राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)





CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-52/BiswanathCharali-Gohpur/198500/1154 Date: 20.09.2021

Subject: "Four laning from Biswanath Chariali by-pass (Km 208.00 to Gohpur Km 265.50 (Total length 57.50) in the state of Assam on EPC basis Under SARDP-NE"- Result of Technical Evaluation of Bids reg.

Reference: 2021\_NHIDC\_640272\_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsive/Non-Responsive of the participated bidders are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
3	M/s Radheyshyam Agrawal – M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects (JV)	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
8	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive

2. Financial bid will be opened on 22.09.2021 at 1300 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

(K.C. Bhatt) Dy. General Manager (Tech) · •

## National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 16.09.2021 for "Four laning from Biswanath Chariali by-pass (Km 208.00 to Gohpur Km 265.50 (Total length 57.50) in the state of Assam on EPC basis Under SARDP-NE".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 26.07.2021 with Bid due date 08.09.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 09.09.2021 at 1530 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 8 (Eight) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.
3	M/s Radheyshyam Agrawal – M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects (JV)
4	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.
5	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.
6	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.
7	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.
8	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bi				Details of d	locument	submitted as	per RFP	
dd er s Sr. no	Name of Bidders	Power of Attor ney for Signing the bid i f sole fi rm	Power of Attorney fo r the Lead Member of Joint Vent ure	Joint Bi dding Ag reement f or Joint V enture	Bid S ecuring declara tion	Integrity Pact (For wor k value of 100 Cr. n ot require d)	Bid do cument Cost	Undertaking of th e Person having P OA that they agree and abide by the bid documents upl oaded
1	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Radheyshyam Agrawal – M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



Bi				Details of c	locument	submitted as	s per RFP	
dd er s Sr. no	Name of Bidders	Power of Attor ney for Signing the bid i	Power of Attorney fo r the Lead Member of Joint Vent	Joint Bi dding Ag reement f or Joint V enture	Bid S ecuring declara tion	Integrity Pact (For wor k value of 100 Cr. n	Bid do cument Cost	Undertaking of th e Person having P OA that they agree and abide by the bid documents upl
•		f sole fi rm	ure			ot require d)		oaded
4	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 8 (Eight) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 59,000/- (Rupees Fifty Nine Thousand only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 462.92 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	462.92
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	462.92
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	277.75
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	92.58
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least <b>One Similar Completed Work –15</b> % of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	69.44
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I )	23.15
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii) )	23.15
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	23.15
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.89

2/5

le

11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.63
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	69.44
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	41.66
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	13.89
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	231.46
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	138.88
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	46.29

## 7. Observations of the committee:

le

The Committee observed that some bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2019-20 and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20. The Committee considered the financial statements for net worth and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) and from FY 2016-17 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii).

8. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

9. Further the committee had sought clarification from following bidders' w.r.t to their submitted documents. The bidders have furnished their comments as below:

S.No.	Name of Bidder	Clarification sought	Bidder's Reply	Remarks
1	M/s Radheshyam Agrawal- M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects (JV)	Bidder should claim their financial capacity in Annexure-III of Appendix-IA of RFP. Same could not be located in technical bid for lead member M/s Radheshyam Agrawal. Please clarify.	Submitted requisite documents.	Found satisfactory.
		Bidder should submit Certificate of Net Worth issued by the statutory Auditor in the Format of Appendix-X of RFP and same should reflect on UDIN portal of ICAI. Same could not be located in technical bid for lead member M/s Radheshyam Agrawal. Please clarify.	Submitted requisite documents.	Found satisfactory.
		Bidder should submit Certificate of Annual Turnover issued by the statutory Auditor in the Format of Appendix-XI of RFP and same should reflect on UDIN portal of ICAI. Same could not be located in	Submitted requisite documents.	Found satisfactory.

		technical bid for lead member M/s Radheshyam Agrawal. Please clarify.		
2	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder is requested to clarify that under which Clause of RFP the projects with code A,B,C,D,E,F,G,F,H,I,J,K,L,M,O,R,S, and T has been claimed under Category-3.	Submitted that projects with code D, E, H, I, R and S are state highways projects.	Found satisfactory.
3	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	<b>M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd.</b> (JV partner) has submitted Audited Financial Statements from financial years 2015-16 to 2019-20. Annual Turnover are mentioned in Appendix-XI of RFP has taken from financial years 2014-15 to 2018-19. Please clarify why Appendix-XI has not been submitted with updated annual turnover.	Submitted requisite documents.	Found satisfactory.
		<b>M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd.</b> (JV partner) has submitted Audited Financial Statements from financial years 2015-16 to 2019-20. Net Worth mentioned in Appendix-X of RFP has not been taken from financial 2019-20. Please clarify why Appendix-X has not been submitted with updated Net Worth.	Submitted requisite documents.	Found satisfactory.
		M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd. (lead member) should submit legible copy of Audited Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account of financial year 2019-20. However, Audited Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Account submitted by lead member are not legible. Please clarify.	Replied	Found satisfactory.
		Any member of joint venture should have a project to qualify the RFP CI. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP for similar work 15% of estimated cost of the project. For the same legible copy work completion certificate should also be submit as per para 16 of Annexure- IV of Appendix-IA of RFP. Bidder is requested to please clarify which	Clarified	Found satisfactory.

9. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following 2 (Two) firms are not eligible for opening of their financial bids due to the reasons given below:

S. No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.	As per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, the bidder including individual or any of its JV member or its related parties, who are either having 2 (two) on-going EPC Project(s) in NHIDCL or on-going Project(s) worth of ₹ 500 Crore (Awarded Cost) or more in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this Project. Bidder has total 4 on-going work in NHIDCL HQ. Hence, as per RFP Cl. 2.1.15, bidder has considered non-responsive.
2	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	As per RFP CI. 2.2.2.1, bidder should have bid capacity 50 % of the estimated project cost i.e. Rs. 231.46 Cr. For calculation of value of "A", bidder has considered Rs. 382.05 Cr. as maximum value of civil engineering works. As per Appendix-XI, maximum value of turnover is

su

/m/

le

415

S. No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
		Rs. 252.32 Cr. The Committee has considered Rs. 252.32 Cr. as maximum value of civil engineering works for calculation of vale of "A". The value of "A" has calculated by the Committee is Rs. 264.64 Cr. after considering multiple factor 1.05. The bid capacity of the bidder has been calculated by the Committee Rs. 109.00 Cr. with is less than the required capacity Rs. 231.46 Cr. (462.92 x 50%). Accordingly, bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of bid capacity. Hence, bidder has been considered non-responsive.

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
3	M/s Radheyshyam Agrawal – M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects (JV)	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Non-Responsive
8	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive

11. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

12. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole of JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 12 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

ford

К

W. Blah, (ED-V) Convener

K C Bhatt DGM(T) Member Secretary

A.K. Uha, GM (T) Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager (Fin)



F.
V
3
2
X
2
C.L.
S

						1	
Sr. No.	-	2	3		4	5	9
Name of the bidder	M/s Rajinder Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Radheyshyam Agrawal	M/s Sampath Vinayak Infra Projects	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Shiwalay Infra Projects Pvt Ltd.	M/s Shiwalay Infra M/s Ram Kripal Projects Pvt Ltd. Pvt. Ltd.
	100%	100%	51%	49%	100%	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole	2 V		Sole	alos	
Country	India	India	India	India	India	cipul	2016
(i) (i) Scip - 462 02 C-			489.96 Cr.	100.27 Cr.	5		IIIdia
oue = 402.92 cl. LM=277.75 Cr. OM=92.58 Cr.	523.39 Cr.	760.92 Cr.	590.23 Cr.	3 Cr.	490.68 Cr.	477.79 Cr.	1294.60 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 69.44 Cr.	252.10 Cr. "a"	228.31 Cr. "D"	247.34 Cr. project code "a" of lead member	code "a" of lead er	119.54 Cr. "b"	82.50 Cr. "P"	195.64 Cr. "B"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.15, LM=13.89,	42.95 Cr.	77 58 Cr	77.03 Cr.	6.78 Cr.			
OM=4.63)			83.81 Cr.	Cr.	30.81 Cr.	80.11 Cr.	325.48 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=69.44, LM=41.66,	219.64 Cr.	204.69 Cr.	128.95 Cr.	84.60 Cr.	116 81 Cr	061 61 C.	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1
OM=13.89)		_	213.55 Cr.	Cr.		.10.10.102	11/0.10 CL.
wnetner meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=231.46, LM=138.88, OM=46.29)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL Nos.	-	4		1			
	122.50 Cr.	1	ı	1	1		
wnerner meeting the Technical Requirement	al Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Responsive	sive	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive

200 K

Jul 1

12

:

· ·

Sr. No.	7		σ
Name of the bidder	M/s Anupam Nirman Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Nalanda Engicon Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Ujjain Engicon India Pvt. Ltd.
	100%	60%	40%
Sole/JV	Sole		A
Country	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 462.92 Cr.		242.93 Cr.	108.75 Cr.
LM=277.75 Cr. OM=92.58 Cr.	661.15 Cr.	351.	351.68 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 69.44 Cr.	80.17 Cr. "C"	122.66 Cr. project cod	122.66 Cr. project code "A" of other member
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.15, LM=13.89,	85 10 Cr	46.15 Cr.	25.20 Cr.
OM=4.63)		71.35	5 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=69.44. LM=41.66.	150 15 Cr	197.99 Cr.	81.93 Cr.
OM=13.89)		279.5	279.92 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=231.46, LM=138.88, OM=46.29)	No	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with Nos.		-	
NHIDCL Cost		236.12 Cr.	236.12 Cr.
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Non-Responsive	Respo	Responsive
Mul	man		2/2

Annexwer-T

The state of the s

