राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001
National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com
BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062
(भारत सरकार का उद्यम) (A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./Civil Work/Foot Track/Mipi-Andra/2021/2489 22.07.2021

To,

All the Bidders

Subject: Construction of Foot Track from Mipi to Andra La Omkar from KM 0.000 to KM 35.100 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode - **Financial Bid Opening-** ***Reg.***

Ref.: Tender ID No. **2021_NHIDC_635159_1** with bid due date 13.07.2021.

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother	Technically Responsive
2	M/s Buru Enterprises	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Sunny Construction	**Technically Non Responsive**
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	Technically Responsive
6	M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	Technically Responsive
7	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive
8	M/s Vivek Enterprises	Technically Responsive
9	M/s Salo Enterprises	Technically Responsive
10	M/s P.P. Enterprises	Technically Responsive
11	M/s Monyul Enterprises	Technically Responsive

12	M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.	Technically Responsive
13	M/s Tamchi Kusuk	Technically Responsive
14	M/s N.D. Enterprises	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 26.07.2021 at 11.30 AM in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl.: -**As above.**

(A.K.Jha)

General Manager(Tech.)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: **"Construction of Foot Track from Mipi to Andra La Omkar from KM 0.000 to KM 35.100 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode."** held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at **on 20.07.2021.**

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 13.07.2021.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

(i) M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother
(ii) M/s N.D. Enterprises
(iii) M/s Tamchi Kusuk
(iv) M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.
(v) M/s Monyul Enterprises
(vi) M/s P.P.Enterprises
(vii) M/s Salo Enterprises
(viii) M/s Vivek Enterprises
(ix) M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency
(x) M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction
(xi) M/s Vishwas construction Co.
(xii) M/s Sunny Construction
(xiii) M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
(xiv) M/s Buru Enterprises

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 35.32 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	35.32
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	17.66
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	5.30
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	5.30
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	1.77
9	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	5.30
10	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	17.66

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 16.07.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 20.07. 2021.Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of TEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received from the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathireddy and Brothers	(i) Annexure V not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure V as per RFP format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
2	M/s N.D. Enterprises	(i) Annexure I (Detail of bidder) is not submitted as per RFP Format. (ii) Annexure V (Statement of Legal Capacity) is unsigned submitted. Please Clarify (iii) Audited Balance sheet of all five Years could not be located. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure I as per RFP format. (ii) The bidder has submitted Signed Annexure V. (iii) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance Sheet of all five yeras.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
3	M/s Sunny Constructions	(i) Appendix x, xi could not be located. Please Clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted Appendix X, XI as per RFP format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was observed by the committee that the bidder has no similar work. As per RFP Clause 2.2.2.2 "at least one similar work of 15% of Estimated Project Cost shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to

				be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 15% of the estimated project cost" Since the bidder does not have any similar work the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive
4	M/s P.P. Enterprises	(i) As per Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20, 2018-19 the contract receipt includes gross profit from trading account. Please clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted bifurcation for the contract receipt for FY 2019-20, 2018-19.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	(i) As per RFP Annexure IV, Statutory Auditor Certificate is required for the year wise break up of receivable value could not be located for all projects submitted. Please clarify. (ii) Notes of Revenue from operation of all five years could not be located. Please clarify. (iii) POA could not be located. Please Clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor certificate which reflects year wise break up of receivable value submitted for Annexure IV. (ii) The bidder has submitted notes for Revenue from operations for all five years. (iii) The bidder has submitted POA as per RFP format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

6. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.

7. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Buru Enterprises	Technically Responsive	Arunachal Pradesh=1
3	M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Sunny Construction	**Technically Non Responsive**	0
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	Technically Responsive	0
6	M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	Technically Responsive	0
7	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive	Arunachal Pradesh=1
8	M/s Vivek Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
9	M/s Salo Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
10	M/s P.P. Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
11	M/s Monyul Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
12	M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.	Technically Responsive	0
13	M/s Tamchi Kusuk	Technically Responsive	0
14	M/s N.D. Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0

8. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 13 (Thirteen) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED) Chairman	B. Shivprasad (GM-Tech) Member	A.K. Jha (GM-Tech) Member	Bhaskar Mallaick Manager -Finance Member

