value (100%) of work in hand as claimed in table showing value of B.

b m hsc

5/14

		2.1.11 (c)	<p>As per RFP Section 2 clause 2.1.11 (c) for calculation of Technical Threshold Capacity the Lead Member shall meet at least 60% requirement i.e. Rs 203.93 Cr whereas Other Member(s) shall meet at least 20% i.e. Rs 67.98 Cr and the JV as a whole shall cumulatively/collectively fulfill the 100% requirement i.e. Rs 339.88 Cr it has been calculated that the other member has Technical Threshold Capacity of Rs 41.24 Cr which is less than the required and the combined Technical Threshold is Rs 290.31 Cr. which is less than the required. Please clarify.</p>	<p>Please find attached copy of for already submitted Annex-11 (Technical Capacity), Annex-IV Details of Eligible Projects with the necessary CA certificates as which clearly state that we all meet the required eligibility criteria as per the provisions of RFP Request you please consider our clarification in this regards.</p> <p>Further, as per clause 2.1.13 read with 2.2.2.7 of RFP it is clearly mentioned that "The Bidder should furnish the details of Eligible Experience for the last 5 (five) financial years immediately preceding the Bid Due Date "In the present case Bid Due date is 26-07-2021 a bidder is required to submit the details of Eligible experience from 2016-17 to 2020-21. Accordingly keeping in mind this provision of RFP we have provided details of Eligible experience from 2016-17 to 2020-21 to the Authority. So considering these provisions we meet the criteria of Eligibility. We request Authority to please take into consideration the relevant provisions of RFP before taking a call on our eligibility.</p>	Found satisfactory.
--	--	------------	---	--	---------------------

h

m

for

		2.1.6	Power of Attorney in favor of the Lead Member could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 269 to 270 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our ted. Please submission wherein the required document is enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
	M/s Bharat Construction Co.	Annexure-IV of RFP	Project code A and B same UDIN number provided. Please clarify	Please find the relevant certificate with the appropriate UDIN number.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	As per Statutory auditor certificate the units are in Crore whereas as per UDIN on ICAI portal the Units are in Lakh for all submitted projects under Annexure IV. Please clarify	We would like to mention here that this is a typo error by our Chartered Accountant while generating the UDIN and instead of Rs. in Crore it was mention as Rs. in lakh. We hereby submit the Corrected UDIN certificate as per your requirement and request you please condone this error and consider our reply in this regard.	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix XI of RFP	As per Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20 the Statutory Auditor of the Firm is H.K. Luthra whereas all the Annexure and Appendix are audited by Saiyam Karan Madaan as per RFP Appendix XI, S.no 2 Note1 In case the certificate is issued by any firm other than statutory Auditors of a company, the form no. ADT 1.duly filed with the Registrar of Companies should be attached which cannot be located. Please clarify.	As we are a partnership firm and there is no statutory requirement which bind us to appoint Statutory Auditor. Further, we had already enclosed the appointment and acceptance letter from our current Auditor vide page no 37 to 38 Techcompbharat.PDF kindly consider the same.	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix X of RFP	UDIN On ICAI portal the units are mentioned in Lakhs whereas as per Statutory Auditor certificate the Units are in Crore. Please clarify.	We would like to mention here that Figures in ICAI Portal and Statutory Auditor certificate are in Lakhs. Which are attached from page no. 45 to 47 of Techcompbharat.PDF. Kindly, consider the same.	Found satisfactory.

le

my

for

7/11

		Appendix X of RFP	Appendix X could not be located. Please clarify	We understand that the methodology as specified in RFP of NHAI projects and NHIDCL are the same so we had submitted this requirement in NHAI format. We hereby enclosed all relevant details in the format of Appendix X and Appendix XI of RFP of NHIDCL and state that there is no deviation in the amount of net worth and Turnover as claimed earlier to the submission made now in our Clarification. Kindly consider our submission in this regard.	Found satisfactory.
		2.2.2.8 (i)	Re submit Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2018-19 and FY 2017-18 in clear print	Please find the documents for consideration.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-VI of RFP	For calculation of Value of B authority certificate could not be located for all projects as mention in the submitted bid. Please clarify.	Please find attached the relevant certificates/ Loa's for your consideration.	Found satisfactory.
		2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	Kindly refer page no. 316 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submitted bid wherein a certificate issued by GM (T) Cum Project Director of NHAI PIU Chandigarh is enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		2.1.6 of RFP	Joint Bidding Agreement could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 264 to 268 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submission wherein the required document enclosed.	Found satisfactory.
		2.11	Integrity Pact could not be located. Please clarify.	Kindly refer page 271 to 277 of techcompnewindia.pdf of our submission wherein the required document is enclosed.	Found satisfactory.

