

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

NHIDCL/Nagaland/D-K/BW/PKG3/2021

(A Government of India Enterprise)

Dated: 06.07.2021

To,

All bidders,

**Subject:** "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur — Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III)" **-Result of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids -reg.**

**Tender ID:** 2021\_NHIDC\_633464\_1

1. With reference to the bids invited for the subject project, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	Technically Non Responsive
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Durga Construction Company	Technically Responsive

2. A copy of the Minutes of 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) dated 05.07.2021 is enclosed herewith for information to applicant bidders.

3. Authority shall open the financial bids online on **09.07.2021 at 11:00 AM** at NHIDCL, HQ, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001 in the presence of the authorized representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

**Encl.:** As above.



**AK Jha**  
**General Manager (Technical)**

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation**

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur – Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at **on 05.07.2021**.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 30.06.2021 at 1100 hrs.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s Jayzee Construction
- (ii) M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd
- (iii) M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company
- (iv) M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweete Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
- (v) M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania
- (vi) M/s Oasis Technocons Limited
- (vii) M/s Durga Construction Company

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 130.82 Crore**.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	130.82
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	65.41
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	19.62
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	6.54
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	6.54
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	6.54
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.91
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	19.62
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.77
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	65.41
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.24



17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08
----	--	-------

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not in line with the clause mentioned in the RFP, it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as sought by the Technical Division is to be obtained from the respective bidders.

6. In Continuation to 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 02.07.2021, replies received from the bidders were deliberated by the TEC in 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 05.07.2021. Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	(i) Appendix X, XI could not be located. Please clarify.  (ii) Annexure VI for calculation of value of B along with Authority Certificate could not be located. Please clarify	i) The bidder has submitted Appendix X,XI as per RFP format.  ii) The bidder has submitted Authority Certificate for calculation of value of B.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and it was observed that the bidder has submitted bid capacity as Rs 90.78 Cr considering the value of "N" equals to 1.5, whereas per RFP the contract period is of one year and the value of "N" has to taken as 1. Accordingly the calculation of Bid capacity is calculated as Rs 58.53 Cr which is less than the required i.e. Rs 65.41 Cr. The committee deliberated the issue and decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive.
2	M/s Jayzee Constructio n	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.  (ii) Re submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print.	i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects turnover values in UDIN on ICAI portal.  ii) The bidder has submitted clear print of Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically

		<p>(iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for all eligible projects. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Reference number from Bank submitted for the proof of submission of cost of bid could not be located. Please clarify.</p>	<p>iii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for all eligible projects.</p> <p>iv) The bidder has submitted reference number from the bank, for the proof of submission of cost of bid.</p>	responsive
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	<p>(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify</p> <p>(ii) For Project code E submitted for Annexure IV as per Client certificate the project is done in JV but as per details submitted the project is sole. Please clarify</p> <p>(iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for all eligible projects. Please clarify.</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the UDIN number which reflects turnover values in UDIN on ICAI portal</p> <p>(ii) The bidder clarifies that <i>"the project was awarded to the Joint Venture (M/s Brij Gopal Construction Co. Pvt. Ltd. (Lead Member and M/s Dev Yash Projects &amp; Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (Other Member We M/s Dev Yash Projects &amp; Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. did the whole contract work including the part of lead member, the work order given by the lead member for work done is attached for your ready reference"</i>.</p> <p>(iii) The Bidder has submitted the UDIN number for annexure IV.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

*Agarwal*

*gk*

*mm* *hpl*



4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	<p>a) M/s Vijeta Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited</p> <p>(i) As per Annexure I Details of Bidder 1(a) the name of the Firm mentioned is M/s <b>Viejta</b> Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited whereas it has been observed that the Documents submitted in name of M/s <b>Vijeta</b> Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) UDIN on ICAI portal submitted for project code A of Annexure IV does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Incomplete UDIN number submitted for Project code B. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(iv) For Project code C as per Statutory Auditor Certificate the firm has received work value of Rs 3.16 Cr in 2019-20, Rs 204.41 Cr in 2017-18 and 182.33 Cr in 2018-19 but as per UDIN on ICAI portal the work values are mentioned as Rs 911.68 Cr in 2019-20, 1022.08 Cr in 2017-18 and 158.22 Cr in 2016-17. Please clarify.</p> <p>(v) For consideration of single work under category</p>	<p>a) M/s Vijeta Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited</p> <p>(i) The bidder clarifies that due to typing error the name of the firm was mentioned M/s <b>Viejta</b> Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited and the correct name is M/s <b>Vijeta</b> Projects &amp; Infrastructure Limited.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder claries that detailed year wise payments received have been reproduced in CA certificate which can be found in the submitted bid and in the UDIN on ICAI portal has provision of two columns so Sum total of amount received and amount after updation factor available on ICAI portal.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted complete UDIN number for Project code B.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder clarifies that the "in the particular projects we have 20 % share so as per UDIN on ICAI Portal Total payment received for year: 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19 have been uploaded, and we have reproduced certificate for 20% of our share".</p> <p>(v)The bidder has submitted experience certificate for</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive</p>
---	---	---	---	--

