

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/MANIPUR/TAMENGLONG-MAHUR/2020/PKG-4/190629/2231

Dated: 14.07.2021

To,  
All the Bidders, (listed below)

**Sub:** Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur from km 43.480 near Phelong Village to km 54.180 near Azuram Village (Length- 10.700 km) (Package-4) on EPC mode (2<sup>nd</sup> Call) - Financial Opening - Regd.


**Ref:** NIT & RFP Bid document uploaded on CPP Portal on 25.05.2021 with Bid due date 23.06.2021.

**Tender Id:** 2021\_NHIDC\_ 631157 \_1

Based on Technical Evaluation, Following 11 (Eleven) bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Roadridge Developers Private Limited-M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive
2	M/s A G Construction	Responsive
3	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Responsive
4	M/s Durga Construction Company	Responsive
5	M/s R S V Constructions Private Limited	Responsive
6	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Responsive
7	M/s J. K. Associates	Responsive
8	M/s K.C.V.R. Infra Projects Private Limited	Responsive
9	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	Responsive
10	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive
11	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Responsive

2. Authority shall open the financial bids of all Technically Responsive bidders on 15.07.2021 (Thursday) at 15.00 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor PTI Building, 4 - Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001 in the presence of the Authorized Representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

  
(K. C. Bhatt) 14/7/21

Dy. General Manager (Tech)

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS & INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED**  
**(Technical division)**

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 13.07.2021 for "Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur from km 43.480 near Phelong Village to km 54.180 near Azuram Village (Length- 10.700 km) (Package-4) on EPC mode."

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 25.05.2021 with Bid due date 23.06.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 24.06.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 11 (Eleven) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Roadridge Developers Private Limited-M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
2	M/s A G Construction
3	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP
4	M/s Durga Construction Company
5	M/s R S V Constructions Private Limited
6	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited
7	M/s J. K. Associates
8	M/s K.C.V.R. Infra Projects Private Limited
9	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited
10	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited
11	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid docum ent Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Roadridge Developers Private Limited-M/s Sunshine Overseas	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required )	Bid docum ent Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
	Pvt. Ltd. (JV)							
2	M/s A G Construction	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Durga Construction Company	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s R S V Constructions Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s J. K. Associates	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s K.C.V.R. Infra Projects Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 11 (Eleven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty-Three Thousand Six Hundred Only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 149.68 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	149.68
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	74.84
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	44.90
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.97
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15%</b> of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	22.45

*[Handwritten signatures and initials]*

6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	7.48
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	7.48
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	7.48
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.49
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.50
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	22.45
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.47
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.49
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	74.84
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	44.90
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.97

7. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

8. Further the Committee had sought clarification from M/s Oasis Technocons Limited w.r.t to their submitted documents. The bidder has furnished their comments as below:

Head	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
Bid Capacity	2.2.2.1 of RFP	To calculate the bid capacity, maximum value of civil engineering works is taken as value of "A". However, in the financial statements submitted by bidder revenue from operation is described as Manufacturing of Goods. Please clarify how the value of "A" has taken from revenue from operation.	It is hereby clarified that our company's sole revenue come from execution of Road Works. The word Manufacturing has been printed inadvertently in the balance sheets. Clarification in this regard from our auditor is hereby submitted.	Found satisfactory.

12



9. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:


Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Roadridge Developers Private Limited-M/s Sunshine Overseas Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Responsive
2	M/s A G Construction	Technically Responsive
3	M/s JKM Infra Works LLP	Technically Responsive
4	M/s Durga Construction Company	Technically Responsive
5	M/s R S V Constructions Private Limited	Technically Responsive
6	M/s B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive
7	M/s J. K. Associates	Technically Responsive
8	M/s K.C.V.R. Infra Projects Private Limited	Technically Responsive
9	M/s Oasis Technocons Limited	Technically Responsive
10	M/s BKD Infrastructure Private Limited	Technically Responsive
11	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically Responsive

10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.


11. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole or JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

12. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above all 11 (Eleven) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 11 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener

  
K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary

  
A K Jha,  
GM (T)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager(Fin)