k

Am

for

8/14

		Appendix XI of RFP	Appendix XI could not be located. Please clarify.	P&R Response - we had enclosed Certificate of Turnover given by our Statutory auditor on Page no. 29 of 385. We respect Department's concerns and are enclosing herewith the Certificate of turnover in line with Appendix XI of RFP for your kind reference.	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix X of RFP	Appendix X could not be located. Please clarify.	We had enclosed Certificate of Net worth given by our Statutory auditor on Page no. 30 of 385. We respect Department's concerns and are enclosing herewith the Certificate of turnover in line with Appendix X of RFP for your kind reference.	Found satisfactory.
10	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd - M/s Bharat Construction Co. (JV)				
	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for projects code A and D. Please clarify.	As such there is requirement /guidelines prescribed for year-wise certification by ICAI for UDIN instead it is required that whenever a certificate is issued by CA then it should bear UDIN and the same has been mentioned in the certificate. Still, we hereby enclosed the relevant documents for consideration.	Found satisfactory.
		Annexure-IV of RFP	Invalid UDIN number submitted for project code E. Please clarify.	Please find enclosed herewith the Valid UDIN number for the project.	Found satisfactory.
		Appendix XI of RFP	Appendix XI could not be located. Please clarify	Please find enclosed herewith the Valid UDIN number for the project.	Found satisfactory.

le

mm

jsd

9/1A

				6) 5) No new tender/allotment of new work has been given to M/s Radhakishan	
8	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Appendix XI of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise turnover value of all five years.	The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise turnover value of all five years	Found satisfactory.
		Section 2 Clause 2.11 of RFP	Reference number from Bank submitted for "Cost of Bid" does not match with our record. Please clarify.	The bidder has submitted Cheque Reference number for cost of bid.	Found satisfactory.
9	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	Annexure-VI of RFP	For calculation of Value of B authority certificate could not be located for all projects as mention in the submitted bid. Please clarify.	During uploading of our bid, we were facing the "JAVA Heap Space Error" on portal due to big size of our uploading file. So, in order to minimize the size of file, we had to remove the references related to projects as most of the projects related documents were already attached along with Threshold capacity working from Page no. 227 to 338 of our bid documents. We are enclosing the LOIs of all projects for your kind reference.	Found satisfactory
		Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for all projects. Please clarify.	As per the response received from our statutory auditor, the details of receivable for all projects were made by him under one UDIN and due to space constraints on UDIN website it was not possible to fill all the details. However, we respect Department's concerns and arranged new certificates for all eligible projects from our statutory auditor having separate UDIN for all projects and details can be verified from ICAI website as well.	Payments received in respect of construction works certified by statutory auditor in their certificates have been considered.

le

mm

force

10/19

				<p>3) M/s Radhakishan (Proprietor) was converted to M/s Radhakishan Infra Private limited by incorporating new company dated 24/04/2019.</p> <p>4) M/s Radhakishan was unlisted by PWD-Rajasthan and in the place of proprietorship M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt Ltd was enlisted by PWD-Rajasthan in accordance to the Govt. of Rajasthan Enlistment rules F2 (3)FD/Exp III/97 Part dated 25.5.99 & F.2(4)FD/Exp III/99 dated 23.3.2001 and order No F.2(4)/PWF&AR/99 Part II dated 10/10/2017 wide order dated 03/07/2019. Status of Enlistment stands as Permanent wide said order. The said certificated is already Submitted</p> <p>5) The said order also speaks in Note 3 that the registration is granted to M/s RIDPL due to change in name and Style of M/s Radhakishan, Nagaur and experience as of M/s Radhakishan shall be considered as of M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt Ltd for all qualification purpose going forward.</p> <p>4) So, for few running bills of FY 2019-20 have been accounted in Ms Radhakishan instead in M/s RIDPL. Hence the receipts and revenue are showing in M/s Radhakishan for FY 2019-20.</p>	<p>M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Private Limited was incorporated on 24.04-2019 i.e. FY 2019-20. For the same Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account has been submitted for the financial year 2019-20 dated 31.03.2020. In the technical bid Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account of financial year 2019-20 dated 31.03.2020 also have been submitted of M/s Radhakishan (Proprietor). In this project M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Private Limited is JV member and not M/s Radhakishan (Proprietor). Accordingly, net worth of JV member M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Private Limited has been considered Rs. 0.17 Cr.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

kr Am Jod

			<p>As per Certificate of Incorporation M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Private Limited the date of incorporation is 24.04-2019 i.e. FY 2019-20. Whereas M/s Radhakishan (Proprietor) is still in business as per Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 submitted. Please clarify that how both the firm can be considered same.</p>	<p>1) M/s Radhakishan (Proprietor) was converted to M/s Radhakishan Infra Private limited by incorporating new company dated 24/04/2019.</p> <p>2) M/s Radhakishan was unlisted by PWD-Rajasthan and in the place of proprietorship M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt Ltd was enlisted by PWD-Rajasthan in accordance to the Govt. of Rajasthan Enlistment rules F2 (3)FD/Exp III/97 Part dated 25.5.99 & F.2(4)FD/Exp III/99 dated 23.3.2001 and order No F.2(4)/PWF&AR/99 Part II dated 10/10/2017 wide order dated 03/07/2019. Status of Enlistment stands as Permanent wide said order. The said certificated is already Submitted</p> <p>The said order also speaks in Note 3 that the registration is granted to M/s RIDPL due to change in name and Style of M/s Radhakishan, Nagaur and experience as of M/s Radhakishan shall be considered as of M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt Ltd for all qualification purpose going forward.</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--