		<p>1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority haven been attached. However, the detail/item of work could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</p> <p>(vi) Units are not mentioned in UDIN on ICAI portal for Appendix XI, X. Please clarify.</p> <p>(vii) As per Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20 the Net worth of the firm is Rs 118.80 Cr but as per Appendix X the Net worth is Rs 113.92 Cr. Please clarify.</p> <p>(viii) As per Audited Balance Sheet the turnover of FY 2019-20 is Rs 195.21 Cr, FY 2018-19 is Rs 323.53 Cr, FY 2017-18 is Rs 203.85 Cr, FY 2016-17 is Rs 206.79 Cr and FY 2015-16 is Rs 301.23 Cr but as per Appendix XI the Turnover of FY 2019-20 is Rs 145.16 Cr, FY 2018-19 is Rs 253.40 Cr, FY 2017-18 is</p>	<p>consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3.</p> <p>(vi) The bidder clarifies that "For Appendix X, UDIN number submitted bid and amount is showing 11392.55 (The Figure mentioned in Lakh) detailed calculation certificate is attached in the submitted bid".</p> <p>For Appendix XI, UDIN number submitted in bid is the year wise figures are available (All the Figures mentioned are in Lakh) Detailed certificate is attached in the submitted bid.</p> <p>(vii) The bidder clarifies that "Since revaluation reserve is not part of Net Worth, so we have calculated Appendix X excluding the revaluation reserve. All though it's component of balance sheet so in balance sheets it has been included".</p> <p>(viii) The bidder clarifies that "Since Audited Balance sheet shows company total turnover but as per Appendix XI point no. 8, we have been asked to produce only Civil Construction Turnover, so the figure mentioned in Appendix XI depicts only civil</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

		<p>Rs 140.68 Cr, FY 2016-17 is Rs 134.25 Cr and FY 2015-16 is Rs 277.50 Cr. Please Clarify</p> <p>b) M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>(i) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for project code B, D. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 &amp; 3, experience certificate from the authority haven been attached. However, the detail/item of work could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify</p>	<p>construction turnover</p> <p>b) M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>(i) The bidder clarify that "UDIN for Annexure-IV Project Code B &amp; D not generated separately as these are part of the Appendix IA and the details contain the values of updation factor only. The actual payments received by the company are reflected under the "Certificate Regarding Construction Works" As the source documents have three financial figures, two mandatory financial figures have been given while generating the UDIN".</p> <p>(ii) The bidder claries that "Experience Certificate from Authority are furnished at page No.209 to 212. The detail/item of work is mentioned in the name of work given in the certificates from the Executive Engineer at page 211 of submitted documents wherein it has been mentioned that the work comprises strengthening with construction of paved shoulders which means that the work consist of widening of NH-52 besides strengthening of the existing pavement</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

*Ajayna*

*Ag*

*Am*

*Mps*



		<p>(iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iv) UDIN number could not be located on Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20. Please clarify.</p>	<p>satisfying the criteria required under item 2.2.2.5 (iv) (i) at page 23 of RFP”.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder clarifies that “As per ICAI’s guidelines on UDIN under “Process” The UDIN so generated is valid if two financial figures from the source document is given. Two financial figures are mandatory out of three fields. As such two figures have been given in the portal for generating the said UDIN”.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder clarifies that “As per ICAI’s guideline on UDIN under “Other Audit &amp; Assurance Functions”, it has been advised that UDIN is not mandatory to mention on Financial Statements (i.e balance sheet, P&amp;L A/c) but it has to be mentioned in Audit Reports. Accordingly the UDIN :20057558AAAACY8834 dated 07/08/2020 has been mentioned in the Auditors Report for the Financial Year 2019-20 at page No.324”.</p>	
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	<p>(i) Statutory Auditor Certificate of Project code k submitted for Annexure IV could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Reference number from Bank submitted for the proof of submission of cost of bid could not be</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor Certificate for project code K.</p> <p>ii) The bidder has submitted reference number from the bank, for the proof of submission of cost of bid.</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically






		located. Please clarify.		responsive
6	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	<p>(i) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for project code A,C,D,E . Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Units are not mention in UDIN on ICAI Portal for uploaded for Appendix XI. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) As per Audited Balance Sheet Submitted for all five years it has been observed that the Revenue of Operation is described as Manufacturing Goods. Please Clarify.</p>	<p>i) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for project code A,C,D,E.</p> <p>ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects Units in UDIN on ICAI portal.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder "clarified that our company's whole revenue comes from execution of Road Works. The word Manufacturing has been printed inadvertently in the balance sheets. Clarification in this regard from our auditor is hereby submitted".</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and was found to be satisfactory. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
7	M/s Durga Construction Company	No clarification was sought	---	Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.

8. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	Technically Non Responsive	0
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive	0
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive	0

*Ajay*

*Aj*

*Am*

*Am*

4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
5.	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	Technically Responsive	0
6.	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	Technically Responsive	J&K=1
7.	M/s Durga Construction Company	Technically Responsive	0

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 6 (Six) technically responsive bidder after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
Ajay Ahulwalia  
(ED-I)  
Chairman

  
B. Shivprasad  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick  
Manager -Finance  
Member





**Balance Work for Four Laning of NH-39 Dimapur-Kohima Road from design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE on EPC Mode (Pkg. 3)**

**Bid Opening: 01.07.2021**

**Time: 03:30 Hrs**

Sl. No	Details of documents to be submitted as per RFP	Name of Bidders					
		M/s Oasis Technocons Ltd. (1)	M/s Durga Construction Co. (2)	M/s Dev Yash Project Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (3)	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	M/s Kampong Kamyar Trading Co.	M/s Jayzee Construction
1.	Original Power of Attorney for signing the bid if sole firm	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2.	Original Power of Attorney for the Authorized Representative in case of partnership firm of company	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	Original Power of Attorney for the Authorized Representative in case JV	-	-	-	-	-	YES
4.	Bid Securing Declaration	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
5.	Cost of RFP of Rs. 23,600/-	RTGS -HDFC UTR N179211546041718 Dated:28.06.2021	RTGS-BOI UTR-BKIDN 21179941106 dated 28.06.2021	CHQ NO. N181211548135318 dated 30.06.2021	HDFC UTR No. 118019136254 dated 29.06.2021	Indian Bank RTGS no. IDIB42117934760 dated 28.06.2021	Axis Bank Cheque No. 037804 dated 28.06.2021
6.	Integrity Pact	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
							Central Bank of India CBINH21175143679 dated 24.06.2021

  
Ajay Ahluwalia  
ED-I  
Convener

  
Col. B. Shivprasad  
GM (Tech.)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
GM (Tech.)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick  
Manager (Fin.)  
Member

**Annexure - I**

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))=Rs. 65.41 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 19.62 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 39.25 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 13.08 Cr.
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	85.73 Cr	Yes (Rs 64.32 Cr)	NA	NA
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	116.98 Cr	Yes ( Rs 116.98 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	167.76 Cr	Yes ( Rs 34.38 Cr)	NA	NA
4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	-	Yes (Rs 44.4 Cr)	427.26 Cr	65.42 Cr
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	165.27 Cr	Yes ( 67.57 Cr)	NA	NA
6.	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	105.66 Cr	Yes ( 56.50 Cr)	NA	NA
7.	M/s Durga Construction Company	94.26 Cr	Yes( 25.15 Cr)	NA	NA

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR 6.54 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 19.62 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	SE	-	6.74 Cr	23.51 Cr	Y
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	SE	-	11.78 Cr	44.77 Cr	Y
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	SE	-	22.57 Cr	134.79 Cr	Y
4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	JV	51-49	Lead - 113.92 Cr Other- 31.22 Cr	Lead- 271.69 Cr Other - 20.59 Cr	Y
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	SE	-	36.84 Cr	121.77 Cr	Y
6	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	SE	-	15.32 Cr	70.76 Cr	Y
7	M/s Durga Construction Company	SE	-	12.59 Cr	33.44 Cr	Y



### Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 65.41 Crore

S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	2017-18	1.10	23.46	25.81	1	5.99	58.53	No
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	2019-20	1	73.20	73.20	1	0	183.00	Yes
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	2019-20	1	169.97	169.95	1	97.29	327.64	Yes
4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.								
	M/s Vijeta Projects &	2018-19	1.05	323.53	339.71	1	299.65	549.62	Yes

	Infrastructure Limited								
	M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	2019-20	1	33.65	33.65	1	0	84.13	Yes
							Total	633.74	Yes
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	2019-20	1	185.54	185.54	1	284.88	178.97	Yes
6.	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	2019-20	1	88.07	88.07	1	146.22	73.96	Yes
7.	M/s Durga Construction Company	2018-19	1.05	57.69	60.57	1	18.69	132.75	Yes

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Balance work for Four-laning of NH-39 Dimapur – Kohima Road from Design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900), in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction(EPC) Contract (Package-III)." held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at 1500 Hrs on 02.07.2021

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 30.06.2021 at 1100 hrs.

