राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड सडक परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय. भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

NHIDCL/Assam/Bil-Ghy/AE/Pkg-5&7/195538/1026

Sub: Result of Technical Bids for Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of following works:

Ι. Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 52.470 km to Ch.71.500 km (Design Ch.48.655 km to Ch.67.556 km) of Tulungia- Jogighopa Bridge Approach Section (Package-5) of Bilasipura-Guwahati road (NH-17) in the state of Assam under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC mode.

II. Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 88.000km to Ch.99.930 km (Design Ch.84.100km to Ch.96.000km) of the Sagunbashi forest (near Nichinta) Bypass to the starting of Krishnai Bypass Section (Package-7) of Bilasipura- Guwahati road (NH 17) in the state of Assam on EPC mode. - held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

Tender ID: 2021 NHIDC 627254 1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsiveness of the Participated Bidders is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Firm	Result
1.	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Not Responsive
3.	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Technically Not Responsive
6	Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant	Technically Not Responsive

2. The technical result of subject work was uploaded on CPP portal and NHIDCL website and Opening of financial bid of the technically gualified bidders on 28.07.2021 at 1500 Hrs.

> (K. C. Bhatt) Dy. General Manager (Tech)





A Government of India Enterprise)



Date: 28.07.2021

BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) on 13.07.2021 to evaluate the Technical bids for CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

(i) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 52.470 km to Ch.71.500 km (Design Ch.48.655 km to Ch.67.556 km) of Tulungia- Jogighopa Bridge Approach Section (Package-5) of Bilasipura- Guwahati road (NH-17) in the state of Assam under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC mode.

(ii) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 88.000km to Ch.99.930 km (Design Ch.84.100km to Ch.96.000km) of the Sagunbashi forest (near Nichinta) Bypass to the starting of Krishnai Bypass Section (Package-7) of Bilasipura-Guwahati road (NH 17) in the state of Assam on EPC mode.- held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

The RFP for the subject work was invited on 19.04.2021 with Bid due date 17.06.2021 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 18.06.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on the scheduled date and time. No Representatives of the bidders attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the Bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that following 6 (Six) nos. of Bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

- (i) M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited
- (ii) M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
- (iii)M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP
- (iv)M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited
- (v) M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
- (vi) M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant

4. The Committee observed that 1 (One) of the bidders namely M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant has already been awarded following 3 (Three) nos. of projects in NHIDCL as given below:

- (i) AE for Hunli-Anini road (Pkg I, II & III) in the state of Arunachal Pradesh.
- (ii) AE for 2 laning from Yaingangpokpi to Finch Corner (Pkg | & II) in the state of Manipur.
- (iii) AE for Churachandpur-Tipaimukh section of NH-150 Pkg I & II in the state of Manipur along with additional work of AE given for "Churachandpur-Songpi road from Km 00.00 to 7.10" & "Churachandpur-Tipaimukh

Page 1 of 6

(Package: Km 9.00 to Km 70.00)" and the present physical progress of both the civil contracts are 98.66% & 43.86% respectively till date.

5. Therefore, in accordance of clause 26 of Section-1 of RFP document which states that, "The bidder including individual or any of its JV members or its related parties, who are already having three or more on-going Authority Engineer Consultancy contract(s) in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this project.", the consultant M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant is considered **Technically Non-Responsive**.

6. Further, the Committe noted that another bidder namely, M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP is debarred from M.P. Road Development Corporation Ltd. (MPRDC) vide letter no. 2602/MPRDC/Order/2021, dated 15.06.2021. It has been observed that, the bidder has not intimated the authority regarding the debarment order before bid opening of subject project and uploaded his bid documents on CPP Portal & Infracon Portal.

7. In this regard, the technical evaluation has not been carried out for the bidder, M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP considering debarment of the firm. Therefore, the bidder is considered **Technically Non-Responsive.** 

Member Secretary apprised that:

a) As per clause 4.1 of Section-2 of RFP, bidders are required to submit their Technical Proposal only through online mode i.e. via CPP portal and INFRACON portal and no separate hard copy was required to submit.

