राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY

BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम) (A Government of India Enterprise)

No. NHIDCL/Civil Work/A.P/ Foot Track/ Tungri to Samchung /2021 **Date: 16.07.2021**

To

All Respective Bidders,

Subject:- Construction of Foot Track from Tungri to Samchung from KM 0.000 to KM 29.546 in East Kameng in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (the "EPC") Mode

Reference Tender IDs: 2021_NHIDC_628900_1

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status
1.	M/s LG Chaudhary	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Bass Forum	**Technically Non- Responsive**
3.	M/s Godara Construction Company	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	**Technically Non- Responsive**
6.	M/s Jony Enterprises	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s M.D Earthmovers	**Technically Non- Responsive**
8.	M/s Tenzing Construction	Technically Responsive
9.	M/s Dagmo Riba	Technically Responsive
10.	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive
11.	M/s Pera Enterprise	**Technically Non- Responsive**
12.	M/s RD Enterprises	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 19.07.2021 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl: - As Stated above.

A.K.Jha
GM(Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: **"Construction of Foot Track from Tungri to Samchung from KM 0.000 to KM 29.546 in East Kameng in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (the "EPC") Mode"** held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at **on 15.07.2021.**

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 06.07.2021.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

(i) M/s Dagmo Riba
(ii) M/s Jony Enterprises
(iii) M/s M.D Earthmovers
(iv) M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency
(v) M/s Vishwas construction Co.
(vi) M/s Pera Enterprise
(vii) M/s RD Enterprises
(viii) M/s Tenzing Construction
(ix) M/s Bass Forum
(x) M/s LG Chaudhary
(xi) M/s Param Jyoti Saikia
(xii) M/s Godara Construction Company

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 40.57 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	40.57
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	20.29
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	6.09
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	6.09
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	2.03
9	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	6.09
10	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	20.29

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. It was bought to the notice of the Committee that M/s Pera Enterprise was awarded L1 in state of Arunachal Pradesh for the project "Construction of Foot Track from Mipi to Andra La Omkar from KM 0.000 to KM 35.100 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode." The certificate which were submitted by the bidder were verified by the issuing Agency and it was observed that the bidder has produced fake certificates due to which show cause notice have been issued to the bidder and the tender have been reinvented. As per RFP section 2 clause 2.6.3 the bid of the M/s Pera Enterprise is considered as Non Responsive after the approval of the competent authority.

6. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on **09.07.2021,** replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on **15.07. 2021.**Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of TEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received from the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	(i) As per RFP section 2 Clause number 2.1.8 "The BID and all communications in relation to or concerning the Bidding Documents and the BID shall be in English language" it has been observed that POA is submitted in other language. Please clarify. (ii) Integrity pact could not be located, Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has POA as per RFP format. (ii) The bidder has submitted Integrity Pact as per RFP Format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
2	M/s Bass Forum	(i) Resubmit GST number in clear print. (ii) Annexure II (Technical Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure IV (Details of Eligible Projects) are not submitted as per RFP Format. Please clarify. (iii) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). If audited balance sheet of FY 2019-20 is going to be	(i) The bidder has submitted GST number in clear print. (ii) The bidder has submitted Annexure II (Technical Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure IV (Details of Eligible Projects) as per RFP format. (iii) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was observed that the committee that the bidder has submitted four projects for the technical evaluation. It was observed that the bidder has submitted additional project named as "construction of chamata rampur road via tapa to reserve" which cannot be considered for the evaluation. Accordingly the Following projects were considered for the technical evaluation "Construction of Road Nayanpur to Rakshaman", "Construction of anal

