

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(A Government of India Enterprise)

No. NHIDCL/Civil Work/ Nagaland/MTM/2021 /1020

Date: 07.09.2021

To

All Respective Bidders,

Subject:- Balance work of Construction of Two-lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing Km 76.640 to Km 98.065 [Design Km. 63.800 to Km. 86.835] (Design length - 23.035 km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE Phase A- **Opening of Financial Bid Reg.**

Reference Tender IDs: 2021_NHIDC_642287_1

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status
1.	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s R N Infra Projects	Technically Responsive
3.	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s PH. ADAI	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s M D Enterprise	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 09.09.2021 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl: - As Stated above.

A.K. Jha
GM(Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for Balance work of Construction of Two-lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing Km 76.640 to Km 98.065 [Design Km. 63.800 to Km. 86.835] (Design length - 23.035 km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE Phase A" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on **07.09-2021**.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 30.08.2021 at 1100 hrs.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)
- (ii) M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited
- (iii) M/s R N Infra Projects
- (iv) M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)
- (v) M/s PH. ADAI
- (vi) M/s M D Enterprise

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 129.73 Crore**.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	129.73
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	64.87
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	38.92
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	12.97
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	19.46
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	6.49
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	6.49
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	6.49
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	3.89
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.30

12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	25.95
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.57
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.19
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	64.87
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	38.92
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	12.97

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

5. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 02.09.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 07.09.2021. Some of the bidder has not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate however the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)	<p>a) M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>(i) As per RFP Appendix- IA annexure VI stated that "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from</p>	<p>a) M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.</p> <p>(i) The bidder clarifies that "Ongoing Project: Four laning of the Suryapet (Design Ch: 0.4201 Existing Km 128.500 of NH-65) to Khammam (Design Ch.59.0461 Existing km 50.750 of old SH42) of NH-365BB (Old SH-42) (Design Length = Km 58.6262) in the state of Telangana under Bharatmala Pariyojana on HAM.</p> <p>The project has been sublet to us from DRN Infrastructure only for the scope of Earthwork. The copy of Work order and other documents has been submitted".</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

		<p>the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect" which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 Note :- To satisfy the qualification requirement under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & (iii), the bidder is required to submit a certificate from project owning department/corporations signed by Officer not below the level of Executive Engineer or equivalent which could not be located for considering similar work. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, which project has been considered. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p>	<p>(ii) The bidder clarifies that "Work Completion Certificate of similar nature of work from the Concerned Engineer has been enclosed for your ready reference".</p> <p>(iii) The bidder clarifies that "Project Code "A" - Rehabilitation and upgradation of NH-67 from Km. (Km641.000 to Km 695.000) (Dornala T junction to Atmakur section) in the state of Andhra Pradesh to two lanes with paved shoulder under NHDP-IV through Engineering, Procurement and construction (EPC) basis.</p> <p>Project Code "B" -</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			<p>Widening to Two Lane with paved shoulders from km 59.500 to Km 132.033 of Manneguda to Ravulapally Section of NH163 (Erstwhile SH-4) on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) mode under NH(O) in the state of Telangana.</p> <p>Both Projects comes under (Category 3), Project Code Concerned "B" Work completion certificate from the concern Engineer has been enclosed for your ready reference".</p> <p>b) M/s Sri Rama Constructions</p> <p>(i) As per RFP Appendix- IA annexure VI stated that "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of</p>	
			<p>b) M/s Sri Rama Constructions</p> <p>(i) The bidder clarifies that "Minor Irrigation Mahabubnagar Dist - Construction of Checkdam across Pedda vagu (03) works at Rachala (v), Gowridevipally (v), & ponnakal (v), in Addakal (M), and (02) works at Kommiredpally (v) & Nizalapur (v) in Moosapet (M) of Devakadra constituency in Mahabubnagar District".</p>	

Ajay

for

for

for

		<p>Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect" which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 Note :- To satisfy the qualification requirement under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & (iii), the bidder is required to submit a certificate from project owning department/corporations signed by Officer not below the level of Executive Engineer or equivalent which could not be located for considering similar work. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, which project has been considered. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Audited Balance sheet of all five years could not be located. Please Clarify</p>	<p>(ii) The bidder clarifies that "M/s. RVM Constructions Completion Certificate of similar nature of Project has been enclosed for your ready reference has submitted Annexure I as per RFP format".</p> <p>(iii) The bidder clarifies that "M/s. RVM Constructions Completion Certificate of similar nature of Project has been enclosed for your ready reference".</p> <p>(iv) The bidder clarifies that "We have enclosed the balance sheet of all five years for your perusal".</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Ajay H

SR

Am

M

2	M/s R N Infra Projects	<p>(i) As per RFP Appendix- IA annexure VI stated that "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect" which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 Note :- To satisfy the qualification requirement under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & (iii), the bidder</p>	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted Annexure VI as per RFP format.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted Authority Certificate for consideration of Single similar work.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>