	Та	ble-I: Bidde	ers considered f	for evaluation	
Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Type of Venture	Lead Member (or Sole)	Other Member (JV/ Associate)	Associate Member
1	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	Sole	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	-	-
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	JV & Association	M/s Hexa Co.	M/s SOWiL Limited	M∕s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	Association	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	-

b) The details of firms considered for further evaluation are as under:

1 food

Page 2 of 6

4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	JV	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited	M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	-
---	--	----	--	--	---

- c) As per the Section-3 & 4 of RFP, the bidders were required to upload the Experience of the firm and List of the proposed Key Expert Team & Summary of CVs Particulars on INFRACON Portal. Whereas, Site Appreciation, Approach paper on methodology for performing the assignment, Facility for field investigation and testing, Time schedule for deployment of Professional staff and Activity (works) schedule were required to be uploaded on CPP Portal.
- d) Based on the credential submitted by the bidders on CPP Portal and INFRACON Portal, Evaluation of bids has been carried out manually as certain new parameters like Experience in use of technology for road inspection added on the INFRACON Portal version 2 based on the Model RFP document of MoRTH, however, the technical evaluation of the Infracon is still has discrepancies & therefore, manual evaluation has been carried out.

8. The Committee noted that the Consultants had submitted their Technical Proposal on e-Procurement Portal i.e. on CPP Portal & INFRACON portal and the evaluation has been carried out based on the details submitted by bidders (refer Table-I above). The proposals were examined as per Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) Data Sheet of RFP Document.

9. The Committee observed that 03 (Three) bidders out of 04 (Four) as mentioned below are technically qualified in terms of provisions of RFP. The Committee noted the marks scored by the individual firm as under:

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored		
1	Relevant experience	for the assignment	40	38.75	Marks of key	Projects under
2	Experience in use of inspection	technology for road	20	20	personnel NHIDCL	
3	Qualifications and co the assignment	ompetence of the key staff for	40	34.96		
	J M Patnaik	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.90	89	
	Krishna Kant Tiwari	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.48	87	
	Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 2	4	3.64	91	
	Anil Kumar Yadav	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.45	89	
	Ајау	Senior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91	
	Mohit Mohan	Senior Quality cum Material	4	3.54	88.50	

Page 3 of 6

3idder Name: M/s A	larc Technocrates Private Limit	ted		Marks of key	Projects under NHIDCL
Agrawal	Expert 1				
Rajeev Ranjan	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.60	90	
Ram Kanwar Sehrawat	Road Safety Expert	4	2.80	70	
	Tota	al Marks	93.71		

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max Points	Points Scored	Marks of	Duciente un la
1	Relevant experience	for the assignment	40	40	key personnel	Projects under NHIDCL
2	Experience in use of technology for road inspection		20	15	personner	
3	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment		40	35.46		
	Pramod Kumar	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.70	87	
	Ashok Kumar	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.64	91	
	Debaprosad Chakrabarty	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 2	4	3.64	91	
	P. Ratnam	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.35	87	1 No.
	Satyanarayan Sahu	Senior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91	in Assam
	Raman Mishra	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	4	3.78	95	τ.
	Pramod Kumar Ray	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.64	91	
	Tejas Patel	Road Safety Expert	4	3.16	79	
		Tot	al Marks	90.46		

Sr. No.		Particulars		Points Scored	Marks of		
1	Relevant experience for the assignment		40	40	key	Projects under NHIDCL	
2	Experience in use inspection	Experience in use of technology for road inspection		16	personnel	Nino CL	
3	Qualifications and the assignment	competence of the key staff for	40	36.27			
	Arun Kumar Sharma	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.60	86		
	Basant Kumar Singh	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.64	91	Nil	

my & for

Page 4 of 6

## 1532731/2021/Dir(NHIDCL)

	edback Infra Private Limite and Management Consultants			Marks of key	Projects under NHIDCL
Gunde Raju	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 2	4	3.64	91	
Ramudu Bellala	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.75	95	
Girish Patil	Sénior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91	
K Siva Rama Krishna	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	4	3.64	91	
Ashis Nandi	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.61	90.20	
K. Venkata Ramana Murty	Road Safety Expert	4	3.84	96	
	Tota	l Marks	92.27		