		submitted, Accordingly Appendix X, Appendix XI needs to be submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify. (iv) If, the Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 is unaudited till date, please clarify that as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the firm should be 5% of the EPC i.e. 2.03 Cr whereas, as per Audited Balance sheet of 2018-19 the net worth is Rs 1.63 Cr which is less than the required. (v) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the average annual Turnover of the firm should be 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 6.09 Cr as per the Audited Balance sheet submitted of FY 2018-19, 2017-18, 2016-17, 2015-16, 2014-15 the average is Rs 6.00 Cr which is less than the required. Please clarify. (vi) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.	(iv) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20 and the Net worth is Rs 2.46 Cr which is more than the required. (v) The bidder has submitted updated Appendix xi as per FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18,FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 and accordingly the average is Rs 8.83 C r which is more than the required. (vi) The bidder has submitted certificate from authority for single work under category 1 & 3.	maintenance of fuural Rotds of NH-31 to Choto Dighaitari,PMGSY" , "Construction of of Road from Purni to Harirhat Rord -3.9 km of G.LRoad to lloroChartikhola RoAd including C/S drainage,PMGSY" But it was observed that the Threshold Technical Capacity of the firm is Rs 8.72 Cr but as per RFP section 7 the Threshold Technical capacity should be 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 20.29 Cr which is less than the required. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	(i) Resubmit Authority certificate of both the projects submitted for Annexure IV in clear Print. (ii) Statutory Auditor Certificate for project code B could not be located. Please clarify. (iii) Resubmit UDIN number submitted for Appendix X in clear print.	(i) The bidder has submitted authority certificate of both the projects submitted for Annexure IV in clear Print. (ii) The bidder has statutory Auditor Certificate for project code B. (iii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number in clear print	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive

		(iv) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise Turnover value of all five years. Please clarify	of Appendix X, (iv) The bidder claries that the value uploaded in UDIN on ICAI Portal is the average of all five years.	
4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	(i) Notes of Revenue from operation of all five years could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) POA is not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted Notes of Revenue from operation of all five years. (ii) The bidder has submitted POA as per RFP format.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	(i) Statutory Auditor Certificate for project code A, B could not be located. Please clarify (ii) As per RFP section 7 "DATA SHEET" the financial years for which the bid is invited are as FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 it was observed that the Appendix X, Appendix XI are submitted as per FY 2020-21. (iii) AS PER FY 2019-20 the Net worth of the firm is Rs 1.72 Cr but as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the firm should be Rs 2.03 Cr which is less than the required. Please clarify.	(i) The bidder has submitted Statutory auditor certificate for project code A,B. (ii) The bidder clarifies that "For the purpose of calculation of our technical and financial eligibility for the project, we have provided figures for the FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21, considering clause of the RFP (Due Date of Bid: 6th July, 2021, which states it shall ignore the latest financial year for the purpose of bid only if the Bid Due Date falls within three months of the closing of the latest financial year. (iii) The bidder clarifies that "As per the RFP Clause 2.2.2.3, the Bidder shall have a minimum Net Worth of Rs. 2.03 Cr at the close of the preceding Financial Year. So, we have provided Net Worth at	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was observed by the committee that the bidder has submitted provisional Audited Balance sheet of FY 2020-21 which cannot be considered. Accordingly, the net worth of FY 2020-21 is Rs 1.72 Cr as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the firm should be 5% of the EPC i.e. Rs 2.03 which is less than the required. Therefore, committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive

			the close of FY 2020-2l considering 2020-21 as the latest Financial Year as per Clause 2.1,.1,3 of the RFP.	
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	(i) Re submit authority certificate for project code a, b, c submitted for annexure IV in clear print.	(i) The bidder has submitted authority certificate for project code a, b, c in clear print.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	(i) Re submit authority certificate for project code a, b, c, d submitted for annexure IV in clear print.	(i) The bidder has submitted authority certificate for project code a, b, c, d in clear print.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It was observed that Project code A, D are work in progress and the progress is less than 90% there for as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 "for consideration of similar work at least 90% value of the work has been completed" therefore project code A, D not considered for similar work. For Project code B the gross amount of work done is Rs 3.23 Cr whereas Project code D the gross amount of work done is Rs 4.35 Cr as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 for consideration of similar work, work should be at least 15% completed of the EPC i.e. Rs 6.09 Cr. Accordingly, project code B,C are not considered for similar work. Since the bidder does not have any similar work. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.

8. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Bass Forum	**Technically Non- Responsive**	0
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	Technically Responsive	0

4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	Technically Responsive	0
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	**Technically Non- Responsive**	0
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	**Technically Non- Responsive**	0
8	M/s Tenzing Construction	Technically Responsive	0
9	M/s Dagmo Riba	Technically Responsive	0
10	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	Technically Responsive	0
11	M/s Pera Enterprise	**Technically Non- Responsive**	0
12	M/s RD Enterprises	Technically Responsive	0

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 8 (Eight) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia
(ED)
Chairman

B. Shivprasad
(GM-Tech)
Member

A.K. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member

Bhaskar Mallaick
DGM -Finance
Member

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs.20.29 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 6.09 Cr.
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	92.17 Cr	Yes (Rs 39.78 Cr)
2	M/s Bass Forum	**8.72 Cr**	Yes (Rs 6.89Cr)
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	28.44 Cr	Yes (Rs 20.15 Cr)
4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	27.57 Cr	Yes (Rs 7.68 Cr)
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	31.78 Cr	**No (Rs 0 Cr)**
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	78.58 Cr	Yes (Rs 16.55 Cr)
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	38.89 Cr	**No (Rs 0 Cr)**
8	M/s Tenzing Construction	50.13 Cr	Yes (Rs 30.50 Cr)
9	M/s Dagmo Riba	63.10 Cr	Yes (Rs 30.44 Cr)
10	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	44.19 Cr	Yes (Rs 11.50 Cr)
11	M/s Pera Enterprise	**Non Responsive**	
12	M/s RD Enterprises	47.73 Cr	Yes (Rs 12.72 Cr)

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Net Worth (in INR 2.03 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 6.09 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	SE	25.26 Cr	137.68 Cr	Y
2	M/s Bass Forum	SE	2.46 Cr	8.68 Cr	Y
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	SE	3.72 Cr	17.89 Cr	Y
4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	SE	7.85 Cr	19.44 Cr	Y
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	SE	1.72 Cr	7.67 Cr	Y
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	SE	4.55 Cr	17.54 Cr	Y
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	SE	9.10 Cr	37.56 Cr	Y
8	M/s Tenzing Construction	SE	10.40 Cr	15.40 Cr	Y
9	M/s Dagmo Riba	SE	8.81 Cr	65.58 Cr	Y
10	M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency	SE	29.91 Cr	41.21 Cr	Y
11	M/s Pera Enterprise	**Non Responsive**			
12	M/s RD Enterprises	SE	22.80 Cr	25.13 Cr	Y

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment									
Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 20.29 Crore									
S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	2018-19	1.05	175.11	183.87	1.5	159.45	**530.05**	Yes
2	M/s Bass Forum	2019-20	1	16.68	116.68	1.5	0	**62.55**	Yes
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	2016-17	1.15	20.8	23.92	1.5	0	**89.70**	Yes
4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	2019-20	1	23.05	23.05	1.5	4.05	**82.39**	Yes
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	2019-20	1.05	11.14	11.70	1.5	19.69	**24.17**	Yes
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	2019-20	1	42.90	42.90	1.5	0	**160.88**	Yes
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	2017-18	1.10	45.44	49.98	1.5	3.68	**183.76**	Yes
8	M/s Tenzing Construction	2018-19	1.05	26.80	28.14	1.5	27.32	**78.21**	Yes
9	M/s Dagmo Riba	2018-19	1.05	63.01	66.16	1.5	2.98	**245.12**	Yes
10	M/s North East Engineering & Construction	2019-20	1.00	66.42	66.42	1.5	1.99	**247.09**	Yes

	Agency								
11	M/s Pera Enterprise	Non Responsive							
12	M/s RD Enterprises	2018-19	1.05	33.27	34.93	1.5	28.88	102.12	Yes

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for **"Construction of Foot Track from Tungri to Samchung from KM 0.000 to KM 29.546 in East Kameng in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (the "EPC") Mode"** held at NHIDCL, **New Delhi at 1500 Hrs on 09.07.2021**

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 06.07.2021.