H. Jayant

HR

Am

M. N. N.

		<p>is required to submit a certificate from project owning department/corporations signed by Officer not below the level of Executive Engineer or equivalent which could not be located for considering similar work. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, which project has been considered. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(iv) Project code E as per Annexure IV the name of the project is "Prov of temporary buildings for offices, Airmen/NCS(E) at AFN Panagahr " where as statutory auditor has certified the receivable values under the project name of "Construction of MES Office ACCN at AFS Panagarh". Please clarify.</p> <p>(v) As per submitted Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 Net worth of the firm is Rs 2.81 Cr as per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 the net worth of the</p>	<p>(iii) The bidder has submitted certificate for consideration of single work under category 1 & 3.</p> <p>(iv) The bidder has submitted Project Code E as per RFP format.</p> <p>(v) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet of FY 2020-21.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--









		<p>firm should be 5% of the EPC i.e. Rs 6.49 Cr which is less than the below. Please clarify.</p> <p>(vi) Provisional balance sheet for FY 2020-21 is submitted. If not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted as per RFP section 2 clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(vii) UDIN on ICAI Portal does not depict the turnover of last 5 years along with units. Please clarify.</p> <p>(viii) UDIN on ICAI portal does not depict the Net Worth value. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ix) As per submitted Appendix X (Certificate of Net Worth) mentioned the Net Worth of the firm is Rs 14.85 Cr as of year ended 31st March 2021 and Provisional Audited Balance Sheet is submitted if not audited then undertaking needs to be submitted and accordingly Appendix X needs</p>	<p>(vi) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet of FY 2020-21. Therefore undertaking is not required.</p> <p>(vii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects turnover of last 5 years.</p> <p>(viii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects Net worth.</p> <p>(ix) The bidder has submitted Appendix X as per RFP format.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

Ajay

		<p>to be submitted of the latest Audited Balance sheet. Please clarify.</p> <p>(x) Threshold Technical capacity is calculated as Rs 63.21 Cr whereas as per RFP section 7 Guidance note the Threshold Technical capacity should be 0.5 times of the EPC i.e. Rs 64.87 Cr which is less than the required. Please Clarify.</p>	<p>(x) The bidder clarifies that "In essence, a highway pavement and a runway pavement are similar to each other. Both receive loads from rubber tyred vehicles travelling a high speed. Both are built up of material such as cement concrete, stone aggregates and bitumen bound layers. Both rest on natural soil sub grade. There are, however some differences between highway and runway pavement. Firstly runway loadings are very high when compared to highway loading. Tyre pressure of aircraft are also higher than highway tyre pressures. The impact of aircraft on landing is very high.</p> <p>For ensuring smooth flow a very high speed, runway surface need to be built to a very high degree of finish, free from bumps. Skidding becomes a very serious problem at very high speeds of the aircraft and extra care has to be exercised to prevent accidents.</p> <p>Runway pavements are generally thicker than highway pavement and require better surface materials because of loading & tyre pressure of aircraft are much greater than those of highway vehicles.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

Ajay A

AB

AM

MM

			<p>In view of the above mentioned 3 Runway projects specification is same or more than any highway project specification so Therefore these projects are considered under category 1.</p> <p>(xi) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance sheet of FY 2020-21. Accordingly, the Annual Average Turnover have been updated.</p> <p>(xii) The bidder has submitted Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2019-20 in clear print.</p>	
		<p>(xi) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 (ii) the minimum Average Annual Turnover should be 15% of the EPC i.e. Rs 19.46 Cr whereas as per submitted documents the Average Annual Turnover is calculated as Rs 19.39 Cr which is less than the required. Please clarify.</p> <p>(xii) Resubmit Audited Balance sheet of FY 2019-20 in clear print. Please clarify.</p>		
3	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	<p>A. M/s L G Chaudhary</p> <p>(i) Power of Attorney for signing the bid could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 stated that "In case, the audited annual financial statements/accounts for the year-1 are not available and undertaking has been</p>	<p>A. M/s L G Chaudhary</p> <p>(i) The bidder has submitted Power of Attorney for signing the bid as per RFP format.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted undertaking for non submission of audited balance sheet of FY 2020-21.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>

Ajay

		<p>submitted duly endorsed by the statutory auditor is submitted then only year-6 will be considered" which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) Project code B "Widening Strengthening of Saraiya Bhandarpada Temka km 0.00 to 21.50" Statutory Auditor Certificate for the year wise receivable value could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>B. Yogi Construction Co.</p> <p>(i) Power of Attorney for signing the bid could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 stated that "In case, the audited annual financial statements/accounts for the year-1 are not available and undertaking has been submitted duly endorsed by the statutory auditor is submitted then only year-6 will be considered" which could not be located. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) As per submitted</p>	<p>(iii)The bidder has not submitted Statutory Auditor certificate for project code B.</p> <p>B. Yogi Construction Co.</p> <p>(i) The bidder has submitted Power of Attorney for signing the bid.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted undertaking for non submission of audited balance sheet of FY 2020-21.</p> <p>(iii)The bidder clarifies that SALE word is</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