#### The final evaluation scores of the bidder are as follows: 10.

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Final Score	Technically Responsive Non-Responsive	Projects under NHIDCL
1	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	93.71	Technically Responsive	2 Nos. (1 in Assam & 1 in Arunachal Pradesh)
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Firm's Expe "Eligibility C partners sha The lead p Preparation should fulfil & Project So should meet should meet Section-1 of minimum el	rience and as per clause Criteria for partners in case Il be allowed) shall be as un eartner must fulfill at lea in DPR & Project Supervi I atleast 30% of eligibility of upervision. Also the lead p the eligibility criteria as me the criteria 1 (b) of table RFP." Since, the Other JV	est 50% of requirements of ision and other JV partne riteria of Preparation in DPF artner and JV partner jointly entioned above. Lead partne in para (A) of clause 15 of partner has not fulfilled the ect Supervision". Therefore
3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	90.46	Technically Responsive	Nil
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.27	Technically Responsive	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited: 1 No. in Manipur M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.: 1 No. in Arunachal Pradesh

Page 5 of 6

28

#### 1532731/2021/Dir(NHIDCL)

5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Technically Non-Responsive
6	Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant	Technically Non-Responsive

11. In view of the above, the Committee recommends to ask the bidders to submit their comments within 7 days as per clause 10 (vii) of Data Sheet of RFP by uploading Technical result on website.

W. Blah (ED-V) Convener

K.C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary/ Presenting Officer

R. S. uri,

GM (T) Member

Bhaskar

Manager (F) Member

2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) on 23.07.2021 to evaluate the Technical bids for CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

(i) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 52.470 km to Ch.71.500 km (Design Ch.48.655 km to Ch.67.556 km) of Tulungia- Jogighopa Bridge Approach Section (Package-5) of Bilasipura- Guwahati road (NH-17) in the state of Assam under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC mode.

(ii) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 88.000km to Ch.99.930 km (Design Ch.84.100km to Ch.96.000km) of the Sagunbashi forest (near Nichinta) Bypass to the starting of Krishnai Bypass Section (Package-7) of Bilasipura-Guwahati road (NH 17) in the state of Assam on EPC mode - held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

The RFP for the subject work was invited on 19.04.2021 with Bid due date 17.06.2021 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 18.06.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on the scheduled date and time. No Representatives of the bidders attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. Accordingly, the committee carried out the technical evaluation and uploaded the technical score of all the bidders for their comments/clarification on 14.07.2021. The technical score for the subject work are as given below:-

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Final Score
1	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	93.71
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive
3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	90.46
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.27
5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Non-Responsive
6	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant	Non-Responsive

4. In response to HQ NHIDCL letter NHIDCL/Assam/Bil-Ghy/AE/Pkg-5&7/195538/999 dated 14.07.2021, "M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited", vide letter no. MARC/TP/Q/2021/07/03, dated 15.07.2021 and "M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited", vide letter no. EGIS INDIA/71269/2021, dated 17.07.2021 submitted their observation and clarification against experience of the firm & Key Staff. /

4

5. The clarification submitted by the above bidder have been re-examined by the Committee and accordingly the score has been revised:-

