

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(A Government of India Enterprise)

**NHIDCL/MANIPUR/TAMENGLONG-MAHUR/2020/PKG-2-Part(1)/193762/2205**

**Dated: 08.07.2021**

**To,**  
**All the Bidders, (listed below)**

**Sub:** Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur in the state of Manipur from km 10.000 near Dialong Village to km 31.430 near Barak River (Length- 21.43 km) (Package-2) on EPC mode. (2nd Call) - **Financial Opening - Regd.**

**Ref:** NIT & RFP Bid document uploaded on CPP Portal on 02.04.2021 with Bid due date 09.06.2021.

**Tender Id: 2021\_NHIDC\_ 625278 \_1**

Based on Technical Evaluation, Following **12 (Twelve)** bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Anusha Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd	Responsive
3	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s KPC Projects Limited	Responsive
5	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers Limited	Responsive
6	M/s Jandu Construction India Limited- M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited (JV)	Responsive
7	M/s Ashish Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive
9	M/s YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Ltd.	Responsive
11	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Responsive
12	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive
13	M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD	<b>Non- Responsive</b>

2. Authority shall open the financial bids of all Technically Responsive bidders on 09.07.2021 (Friday) at 15.00 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor PTI Building, 4 - Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001 in the presence of the Authorized Representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

(K. C. Bhatt)

**Dy. General Manager (Tech)**

**National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
**(Technical division)**

**Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee** held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 07.07.2021 for "Construction of two lane with paved shoulders road from Tamenglong to Mahur (NH-137) in the State of Manipur from km 10.000 near Dialong Village to km 31.430 near Barak River (Length - 21.43 km) (Package-2) on EPC mode. (2nd Call).

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 02.04.2021 with Bid due date 09.06.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 10.06.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 13 (Thirteen) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Anusha Projects Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd
3	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.
4	M/s KPC Projects Limited
5	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers Limited
6	M/s Jandu Construction India Limited- M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited (JV)
7	M/s Ashish Infracon Pvt. Ltd.
8	M/s M & S Co.
9	M/s YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd.
10	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Ltd.
11	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited
12	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Private Limited
13	M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Anusha Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

*[Handwritten signatures and initials]*



Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s KPC Projects Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Jandu Construction India Limited- M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Ashish Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s M & S Co.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9	M/s YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
13	M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 13 (Thirteen) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 47,200/- (Rupees Forty Seven Thousand and Two Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 365.56 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	365.56
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	365.56
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	219.34
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	73.11
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15%</b> of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	54.83



6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	18.28
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	18.28
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	18.28
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.97
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.66
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	54.83
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	32.90
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.97
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	182.78
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	109.67
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	36.56

7. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

8. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following 1 (one) firm is not eligible for opening of their financial bids due to the reason given in the table below.

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD	As per RFP Cl. 2.1.2, no International Bidder is eligible as individually or as a member of a Joint Venture. The country of incorporation of M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD is Malaysia. Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.

9. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Anusha Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2	M/s Kalyan Toll Infrastructure Ltd	Responsive

*[Handwritten signatures and initials]*




3	M/s R K Jain Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4	M/s KPC Projects Limited	Responsive
5	M/s Shri Swami Samarth Engineers Limited	Responsive
6	M/s Jandu Construction India Limited- M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited (JV)	Responsive
7	M/s Ashish Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8	M/s M & S Co.	Responsive
9	M/s YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Ltd.	Responsive
11	M/s Chetak Enterprises Limited	Responsive
12	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Private Limited	Responsive
13	M/s EMRAIL SDN BHD	<b>Non- Responsive</b>


10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

11. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 12 (Twelve) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority w.r.t Clause 2.1.15 of the RFP before opening of the Financial Bid.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

  
W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener

  
K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary

  
Col. B. Shivprasad,  
GM (T)  
Member

  
Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager (Fin)