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs.17.66 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 5.30 Cr.
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother	81.64 Cr	Yes (Rs 33.49 Cr)
2	M/s Buru Enterprises	133.99 Cr	Yes (Rs 25.57 Cr)
3	M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	72.00 Cr	Yes (Rs 47.67 Cr)
4	M/s Sunny Construction	34.36 Cr	Yes (Rs **0** Cr)
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	27.57 Cr	Yes (Rs 7.68 Cr)
6	M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	20.01Cr	Yes (Rs 11.98 Cr)
7	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	44.19Cr	Yes (Rs 11.04 Cr)
8	M/s Vivek Enterprises	46.07 Cr	Yes (Rs 20.31 Cr)
9	M/s Salo Enterprises	112.80 Cr	Yes (Rs 25.33 Cr)
10	M/s P.P.Enterprises	76.25 Cr	Yes (Rs 8.07 Cr)
11	M/s Monyul Enterprises	33.82 Cr	Yes (Rs 20.10 Cr)
12	M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.	85.94 Cr	Yes (Rs 64.32 Cr)
13	M/s Tamchi Kusuk	50.84 Cr	Yes (Rs 124.89 Cr)
14	M/s N.D. Enterprises	149.47 Cr	Yes (Rs 31.76 Cr)

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Net Worth (in INR 1.77 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 5.30 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother	SE	7.43 Cr	70.53 Cr	Y
2	M/s Buru Enterprises	SE	34.25 Cr	Cr	Y
3	M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	SE	20.76 Cr	84.84 Cr	Y
4	M/s Sunny Construction	SE	5.91Cr	24.60 Cr	Y
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	SE	7.85 Cr	19.44 Cr	Y
6	M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	SE	3.01Cr	10.15 Cr	Y
7	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	SE	29.91Cr	41.21 Cr	Y
8	M/s Vivek Enterprises	SE	12.45 Cr	43.44 Cr	Y
9	M/s Salo Enterprises	SE	23.11 Cr	33.45 Cr	Y
10	M/s P.P.Enterprises	SE	2.80 Cr	22.09 Cr	Y
11	M/s Monyul Enterprises	SE	15.90 Cr	13.96 Cr	Y
12	M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.	SE	6.74 Cr	23.51 Cr	Y
13	M/s Tamchi Kusuk	SE	74.30 Cr	61.33 Cr	Y

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Net Worth (in INR 1.77 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 5.30 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
14	M/s N.D. Enterprises	SE	15.44 Cr	29.88 Cr	Y

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment									
Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 17.66 Crore									
S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s Paidala Tirupathi Reddy and Brother	2016-17	1.15	79.98	88.53	1.5	139.53	**192.45**	Yes
2	M/s Buru Enterprises	2017-18	1.1	78.35	86.19	1.5	0	**323.19**	Yes
3	M/s Upakar Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	2018-19	1.05	98.51	103.44	1.5	11.98	**375.90**	Yes
4	M/s Sunny Construction	2019-20	1.00	23.34	23.34	1.5	15.5	**72.03**	Yes
5	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	2019-20	1.00	23.05	23.05	1.5	4.05	**82.39**	Yes
6	M/s Rinya Yangfo Construction	2015-16	1.20	12.12	14.54	1.5	2.29	**52.25**	Yes
7	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	2019-20	1.00	66.42	66.42	1.5	1.99	**247.09**	Yes
8	M/s Vivek Enterprises	2019-20	1.00	47.99	47.99	1.5	0	**179.96**	Yes
9	M/s Salo Enterprises	2019-20	1.00	43.64	43.64	1.5	0	**163.65**	Yes

10	M/s P.P. Enterprises	2015-16	1.20	41.05	49.26	1.5	7.5	**177.123**	Yes
11	M/s Monyul Enterprises	2018-19	1.05	18.98	19.93	1.5	0	**74.73**	Yes
12	M/s Kampung Kamyer Trading & Co.	2017-18	1.10	23.46	25.81	1.5	5.99	**90.78**	Yes
13	M/s Tamchi Kusuk	2015-16	1.20	98.84	118.61	1.5	39.14	**405.64**	Yes
14	M/s N.D. Enterprises	2019-20	1.00	47.89	47.89	1.5	0	**179.59**	Yes