Sr. No.	er Name: M/s Marc Technocrats Private Lim Description	Score Claimed by consultant	Assessed marks by client	Final Score (after re- presentation
1	Nos. of Highway Professionals with the firm: <10 -0 10-20 -8 $>20$ but $\le 30$ -9 >30 -10	10	9	9
	<b>Remarks:-</b> Bidder claimed 10 marks for having with the firm. However, after re-examination provided by the bidder, only 27 nos. of key remaining are either blocked or not employed vin marks.	, it has been ol personnel are e	bserved that, a mployed with	as per the list the firm. And
2	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration(2/4/6laning**) and above): <2 projects -0 2 projects -11 Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.		13	13
	<b>Remarks:-</b> The bidder has claimed 15 marks similar capacity of project Preparation includin of projects mentioned at pg no. 352, 354, 360 been observed that projects mentioned at pg n earlier evaluation & accordingly 13 marks were no. 368, the key person has worked in the ca under similar capacity, project manager will supervision work on behalf of contractor. H evaluation. Therefore, there will be no change	ng design of maj & 368. However o. 352, 354 & 36 allotted. Howe apacity of Proje be assign only f lence, the proj	jor highway Pro , after re-exam 0 were already ver, project me ct Manager and for construction	pject in 4 nos. ination, it has considered in entioned at pg d as per RFP, n/construction
	Bridge/Structural Engineer:			onsidered to
3	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures: <2 nos 0 2 nos 8 marks	10	8	8
3	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures: <2 nos 0 2 nos 0 2 nos 8 marks >3 nos 10 Remarks:- The bidder has claimed 3 nos. of p	roject for 10 ma Rehabilitation tructures. Howe claimed were a d. Since, the key ce in more than	arks for having and repair over, after re-ex already conside y person has ex 3 projects is re	8 Experience ir of Major xamination, it red in earlier xperience in 3 equired under
3	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures: <2 nos 0 2 nos 8 marks >3 nos 10 <b>Remarks:-</b> The bidder has claimed 3 nos. of p similar capacity in supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges/any other s has been observed that all the three projects evaluation & accordingly 8 marks were allotted nos. of projects only and as per RFP, experien	roject for 10 ma Rehabilitation tructures. Howe claimed were a d. Since, the key ce in more than	arks for having and repair over, after re-ex already conside y person has ex 3 projects is re	8 Experience in of Major xamination, it red in earlier xperience in 3 equired under

	ler Name: M/s Marc Technocrats Private Lim Mohan Agrawal							
	Experience in similar capacity in handling							
	Similar Highway projects (of length 40% of							
	project length or more of similar							
	configuration(2/4/6laning**)and above):							
	<2 nos -0	1961						
	2 nos -25							
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional							
	project subject to maximum 5 marks.							
	Remarks:- The bidder has claimed 30 marks t	for having Exp	erience in simil	ar capacit				
	handling Similar Highway projects (of length							
	configuration(2/4/6laning**)and above). The							
	mentioned at pg no 519, 521, 529, 531, 533 and							
	it has been observed that projects at pg no.							
	earlier evaluation & accordingly 27.5 marks we							
	has not been considered for evaluation as the k			apacity of				
	Engineer which does not fall under the similar c							
	Project at pg no.531 is similar as project mention							
	also similar to project at pg no. 539. Remaining projects at pg no. 533, 537 & 54 been considered as the duration of the projects are less than 12 months and as only those projects (in numbers) will be considered for evaluation above, where of the personnel is not less than 12 months. Hence, not considered for ev							
	Therefore, there will be no change in marks.	ns. Hence, no	t considered to	or evaluat				
	Road Safety Expert							
	(iii) Experience in similar capacity in Road							
	Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway							
	projects at different stages including at least	15	0	0				
	one at design stage:	15	U	U				
	<2 nos -0							
	2 nos -15							
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional							
	projectsubject to maximum 5 marks.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
	<b>Remarks:-</b> The bidder claimed 15 marks for having experience in Road Safety Audits However, after re-examination, it has been observed that as per RFP atleast one project i							
5								
	required at design stage and bidder has no expe Hence, there will be no change in marks.	enence of road	i salety addit at	uesign sta				
	(v) Experience in similar capacity of preparing							
	Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban							
	Highway:	5	0	0				
	1 project -4 marks	5						
	2 or more project -5 marks							
	Remarks:- The hidder claimed 5 marks for the	<b>Remarks:-</b> The bidder claimed 5 marks for the projects mentioned at page no. 621 to 64						
			for having experience in above mentioned criteria. However, after re-examination, it has a share a share and that all the president of the state of					
	for having experience in above mentioned crit	eria. However,		been observed that all the projects at above mentioned page nos. have not been considered				
	for having experience in above mentioned crit been observed that all the projects at above me	eria. However, entioned page r	nos. have not be					
	for having experience in above mentioned crit	eria. However, entioned page r	nos. have not be					