Ajay

		<p>Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2017-18, 2018-19, for consideration of Revenue from operation 'Sales' have been mentioned. Whereas the turnover will be considered from the civil Construction work only. Please clarify.</p>	<p>representing the construction turnover and the same has been declared by the CA vide C.A. Certificate dt.17/12/2020; which we have uploaded.</p>	
4	M/s PH. ADAI	<p>(i) For consideration of similar work as per RFP 2.2.2.5 (IV) (I) stated that "Widening / reconstruction / up-gradation works on NH / SH or on any category of road taken up under CRF, ISC/ EL, SARDP, LWE" as per submitted document project code A details could not be clear please clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Units of Net Worth could not be located in UDIN on ICAI portal. Please clarify.</p> <p>(iii) As per RFP clause 2.2.2.3 stated that "In case, the audited annual financial statements/accounts for the year-1 are not available and undertaking has been submitted duly</p>	<p>(i) The bidder clarifies that "submitted in the folder Annexure IV in the Technical Bid. Therefore we are again submitting the same".</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects Net worth along with units.</p> <p>(iii) The bidder has submitted undertaking regarding the no submission of Audited Balance Sheet of FY 2020-21.</p>	<p>The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.</p>

Ajay

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

		endorsed by the statutory auditor is submitted then only year-6 will be considered" which could not be located. Please clarify.		
5	M/s M D Enterprise	<p>(i) For consideration of single work under category 1 & 3, experience certificate from the authority could not be located. Please identify the page number and clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Units not mentioned in UDIN on ICAI portal for Net worth Value. Please clarify</p>	<p>(i) The bidder clarifies that "submitted in the folder Annexure IV in the Technical Bid. Therefore we are again submitting the same".</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted UDIN number which reflects Net worth along with units</p>	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	No clarification sought	-----	Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I.

8. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.


Ajay

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s R N Infra Projects	Technically Responsive	0
3	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	Technically Responsive	L G Chaudhary - 1 Sikkim Yogi Construction- 1 Sikkim
4	M/s PH. ADAI	Technically Responsive	0
5	M/s M D Enterprise	Technically Responsive	0
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically Responsive	1 - Assam

9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Ajay Anluwalia
(ED)
Chairman


B. Shivprasad
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.K. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member


Bhaskar Mallick
Manager -Finance
Member

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 64.87 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 19.46 Cr.	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 38.92 Cr.	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 12.97 Cr.
1	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)	-	Yes (Rs 67.73 Cr)	92.13 Cr	79.30 Cr
2	M/s R N Infra Projects	72.38 Cr	Yes (Rs 28.33 Cr)	NA	NA
3	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	-	Yes (Rs 41.71 Cr)	94.67 Cr	98.53 Cr
4	M/s PH. ADAI	67.26 Cr	Yes (Rs 35.69 Cr)	NA	NA
5	M/s M D Enterprise	82.01 Cr	Yes (Rs 23.37 Cr)	NA	NA
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	275.42 Cr	Yes (Rs 36.94 Cr)	NA	NA

Summary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR 6.49 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 19.46 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)	JV	76%- 24%	Lead-4.37 Cr Other- 3.36 Cr	Lead-31.39 Cr Other- 36.99 Cr	Y
2.	M/s R N Infra Projects	SE	-	14.85 Cr	23.41 Cr	Y
3.	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)	JV	51%-49%	Lead-25.26 Cr Other- 18.88	Lead-123.81 Cr Other- 56.89 Cr	Y
4.	M/s PH. ADAI	SE	-	15.33 Cr	32.10 Cr	Y
5.	M/s M D Enterprise	SE	-	13.98 Cr	22.61 Cr	Y
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	SE	-	50.41 Cr	126.38 Cr	Y

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 64.87 Crore

S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd.- M/s Sri Rama Constructions (JV)								
	M/s RVM Construction India Pvt. Ltd	2018-19	1.10	33.65	37.02	1.5	8.5	130.3 1	Yes
	M/s Sri Rama Constructions	2018-19	1.10	89.42	98.36	1.5	23.4 2	345.4 4	Yes
							Total	475.7 4	Yes
2	M/s R N Infra Projects	2019-20	1.05	51.64	54.22	1.5	64.8 3	138.5 0	Yes

3	M/s L G Chaudhary - M/s Yogi Construction Co. (JV)								
	M/s L G Chaudhary	2018-19	1.10	175.11	192.62	1.5	158.49	563.84	Yes
	M/s Yogi Construction Co.	2017-18	1.15	66.36	76.31	1.5	54.29	231.89	Yes
							Total	795.73	Yes
4	M/s PH. ADAI	2019-20	1.05	40.28	42.29	1.5	0	158.60	Yes
5	M/s M D Enterprise	2020-21	1.00	25.39	25.39	1.5	0	95.21	Yes
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	2016-17	1.2	138.72	166.46	1.5	127.57	496.67	Yes