		Annexure VI of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict all five-year value of Annexure VI. Please clarify.	As per ICAI guidelines UDIN has to be generated per Assignment per Signatory on a given date. Further, as Annexure VI contain financial details of various eligible projects such as Estimated total cost, amount received and year of amount received. As per FAQ No33 requires member of ICAI to report any two Financial Figures mandatorily out of three fields. As other details are not mandatory required, hence year wise breakup value is not reported while generating UDIN.	Found satisfactory
--	--	--------------------	---	--	--------------------

h m fsc

		2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP	For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify	As per Cl. 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. It may kindly be noted that the other member of JV "M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd." has completed similar work of cost amounting to Rs. 140.00 Cr. i.e. more than 15% of the Estimated Project Cost and the experience certificate of the same are enclosed from page no. 64 to page no. 70 of the bid documents. However, the same are again enclosed as Annex-I (7 Pages) for your reference and record please. Kindly consider the same.	Found satisfactory.
	M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Annexure-IV of RFP	UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV for all projects. Please clarify.	As per ICAI guidelines UDIN has to be generated per Assignment per Signatory on a given date. Further, as Annexure IV contain financial details of various eligible projects such as Estimated total Cost, amount received and year of amount received. As per FAQ No33 requires member of ICAI to report any two Financial Figures mandatorily out of three fields. As other details are not mandatory required, hence year wise breakup value is not reported while generating UDIN.	Found satisfactory.

h m Jace

Sr. No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Name of the bidder	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	M/s A.G. Construction	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	M/s R. K. Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Private Limited	M/s BLA Projects Private Limited	M/s G.P Projects Ltd. (JV)
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	51%	49%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	JV	
Country	India	India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 339.88 Cr. LM=203.93 Cr. OM=67.98 Cr.	382.54 Cr.	494.86 Cr.	Bidder has two on-going works in NHIDCL in Manipur Div. Hence, technical evaluation of bid has not been carried out.	488.25 Cr.	497.38 Cr.	297.40 Cr.	74.13 Cr.
						371.53 Cr.	
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 50.98 Cr.	133.51 Cr. "A"	130.48 Cr. "C"		106.19 Cr. "K"	214.10 Cr. "A"	121.50 Cr. "A" of lead member	
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=16.99, LM=10.20, OM=3.40)	73.45 Cr.	69.84 Cr.		52.72 Cr.	325.48 Cr.	93.92 Cr.	10.91 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=50.98 LM=30.59, OM=10.20)	164.44 Cr.	141.39 Cr.		145.79 Cr.	1124.28 Cr.	57.86 Cr.	34.09 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=169.94, LM=101.96, OM=33.99)	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	1		-	-	-	-
	Cost	260.52 Cr.		-	-	-	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	

b

mm

2021

Sr. No.	7	8		9	10	11		12
Name of the bidder	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Mundan Construction Company	M/s Radhakishan Infra Developers Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	M/s New India Contractors & Developers Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Bharat Construction Co.	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	51%	49%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole	JV		Sole
Country	India	India	India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 339.88 Cr. LM=203.93 Cr. OM=67.98 Cr.	370.57 Cr.	445.91 Cr.	336.67 Cr.	417.52 Cr.	454.77 Cr.	349.87 Cr.	101.67 Cr.	375.00 Cr.
		782.58 Cr.				451.54 Cr.		
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 50.98 Cr.	77.94 Cr. "P"	149.86 Cr. "B" of other member		118.63 Cr. "B"	80.84 Cr. "D"	60.13 Cr. "A" of lead member		71.08 Cr. "A"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=16.99, LM=10.20, OM=3.40)	80.11 Cr.	92.93 Cr.	0.17 Cr.	30.81 Cr.	81.34 Cr.	20.59 Cr.	14.95 Cr.	50.41 Cr.
		93.10 Cr.				35.54 Cr.		
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=50.98 LM=30.59, OM=10.20)	258.90 Cr.	301.33 Cr.	96.64 Cr.	111.57 Cr.	138.49 Cr.	97.12 Cr.	68.78 Cr.	120.95 Cr.
		397.97 Cr.				165.90 Cr.		
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=169.94, LM=101.96, OM=33.99)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
	Cost	-	-	-	-	158.15 Cr.	-	80.07 Cr. (MMLP)
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	No		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Non-Responsive		Responsive	Responsive	Responsive		Responsive

h

Am

h

2/2