four f Mz

Bidder Name: M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited

Sr.	slink Infrastructure Consultants Private Lim Description	Score Claimed	ACCUMPTER CONTRACTOR AND ACCUMPTED	Final Score
No.		by consultant	marks by client	(after re- presentation
	Experience in use of technology for road inspection			
1	Remarks:- Bidder has given circular reference Highways in this regard vide File No. RW/NH-33 is stated in para 5 of the circular that "The score be accorded for either of ownership or h as the intent of contract is to get the service of the given assignment." Bidder has associated we mentioned in para 4 of MEMORANDUM OF U services of M/s Sri Infotech to carry out the Therefore, the bidder does not qualify for the of Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	044/24/2020-SE marks for equip nire purchase or of the Consultan with M/s Sri Info NDERSTANDING ests using own	tR (P&B) dated ment is rational other option so t for using the o tech for the sco and would be technology and	06.01.2021. In Ilized and ful uch as leasing equipment for ope of service utilizing the d equipment.
	Post Graduation in Management/ Construction/ Transportation/Highway Engineering/Structural Engineering or equivalent specialised stream of civil engineering	4	0	0
	<b>Remarks:-</b> Bidder claimed 4 marks for Post Gra Post Graduation has been done in the year M been claimed from August, 2015 to June, 20 engaged in highway project. Therefore, m considered. Hence, there will be no change in r Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity	ay 2017. Howe 19. Since, the arks for Post	ver, the experi key personnel	ence has also were already
2	of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above): <2 nos 0 2 nos 11	15	11	11
	Add 2 marks for each additional project subject to maximum 4 marks. Remarks:- Bidder claimed 15 marks for Tea Preparation including design of major highw project mentioned at Sr. No. 6 to 9. Howe observed that project at Sr. No. 6 to 9 has client. For project at Sr. No. 7 & 8, there e has claimed the project having Bihar State & hence the same cannot be considered marks. Resident cum Highway Engineer I: Mr. Ashok	vay Project. The over, projects already been exists duplicate Road Develop	he bidder claim has been re-ex considered ha e project wher ment Corporat	ned 4 nos. of xamined and ving NHAI as e the bidder ion as client
3	Kumar Post Graduation in Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Structural Engineering/ Geotechnical Engineering	4	0	0
	<b>Remarks:-</b> Bidder claimed 4 marks for Post C Since, specialization is not mentioned in the considered. Therefore, no change in marks.			
4	Resident cum Highway Engineer I: Debaprosad Chakrabarty	4	0	0
	Jess A	Ow	•	Page <b>4</b> of <b>9</b>

