

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(A Government of India Enterprise)

No. NHIDCL/Civil Work/A.P/ Hunli-Anini/Major Bridges/2021/2619

Date: 23.09.2021

To

All Respective Bidders,

Subject: Construction of Two Major bridges at Existing Ch. 23+650 (designed Ch. 23+550) and Ch. 28+200 (designed Ch. 28+200) of bridge span 160 m along the Existing Hunli-Anini Road from Km 21.500 to Km 37.500 in the State of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode under SARDP-4th call.

Reference Tender IDs: 2021_NHIDC_645201_1

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status
1.	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	Technically Responsive
3.	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 27.09.2021 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Encl: - As Stated above.

A.K. Jha
GM(Tech)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for: "Construction of Two Major bridges at Existing Ch. 23+650 (designed Ch. 23+550) and Ch. 28+200 (designed Ch. 28+200) of bridge span 160 m along the Existing Hunli-Anini Road from Km 21.500 to Km 37.500 in the State of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode under SARDP-4th call." held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 23.09.2021.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 16.09.2021.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
- (ii) M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd.
- (iii) M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 57.67 Crore.**

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	57.67
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	28.84
3	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	11.53
4	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	2.88
5	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	2.88
7	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	2.88
8	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	8.65
9	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	28.84

4. It was bought to the notice of the committee that M/s SGF Infra Pvt. Ltd. has not submitted the technical bid online on CPPP website <https://eprocure.gov.in/eprocure/app>. However, the bidder has submitted the hard copy which cannot be entertained as per Notice Inviting Bid dated 26.08. 2021. The committee deliberated the issue, since the bidder has not submitted the bid on CPPP Portal, hence his bid was not entertained.

5. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its first meeting had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.

6. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on 20.09.2021, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 23.09.2021. Some of the bidders have not given the year wise break up of receivable value for civil work reflected in the UDIN Certificate, therefore the value given by the statutory Auditor have been considered. The remarks of TEC w.r.t. the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	
1	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	
	Clarification to be sought	<p>(i) As per RFP clause RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) “When longest span is more than 60 m: 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less, of the structure proposed in this project”. As per referred clause, the mandatory experience related to bridge is required to be fulfilled i.e. 50 meters. The details of such experience related to span length is not found in the submitted bid. The GAD of the bridge completed and certificate from authority regarding largest span may be submitted along with cost of bridge project claimed should be at least 20% of the estimated cost. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per Appendix X, XI the calculation of Net worth and Turnover should be based on Standalone Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 and in support of the calculations Audited Balance sheet of all five years is required. Please clarify.</p>
	Reply received from the bidder	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the Completion certificate from the authority which gives the actual cost of the bridge.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted Standalone Balance sheet of all five years.</p>
	NHIDCL's Comment	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
2	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	
	Clarification to be sought	<p>(i) As per RFP clause RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) “When longest span is more than 60 m: 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less, of the structure proposed in this project”. As per referred clause, the mandatory experience related to bridge is required to be fulfilled i.e. 50 meters. The details of such experience related to span length is not found in the submitted bid. The GAD of the bridge completed and certificate from authority regarding largest span may be submitted along with cost of bridge project claimed should be at least 20% of the estimated cost. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix X, XI not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.</p>
	Reply received from the bidder	<p>(i) The bidder has submitted the Completion certificate from the authority which gives the actual cost of the bridge along with GAD of the bridge.</p> <p>(ii) The bidder has submitted Appendix X , XI as per RFP format.</p>

	NHIDCL's Comment	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
3	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	
	Clarification to be sought	(i) UDIN number mentioned in Appendix X, XI is invalid. Please Clarify. (ii) Appendix X is submitted for FY 2020 whereas Appendix X is required to be submitted for latest Audited Financial Year FY 2020-21. Please clarify.
	Reply received from the bidder	(i) The bidder has submitted UDIN number for Appendix X, XI. (ii) The bidder has submitted Appendix X as per RFP format.
	NHIDCL's Comment	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive


7. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure -I and the status of the evaluation is as below.


Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	Arunachal Pradesh=1
2	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	Technically Responsive	Arunachal Pradesh=1 Nagaland=5 (Specially allowed for this project)
3	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically Responsive	0

8. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the **03 (Three)** technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Ajay Ahluwalia
(ED-I)
Chairman


B. Shivprasad
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.R. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member


Bhaskar Mallaick
Manager -Finance
Member

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 28.84 Cr.	Similar work from category 1 & 3 in a single complete project (Clause- 2.2.2.2(ii) = Rs. 8.65 Cr.	Span Length of the Bridge i.e. 50 meters	Cost of the similar project (20% of the estimated cost i.e. 11.53 Cr.
1	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	196.80 Cr	Yes (Rs 26.02 Cr)	162 meters	23.32 Cr
2	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	1000.99 Cr	Yes (Rs 61.80 Cr)	62.55 meters	13.61 Cr
3	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	524.06 Cr	Yes (Rs 20.29 Cr)	150 meters	39.40 Cr

Summary of Financial Evaluation

Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR 2.88 Crores)	Turnover (in INR 8.65 Crores)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	SE	-	10.67 Cr	31.43 Cr	Y
2.	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	SE	-	563.28 Cr	695.35 Cr	Y
	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	SE	-	86.39 Cr	132.09 Cr	Y

Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment

Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity = Rs. 28.84 Crore

S No	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed							Whether Qualifying or Not
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	
1	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	2019-20	1.05	54.66	57.39	1.5	171.38	43.84	Yes
2	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	2016-17	1.2	674.96	809.95	1.5	1864.23	1173.09	Yes
3	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	2016-17	1.2	158.32	189.98	1.5	347.36	365.08	Yes

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Construction of Two Major bridges at Existing Ch. 23+650 (designed Ch. 23+550) and Ch. 28+200 (designed Ch. 28+200) of bridge span 160 m along the Existing Hunli-Anini Road from Km 21.500 to Km 37.500 in the State of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode under SARDP-4th call." held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at 1500 Hrs on 20.09.2021

1. The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 16.09.2021.

2. Technical Bid Opening Evaluation Committee (TEC) met to open the technical Bids on 17.09.2021 at 1530 hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
- (ii) M/s Madhucon Projects Ltd.
- (iii) M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 57.67 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	57.67
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	28.84
3	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	11.53
4	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	2.88
5	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	one half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	2.88
7	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	2.88
8	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	8.65
9	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	28.84

4. It was bought to the notice of the committee that M/s SGF Infra Pvt. Ltd. has not submitted the technical bid online on CPPP website <https://eprocure.gov.in/eprocure/app>. However, the bidder has submitted the hard copy which cannot be entertained as per Notice Inviting Bid dated 26.08. 2021. The committee deliberated the issue, since the bidder has not submitted the bid on CPPP Portal, hence his bid was not entertained.

5. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders.




6. The details of bidders and the clarification to be sought are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought
1	M/s Madhucon Projects Limited	<p>(i) As per RFP clause RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) <i>“When longest span is more than 60 m: 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less, of the structure proposed in this project”</i>. As per referred clause, the mandatory experience related to bridge is required to be fulfilled i.e. 50 meters. The details of such experience related to span length is not found in the submitted bid. The GAD of the bridge completed and certificate from authority regarding largest span may be submitted along with cost of bridge project claimed should be at least 20% of the estimated cost. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(ii) As per Appendix X, XI the calculation of Net worth and Turnover should be based on Standalone Audited Financial Statements of FY 2019-20, FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 and in support of the calculations Audited Balance sheet of all five years is required. Please clarify.</p>
2	M/s Poddar Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	<p>(i) As per RFP clause RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) <i>“When longest span is more than 60 m: 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less, of the structure proposed in this project”</i>. As per referred clause, the mandatory experience related to bridge is required to be fulfilled i.e. 50 meters. The details of such experience related to span length is not found in the submitted bid. The GAD of the bridge completed and certificate from authority regarding largest span may be submitted along with cost of bridge project claimed should be at least 20% of the estimated cost. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix X, XI not submitted as per RFP format. Please clarify.</p>
3	M/s P and R Infraprojects Ltd.	<p>(i) UDIN number mentioned in Appendix X, XI is invalid. Please Clarify.</p> <p>(ii) Appendix X is submitted for FY 2020 whereas Appendix X is required to be submitted for latest Audited Financial Year FY 2020-21. Please clarify.</p>

7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) decided to ask for the above tabulated clarification after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


Ajay Ahluwalia
(ED)
Chairman


B. Shivprasad
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.K. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member


Bhaskar Mallaick
Manager Finance
Member