

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



**BHARATMALA**  
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



**NHIDCL**  
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION  
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-37/Jor-Jhan/New/198638/1155

Date: 20.09.2021


Subject: "Four laning of Jorhat - Jhanji section of NH-37 from km 453.000 to km491.050 (Design km 453.000 to km 491.800) in the State of Assam under SARDP-NE on EPC basis"- Result of Technical Evaluation of Bids reg.

Reference: 2021\_NHIDC\_640600\_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsive/Non-Responsive of the participated bidders are as under:


Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.	Technically-Responsive
3	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s S.S. Builders	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive

2. Financial bid will be opened on 22.09.2021 at 1230 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

  
2019/21

(K.C. Bhatt)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)



**National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation**  
(Technical division)

**Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee** held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 16.09.2021 for “Four laning of Jorhat - Jhanji section of NH-37 from km 453.000 to km 491.050 (Design km 453.000 to km 491.800) in the State of Assam under SARDP-NE on EPC basis”.

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 27.07.2021 with Bid due date 09.09.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 10.09.2021 at 1700 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 7 (Seven) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
2	M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.
3	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
4	M/s S.S. Builders
5	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.
6	M/s Shivalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.
7	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

**A. Bids Received on CPP Portal**

Bidder Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having P OA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s S.S. Builders	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
5	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Shivalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 7 (Seven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 35,400/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand Four Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 276.22 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	276.22
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	276.22
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	165.73
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	55.24
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15%</b> of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	41.43
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	13.81
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	13.81
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	13.81
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	8.29
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.76
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	41.43
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	24.86

6

✓

my

3/4

Final

14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	8.29
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	138.11
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	82.87
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	27.62

**7. Observations of the committee:**

The Committee observed that some bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2019-20 and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20. The Committee considered the financial statements for net worth and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) and from FY 2016-17 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii).

8. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

9. The committee observed that one of the bidders M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co. has been declared technically responsive under Ladakh div of NHIDCL. Based on the same documents M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co. has been considered technically responsive by the Committee.

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.	Technically-Responsive
3	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s S.S. Builders	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive


11. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

12. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole of JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

6 1 3/4 1m

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of all 7 (Seven) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 12 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,  
(ED-V)  
Convener



K C Bhatt,  
DGM(T)  
Member Secretary



R.S. Puri,  
GM (T)  
Member



Bhaskar Mallick,  
Manager (Fin)



Sr. No.	1				2	3	4	5
Name of the bidder	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd.	M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Satish Aggarwal & Co.	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s S.S. Builders	M/s P & R Infraprojects Ltd.		
	51%	49%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	JV		Sole	Sole	Sole	Sole		
Sole/JV	India	India	India	India	India	India		
Country	427.48 Cr.	93.64 Cr.	276.25 Cr.	523.39 Cr.	355.02 Cr.	461.45 Cr.		
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)) Sole = 276.22 Cr. LM=165.73 Cr. OM=55.24 Cr.	521.12 Cr.							
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 41.43 Cr.	49.09 Cr. project code "c" of other member				61.97 Cr. "D"	252.10 Cr. "a"	95.77 Cr. "C"	80.84 Cr. "d"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=13.81, LM=8.29, OM=2.76)	112.93 Cr.	33.83 Cr.	20.13 Cr.	42.95 Cr.	19.63 Cr.	81.37 Cr.		
	146.76 Cr.							
	220.18 Cr.	21.02 Cr.	102.18 Cr.	219.64 Cr.	96.71 Cr.	144.80 Cr.		
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=41.43, LM=24.86, OM=8.29)	241.20 Cr.							
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=138.11, LM=82.87, OM=27.62)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-	1	1	1	-		
	Cost	-	195.288 Cr.	122.50 Cr.	141.35 Cr.	-		
	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Responsive		Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive		
Responsiveness	Responsive		Responsive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive		

le

tm

Deep





Sr. No.	6	7
Name of the bidder	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.
Sole/JV	100%	100%
Country	Sole India	Sole India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)) Sole = 276.22 Cr. LM=165.73 Cr. OM=55.24 Cr.	508.20 Cr.	490.68 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 41.43 Cr.	82.50 Cr. "P"	119.54 Cr. "b"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=13.81, LM=8.29, OM=2.76)	80.11 Cr.	30.81 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=41.43, LM=24.86, OM=8.29)	251.50 Cr.	116.81 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=138.11, LM=82.87, OM=27.62)	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	-
	Cost	-
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive

✓

Mr. Jai