Bidder Name: M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited

ITan		inted		
	Post Graduation in Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Structural Engineering/ Geotechnical Engineering			
	<b>Remarks:-</b> The bidder has claimed 4 marks examination, it has been observed that the deg personnel is not authenticated. Hence, not con be no change in marks.	gree provided b	y the bidder for	proposed ke
	Bridge/Structural Engineer: Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects: <10 years : 0 10 years : 11 Add one mark extra for each additional 2 year subject to maximum 4(four) marks.	15	13	13
5	Remarks:- The bidder claimed 15 marks for handling Highway/ Bridge projects. Howe observed that the key personnel have 15 mentioned at Sr. No. 1 & 3 to 9 which has a 13 marks have been allotted in this criter considered as the project is not Highway or change in marks.	ver, after re years 10 mon already been c rion. Project	-examination, ths experience considered and at sr. no. 2 h	it has been in project accordingly as not been
5	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures: <2 - 0 2 nos 8 marks >=3 nos 10	10	8	8
	<b>Remarks:</b> -Bidder claimed 10 marks for Expe of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridge structures. The bidder claimed 2 nos. of However, projects has been re-examined ar already been considered for having 3 nos. o there is no major bridge which is required not been considered for evaluation. Therefore	es/ROB/Flyove project men nd observed th f major bridge as per criteri	r/ Interchange tioned at Sr. nat project at S es. For project a & hence the	s/ any othe No. 8 to 9 Sr. No. 8 ha at Sr. No. 9
6	Road Safety Expert Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/ Bridge projects: <10 years - 0 10 years - 11 marks Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	15	12	12
	<b>Remarks:</b> - The bidder claimed 15 marks for handling Highway/ Bridge projects. Howe observed that the key personnel have 11 already been considered and accordingly, criterion. Hence, there will be no change in Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety	ver, after re- years 10 mor 12 marks h marks.	examination, oths experience ave been allo	it has beer e which ha tted in thi
	works on Major Highway Project (similar	15	0	0
~	my for the			Page <b>5</b> of <b>9</b>

Bidder Name: M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited

configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above):						
<5 years - 0						
5 years - 11						
Add one mark extra for each additional year						
of experience subject to maximum 4 (four)						
marks.						
Remarks:- The bidder claimed 15 marks for	having Exper	ience in simila	r capacity in			
Road Safety works on Major Highway Project	ct. However, a	after re-examin	ation, it has			
been observed that the key personnel have						
capacity which has already been considered						
allotted in this criterion (less than 5 years)		0.77				
similar capacity, hence, not consider for						
	evaluation. II	lefelore, there	e will be no			
change in marks.						
Experience in similar capacity of preparing						
Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban						
Highway:	5	4	4			
1 project -4 marks						
2 or more project -5 marks						
Remarks:- The bidder claimed 5 marks for the						
69 for having experience in above mentioned cr	69 for having experience in above mentioned criteria. However, after re-examination, it has					
been observed project at Sr. No. 67 has alre	been observed project at Sr. No. 67 has already been considered in earlier evaluation.					
Project at Sr. No. 28 has not been considered	as Road Safety	/ Management P	lans for Inter			
Urban Highway work could not be located. Furt	her, projects at	t Sr. No. 65, 68 8	£ 69 have not			
been considered as the project does not fall un	der similar cap	acity which is re	quired as per			
criteria. Hence, there will be no change in mar		12476				

6. Accordingly, based on the clarification of the bidder, the technical bid has been examined and final summary of the technical evaluation/scores has been updated as given below:-

Sr. No.	Particulars		Max Points	Points Scored	Marks of		
1	Relevant experie	Relevant experience for the assignment			key	Projects under NHIDCL	
2	Experience in use inspection	of technology for road	20	20	personnel		
3	Qualifications and staff for the assig	d competence of the key Inment	40	34.96			
	J M Patnaik	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.90	89		
	Krishna Kant Tiwari	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.48	87		
	Sayeed Mukhtar	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 2	4	3.64	91	2 Nos. (1 in Assam &	
	Anil Kumar Yadav	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.45	89	1 in Arunachal Pradesh)	
	Ajay	Senior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91		
	Mohit Mohan	Senior Quality cum	4	3.54	88.50		

m

Page 6 of 9

Bido	ler Name: M/s Mar	Marks of key	Projects under NHIDCL			
	Agrawal	Material Expert 1				
	Rajeev Ranjan	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.60	90	-
	Ram Kanwar Sehrawat	Road Safety Expert	4	2.80	70	
		Т	otal Marks	93.71		

Sr.	Particulars Max Points						
No. 1		·	Points	Scored	Marks of key	Projects	
	Relevant experie	nce for the assignment	40	40	personnel	under NHIDCL	
2	Experience in use inspection	e of technology for road	20	15	_		
3	Qualifications an staff for the assis	d competence of the key gnment	40	35.46			
	Pramod Kumar	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.70	87		
	Ashok Kumar	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.64	91		
	Debaprosad Chakrabarty	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 2	4	3.64	91		
	P. Ratnam	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.35	87	Nil	
	Satyanarayan Sahu	Senior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91	- Andressours I	
	Raman Mishra	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	4	3.78	95		
	Pramod Kumar Ray	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.64	91		
	Tejas Patel	Road Safety Expert	4	3.16	79		
	1	Tot	al Marks	90.46			

