

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

No. NHIDCL/AP/AE/ICBR/TH-DG-TG/2021/2514

Date: 29.07.2021

To

All Respective Bidders,

**Subject:-** Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of:

- (i) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Tedadege to Henkaer from KM 0.000 to KM28.550 in West Siang District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.
- (ii) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Doginala to Gau from KM 0.000 to KM 15.320 in Upper Subansiri District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.

Reference Tender ID: 2021\_NHIDC\_633096\_1

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status
1.	M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers	Technically Non Responsive
2.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC JV with M/s Jindal Consortium in Association With M/s Kapil Muni Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 02.08.2021 at 15:30 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl: - As Stated above.

A.K. Jha  
GM(Tech)

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**  
**(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)**

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate Technical Proposals for "Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of

- (i) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Tedadege to Henkaer from KM 0.000 to KM28.550 in West Siang District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode.
- (ii) Construction of High Altitude Hill road from Doginala to Gau from KM 0.000 to KM 15.320 in Upper Subansiri District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC mode held on 26.07.2021 at PTI Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) vide its first meeting evaluated the technical proposals of the subject work. TEC in its 1<sup>st</sup> meeting has asked the bidders to submit their comments by uploading Technical score and Eligibility status on website. Consequent upon Approval of Competent Authority, the technical score of the bidders along with minutes of meeting were uploaded on the website to submit the clarification/representation if any by the consultant on or before 22.07.2021.

2. It was brought to the notice of the committee that *consultants are advised in their own interest to frame the technical proposal in an objective manner as far as possible so that these could be properly assessed in respect of points to be given as part of evaluation criteria.*
3. The details of query /representation received along with the decision of the committee are tabulated below:

**i) M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers**

S.No.	Description	Representation/ observation of committee	Decision of TEC
1.	In hand DPRs of Highway /Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress)	Consultant has represented that the Firm should have to get 10 marks instead of 5 marks.	TEC has examined the clarification and observed that as per RFP Clause 10 (i) of DATASHEET In hand DPRs for Authority (Ministry /NHAI/NHIDCL-As applicable) Projects (presently under progress) have been considered, accordingly marks are awarded. Hence there is no change in marks.
2.	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Consultant has represented that Firm has experience of use of technology has to get 19 marks instead of 15 marks	1. NSV - TEC has examined and accordingly no change in marks. 2. FWD- TEC has examined and found that as per RFP Clause 10 (ii) of DATASHEET "if Equipment is on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis" then 1 mark will be awarded, Hence there is no



			change in marks.  3. MBIU- TEC has examined and found that as per RFP Clause 10 (ii) of DATASHEET "if Equipment is on MOU with Associate or on hiring basis" then 1 mark will be awarded, Hence there is no change in marks.
3.	Marks given to Team Leader - Mr. P.K. Tiwari	Consultant has represented that Team Leader has to get 98 marks instead of 78 marks.	TEC has examined and observed that the projects mentioned in the submitted bid which have not been considered because as per criteria highway projects of DPR are required. Hence there is no change in marks. It was observed that certificate regarding M. Tech Degree from Himalayan University seems to be fabricated. Hence no marks have been given. Further, in the CV the project No. 10 mentioned as that of NHAI seems to be false, hence not considered. The total marks obtained by Team Leader are 74.
4.	Marks given to Senior Quality Cum Material Expert 1 - Mr. Niranjana Kumar Pathak	Consultant has represented that Senior Quality Cum Material Expert 1 has to get 95 marks instead of 91 marks in general qualification.	TEC has examined the clarification and observed that there is no change in marks.

**ii) M/s Bloom Companies LLC JV with M/s Jindal Consortium in Association with M/s Kapil Muni Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.**

S.No.	Description	Representation/ observation of committee	Decision of TEC
	No clarification has been submitted by the consultant.		

4. The Committee noted that as per clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) of RFP Document, "If a CV scores less than 75% marks, whatever marks it scores will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. However, if the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum academic qualification (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), the overall score of his CV will be evaluated as zero. If the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum qualification related to experience (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), then zero marks will only be assigned for that sub criteria, but the marks obtained by the CV of the Key Personnel will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. In case, a firm is H-I, then all such Key Personnel (whose CV scores less than 75% or who do not fulfill the minimum qualification) will have to be replaced by the firm before signing the contract. The reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 10% for each replacement. In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader cum Senior Pavement Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered non-responsive" The Team Leader of M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers scores less than 75%, Hence as per above Clause

*(Handwritten signatures)*


3.4(x) (f) of Section – 2 of RFP, M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers is considered non- responsive. Further Committee also recommend to issue a show cause notice to **M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers** and **Mr. P.K. Tiwari** for misrepresentation of facts and action may be taken as per RFP.

5. Considering Para 3 above, the evaluation is tabulated as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience of the firm (Total Score 40)	Evaluation criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection (Total Score 20)	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment (Total Score 40)	Total Score (Total Score 100)	Contracts awarded in NHIDCL (as per clause 24 of the RFP)	Remarks
1	M/s Technical Consultancy Services JV with M/s Magot Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association With M/s P.K. Engineers	35	15.00	33.58	83.58	Magot(1) - Uttarakhand	Not Qualified
2	M/s Bloom Companies LLC JV with M/s Jindal Consortium in Association With M/s Kapil Muni Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd	40	19	35.18	94.18	0	Qualified

5. It was brought to the notice of the committee that this is second call and in First call one bidder out of three bidders was qualified due to which the project was retendered.


6. It was also brought to the notice of the Committee that both the civil works included in the RFP have already been awarded, appointed date has been given and the work is in progress. Hence there is immediate need for appointment of Authority Engineer




7. Committee deliberated the issue in detail recommends to open the financial bid of following single bidder being second call to see the reasonability of rates after approval of Competent Authority.

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Score	Remarks
1	M/s Bloom Companies LLC JV with M/s Jindal Consortium in Association With M/s Kapil Muni Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd	94.18	Qualified

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

  
Col. Ajay Ahluwalia  
(ED)  
Convener

  
AK Jha  
GM(T)  
Member

  
Col. B. Shiv Prasad  
GM (T)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick  
Manager (Finance)  
Member