2. Technical Bid Opening Committee (TEC) met to open the technical Bids on 01.07.2021 at 15:30 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s Jayzee Construction
- (ii) M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd
- (iii) M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company
- (iv) M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweetly Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
- (v) M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania
- (vi) M/s Oasis Technocons Limited
- (vii) M/s Durga Construction Company

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 130.82 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	130.82
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	65.41
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.25
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	19.62
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c) )	6.54
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	6.54
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	6.54
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.91
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	19.62
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	11.77
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.92
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	65.41





16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	39.24
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.08

4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. The details of bidders and the clarification to be sought are tabulated below:


S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought
1	M/s Kampung Kamyar Trading Company	(i) Appendix X, XI could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) Annexure VI for calculation of value of B along with Authority Certificate could not be located. Please clarify
2	M/s Jayzee Construction	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify. (ii) Re submit audited balance sheet for FY 2019-20 in clear print. (iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for all eligible projects. Please clarify. (i) Reference number from Bank submitted for the proof of submission of cost of bid could not be located. Please clarify.
3	M/s Dev yash Projects & Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	(i) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify (ii) For Project code E submitted for Annexure IV as per Client certificate the project is done in JV but as per details submitted the project is sole. Please clarify (iii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for all eligible projects. Please clarify.
4	M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited JV M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	a) M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited (i) As per Annexure I Details of Bidder 1(a) the name of the Firm mentioned is M/s Viejta Projects & Infrastructure Limited whereas it has been observed that the Documents submitted in name of M/s Vijeta Projects & Infrastructure Limited. Please clarify. (ii) UDIN on ICAI portal submitted for project code A of Annexure IV does not depict year wise breakup of receivable value. Please clarify. (iii) Incomplete UDIN number submitted for Project code B. Please Clarify. (iv) For Project code C as per Statutory Auditor Certificate the firm has received work value of Rs 3.16 Cr in 2019-20, Rs 204.41 Cr in 2017-18 and 182.33 Cr in 2018-19 but as per UDIN on ICAI portal the work values are mentioned as Rs 911.68 Cr in 2019-20, 1022.08 Cr in 2017-18 and 158.22 Cr in 2016-17. Please clarify. (v) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority haven been attached. However, the detail/item of work could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify (vi) Units are not mentioned in UDIN on ICAI portal for Appendix XI, X. Please clarify. (vii)As per Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20 the Net worth of the firm is Rs 118.80 Cr but as per Appendix X the Net worth is Rs 113.92 Cr. Please clarify. (viii) As per Audited Balance Sheet the turnover of FY 2019-20 is Rs 195.21 Cr, FY 2018-19 is Rs 323.53 Cr, FY 2017-18 is Rs 203.85 Cr, FY 2016-17 is Rs 206.79 Cr and FY 2015-16 is Rs 301.23 Cr but as per Appendix XI the Turnover of FY 2019-20 is

		Rs 145.16 Cr, FY 2018-19 is Rs 253.40 Cr, FY 2017-18 is Rs 140.68 Cr, FY 2016-17 is Rs 134.25 Cr and FY 2015-16 is Rs 277.50 Cr. Please Clarify  b) M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.  (i) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for project code B, D. Please clarify. (ii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority haven been attached. However, the detail/item of work could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify (iii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not show the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify (iv) UDIN number could not be located on Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20. Please clarify.
5	M/s Ganesh Ram Dokania	(i) Statutory Auditor Certificate of Project code k submitted for Annexure IV could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) Reference number from Bank submitted for the proof of submission of cost of bid could not be located. Please clarify.
6	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	(i) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise break up of receivable value submitted for annexure IV for project code A,C,D,E . Please clarify. (ii) Units are not mention in UDIN on ICAI Portal for uploaded for Appendix XI. Please clarify. (iii) As per Audited Balance Sheet Submitted for all five years it has been observed that the Revenue of Operation is described as Manufacturing Goods. Please Clarify.

6. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) decides to ask for the above tabulated clarification after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
Ajay Ahulwalia  
(ED-I)  
Chairman

  
B. Shivprasad  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
A.K. Jha  
(GM-Tech)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick  
Manager -Fin.  
Member