Sr. No.		nge Engineering and Management Consultant Particulars		Points Scored		
1	Relevant experience for the assignment		Points 40	40	Marks of key	Projects under NHIDCL
2	Experience in use of technology for road inspection		20	16	personnel	
3	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment		40	36.27		
	Arun Kumar Sharma	Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer	10	8.60	86	M/s Feedback Infra Private
	Basant Kumar Singh	Resident Engineer Cum Highway Engineer 1	4	3.64	91	Limited: 1 No. in Manipur
	Gunde Raju	Resident Engineer Cum	4	3.64	91	

A

m

1

le

nenge cngineering	enge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.					
	Highway Engineer 2				M/s Armenge	
Ramudu Bellala	Bridge/Structural Engineer	5	4.75	95	Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.: 1 No. in Arunachal Pradesh	
Girish Patil	Senior Pavement Specialist	5	4.55	91		
K Siva Rama Krishna	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 1	4	3.64	91		
Ashis Nandi	Senior Quality cum Material Expert 2	4	3.61	90.20		
K. Venkata Ramana Murty	Road Safety Expert	4	3.84	96		
		Total Marks	92.27			

# 7. The final evaluation scores of the bidder are as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Previous Score	Final Score	Projects under NHIDCL	
1	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	93.71	93.71	2 Nos. (1 in Assam 1 in Arunachal Pradesh)	
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.		Technically No	,	
3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	90.46	90.46	Nil	
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.27	92.27	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited: 1 No. in Manipur M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultant Pvt. Ltd.: 1 No. in Arunacha Pradesh	
5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Technically Non-Responsive			
6	Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant				
Z	Aroch	W'	Ans-		

8. In light of the above stated facts, Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) have deliberated in detail and recommended to upload the final technical scores on Infracon, NHIDCL website & CPP Portal and the Committee recommended to open Financial Bids with approval of the Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

W. Blah (ED-V) Convener

K.C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary/ Presenting Officer

R. S. Puri, GM (T) Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (F) Member

3<sup>rd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) on 27.07.2021 to evaluate the Technical bids for CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

(i) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 52.470 km to Ch.71.500 km (Design Ch.48.655 km to Ch.67.556 km) of Tulungia- Jogighopa Bridge Approach Section (Package-5) of Bilasipura- Guwahati road (NH-17) in the state of Assam under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC mode.

(ii) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 88.000km to Ch.99.930 km (Design Ch.84.100km to Ch.96.000km) of the Sagunbashi forest (near Nichinta) Bypass to the starting of Krishnai Bypass Section (Package-7) of Bilasipura-Guwahati road (NH 17) in the state of Assam on EPC mode - held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

The RFP for the subject work was invited on 19.04.2021 with Bid due date 17.06.2021 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 18.06.2021.

2. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) earlier vide 2nd Minutes of Meeting dated 23.07.2021 had uploaded the Technical Result on NHIDCL website vide letter no. NHIDCL/Assam/Bil-Ghy/AE/Pkg-5&7/195538/1022, dated 26.07.2021 with proposal of opening of financial bids on 27.07.2021 at 15:00 hrs.

The Committee noted that 1 (One) of the bidder namely, M/s Egis India 3. Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited is debarred from Bihar State Road Development Corporation Ltd. vide letter no. BSRDC Ltd.3384/2020-1180, dated 15.04.2021. Further, it has been observed that, the bidder has not intimated the authority regarding the debarment order before bid opening of subject project and uploaded his bid documents on CPP Portal & Infracon Portal.

4. In view of the above, the bidder, M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited as debarred from BSRDC, hence, the bidder is now considered Technically Non-Responsive.