2. Technical Bid Opening Committee (TBC) met to open the technical Bids on 07.07.2021 at 1530 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

(i) M/s Dagmo Riba
(ii) M/s Jony Enterprises
(iii) M/s M.D Earthmovers
(iv) M/s North East Engineering & Construction Agency
(v) M/s Vishwas construction Co.
(vi) M/s Pera Enterprise
(vii) M/s RD Enterprises
(viii) M/s Tenzing Construction
(ix) M/s Bass Forum
(x) M/s LG Chaudhary
(xi) M/s Param Jyoti Saikia
(xii) M/s Godara Construction Company

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 40.57 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	40.57
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	20.29
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	6.09
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	6.09
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	2.03
9	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	6.09
10	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	20.29

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. It was bought to the notice of the Committee that M/s Pera Enterprise was awarded L1 in state of Arunachal Pradesh for the project "Construction of Foot Track from Mipi to Andra La Omkar from KM 0.000 to KM 35.100 in Anini District of the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode." The certificate which were submitted by the bidder were verified by the

issuing Agency and it was observed that the bidder has produced fake certificates due to which show cause notice have been issued to the bidder and the tender have been reinvented. As per RFP section 2 clause 2.6.3 the bid of the M/s Pera Enterprise is considered as Non Responsive after the approval of the competent authority.

5. The details of bidders and the clarification to be sought are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought
1	M/s LG Chaudhary	(i) As per RFP section 2 Clause number 2.1.8 "The BID and all communications in relation to or concerning the Bidding Documents and the BID shall be in English language" it has been observed that POA is submitted in other language. Please clarify. (ii) Integrity pact could not be located, Please clarify.
2	M/s Bass Forum	(i) Resubmit GST number in clear print. (ii) Annexure II (Technical Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder), Annexure IV (Details of Eligible Projects) are not submitted as per RFP Format. Please clarify. (iii) The balance sheet for FY 2019-20 could not be located, if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.8 (ii). If audited balance sheet of FY 2019-20 is going to be submitted, Accordingly Appendix X, Appendix XI needs to be submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify. (iv) If, the Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 is unaudited till date, please clarify that as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the firm should be 5% of the EPC i.e. 2.03 Cr whereas, as per Audited Balance sheet of 2018-19 the net worth is Rs 1.63 Cr which is less than the required. (v) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the average annual Turnover of the firm should be 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 6.09 Cr as per the Audited Balance sheet submitted of FY 2018-19, 2017-18, 2016-17, 2015-16, 2014-15 the average is Rs 6.00 Cr which is less than the required. Please clarify. (vi) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located .Please identify the page number and clarify.
3	M/s Godara Construction Company	(i) Resubmit Authority certificate of both the projects submitted for Annexure IV in clear Print. (ii) Statutory Auditor Certificate for project code B could not be located. Please clarify. (iii) Resubmit UDIN number submitted for Appendix X in clear print. (iv)UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict year wise Turnover value of all five years. Please clarify
4	M/s Vishwas construction Co.	(i) Notes of Revenue from operation of all five years could not be located. Please clarify. (ii) POA is not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.
5	M/s Param Jyoti Saikia	(i) Statutory Auditor Certificate for project code A, B could not be located. Please clarify (ii) As per RFP section 7 "DATA SHEET" the financial years for which the bid is invited are as FY

		2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 it was observed that the Appendix X, Appendix XI are submitted as per FY 2020-21. (iii) AS PER FY 2019-20 the Net worth of the firm is Rs 1.72 Cr but as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the firm should be Rs 2.03 Cr which is less than the required. Please clarify.
6	M/s Jony Enterprises	(i) Re submit authority certificate for project code a, b, c submitted for annexure IV in clear print.
7	M/s M.D Earthmovers	(i) Re submit authority certificate for project code a, b, c, d submitted for annexure IV in clear print.

7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) decided to ask for the above tabulated clarification after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED) Chairman	B. Shivprasad (GM-Tech) Member	A.K. Jha (GM-Tech) Member	Bhaskar Mallaick Manager Finance Member