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Previous Score	Final Score	Projects under NHIDCL	
1	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	93.71	93.71	2 Nos. (1 in Assam 1 in Arunachal Pradesh)	
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive			
3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s		Technically Non-Responsive		
	frout	Im		Page <b>1</b> of <b>2</b>	

5. The final evaluation scores of the bidder are as follows:

Page 1 of 2

	Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited				
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.27	92.27	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited: 1 No. in Manipur M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.: 1 No. in Arunachal Pradesh	
5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Technically Non-Responsive			
6	Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant	Technically Non-Responsive			

6. In light of the above stated facts, Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) have deliberated in detail and recommended to upload the final technical scores on NHIDCL website and the Committee recommended to open Financial Bids with approval of the Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

W. Blah

(ED-V) Convener

K.C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary/ Presenting Officer

On tan

R. S. Puri, GM (T) Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (F) Member

4<sup>th</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) on 28.07.2021 to evaluate the Technical bids for CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION OF:

(i) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 52.470 km to Ch.71.500 km (Design Ch.48.655 km to Ch.67.556 km) of Tulungia- Jogighopa Bridge Approach Section (Package-5) of Bilasipura- Guwahati road (NH-17) in the state of Assam under Bharatmala Pariyojana on EPC mode.

(ii) Widening/Improvement to 4 (Four) Lane with Paved Shoulder from Ch. 88.000km to Ch.99.930 km (Design Ch.84.100km to Ch.96.000km) of the Sagunbashi forest (near Nichinta) Bypass to the starting of Krishnai Bypass Section (Package-7) of Bilasipura-Guwahati road (NH 17) in the state of Assam on EPC mode - held at NHIDCL, New Delhi.

The RFP for the subject work was invited on 19.04.2021 with Bid due date 17.06.2021 and subsequently technical bids were opened on 18.06.2021.

2. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) earlier vide 3rd Minutes of Meeting dated 27.07.2021 had uploaded the Technical Result on NHIDCL website vide letter no. NHIDCL/Assam/Bil-Ghy/AE/Pkg-5&7/195538/1025, dated 27.07.2021 with proposal of opening of financial bids on 28.07.2021 at 11:00 hrs.

3. With reference to the result uploaded vide letter stated above, the bidder, M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited vide letter no. EGIS INDIA/71511/2021, dated 27.07.2021 informed that the BSRDC letter no. BSRDC Ltd.3384/2020-1180 dated 15.04.2021 vide which the firm was debarred has been set aside by the Hon'ble Patna High Court vide its order dated 15.06.2021 with respect to the Writ Petition no. 10539 stating that the petitioner "M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd." in this case may be given an opportunity of hearing on the ground of natural justice.

4. In view of the above, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommended to consider the bidder, M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited as Technically Responsive.

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Previous Score	Final Score	Projects under NHIDCL	
1	M/s Marc Technocrates Private Limited	93.71	93.71	2 Nos. (1 in Assam 1 in Arunachal Pradesh)	
2	M/s Hexa Co. in JV with M/s SOWiL Limited in Association with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive			
١	E frout	lin	/	Page <b>1</b> of <b>2</b>	

5. The final evaluation scores of the bidder are as follows:

3	M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Translink Infrastructure Consultants Private Limited	90.46	90.46	Nil
4	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited in JV with M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	92.27	92.27	M/s Feedback Infra Private Limited: 1 No. in Manipur M/s Armenge Engineering and Management Consultants Pvt. Ltd.: 1 No. in Arunachal Pradesh
5	M/s K&J Projects Private Limited in Association with M/s JAIMAN Construction and Consultants LLP	Technically Non-Responsive		
6	Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with M/s MS Consultant	Technically Non-Responsive		

6. In light of the above stated facts, Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) have deliberated in detail and recommended to upload the final technical scores on NHIDCL website and the Committee recommended to open Financial Bids with approval of the Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

W. Blah (ED-V) Convener

K.C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary/ Presenting Officer

Ou -lour R. S. Puri, GM (T) Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (F) Member