राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 1st & 2nd Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, www.nhidcl.com



NHIDCL/Megh./AE/D-D-W/NH-217/NH-127B/2023/

Date: 29.11.2024

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR AUTHORITY'S ENGINEER FOR SUPERVISION of following project:

(i) Improvement/Widening of National Highway No. 217 (Dainadubi-Darugiri Section) to Two lane with paved shoulder in the state of Meghalaya, from design Km 0+000 to Km 40+840 (= 40.840 Km) (existing Km 8+600 to Km 53+000 of Old NH-62) under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Lot-1) Pkg-1B on EPC mode.

(ii) Improvement and Widening of National Highway No. 127B (Darugiri-Songsak-Williamnagar Junction Section) to two lane with paved shoulder from design Km 0+000 to Km 36+635 (Design Length=36.635 Km) (existing Km 112+000 to Km 151+060 of Old NH-62) under Bharatmala Pariyojana (Lot-1) Pkg-1B on EPC mode in the state of Meghalaya. -Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.

Ref: NHIDCL/Megh/AE/D-D-W/NH-217/NH-127B/2023

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the final result of technical evaluation:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total Marks	Technically Responsive/ Non- Responsive
i.	M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd.	20.00	20.00	31.52	71.52	Technically Non- Responsive. The bidder does not qualify minimum 75 marks criteria which is required as per CI. 5.3 of Section-2 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered Technically Non- Responsive.
ii.	Technocrats	3.4 (x) (f), "In ca or Team leader	se more than 3 (cum Senior High	cally non-responsiv CV scores less than way Engineer score e considered non-re	75% marks s less than	Non

iii.	M/s Geo Design And Researcl Private Limited in Association with M/s JS Environics Consultants Pvt Ltd.	h n h 32.50	20.00	32.95	85.45	Provisionally Responsive subject to SFMS confirmation
iv.	M/s Consulting Engineers Group Ltd. ir Association with M/s Mayrush Engineering Consultants Private Limited	20.00	19.00	36.48	75.48	Provisionally Responsive subject to SFMS confirmation
V.		The lead membe debarred by NHA		Global Infra-Consulta t order dated 06.11.2		Technically Non- Responsive
vi.	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private	The bidder is d 24.10.2024.	ebarred by NHA	Al vide Debarment	order dated	Technically Non- Responsive
vii.	M/s Chaitanya Projects	The bidder is co validity of technica	onsidered Techn al proposal and b	ically Non-Respor id security has been	nsive as the expired.	Technically Non- Responsive
viii.	M/s URS Scott Wilson India Private Limited in Association with M/s Krivam Consultant Private Limited	The bidder is co	onsidered Techn al proposal has b	ically Non-Respor een expired.	i sive as the	Technically Non- Responsive
ix.	M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s Infrastructure Development Consultants	for Firm's Experie requirement of at 2/4/6 laning of ler project supervisi Engineer as per other member de requirement of tu project cost (i.e. Hence, the bidd	ence. Lead memb least one project ngth equal to 40% on/Independent Cl. 16 (B) of Sec oes not fulfil the irnover i.e. 30% 30% x 2% x 63 er is considered	num eligibility criteria ber does not fulfil the of similar category o % of project length o Engineer/Authority's ction-1 of RFP. Also e minimum eligibility of 2% of estimated 88.21 Cr = 3.83 Cr) d Technically Non fer para 8.2 above.	e f Scores not evaluated	Technically Non- Responsive

х.	M/s G Eng Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with M/s Infinite Civil Solutions Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited	The Lead memb debarred by NHA	er, M/s G Eng Ad	dvisory Services Priva It order dated 24.10.20	ite Limited is 024.	Technically Non- Responsive
xi.	M/s Global Infra Solutions in JV with M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Limited in Asociation with M/s M S Consultant	40.00	20.00	36.22	96.22	Provisionally Responsive subject to SFMS confirmation
xii.	JV with M/s DSD Infratech Management Pvt. Ltd. in Association with	The bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria for Firm's Experience. Other member does not fulfil the minimum eligibility requirement of turnover i.e. 30% of 2% of estimated project cost (i.e. 30% x 2% x 638.21 Cr = 3.83 Cr). Hence, the bidder is considered Technically tt. Ltd. in Non-Responsive as per RFP. Also, refer para 8.3 evaluated sociation with above. 's Maverick In addition to above, the validity of technical proposal ernok				Technically Non- Responsive
xiii.	M/s AICONS Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in JV with M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates in Association with M/s IVI Ventures Pvt. Ltd.	The other member, M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates is debarred by NHAI vide Debarment order dated 08.11.2024.			Technically Non- Responsive	
xiv.	M/s JC Technocrats Private Limited in JV with M/s Bari India Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	Non-Responsive as per clause 16 (A) & (B) of Section-				Technically Non- Responsive

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 10(vii) of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 05.12.2024) from the date of uploading of result. The financial bids shall be opened on 06.12.2024 at 15:00 hrs.

Ashutosh Mishra General Manager (Tech.)

Annexure

Sr. No.		Remarks
		 None of the highway professional found to be working with the firm. Also, the firm is not having experience in in-hand DPR projects. Proposed TL is not having maximum experience in similar capacity of Operation and Maintenance of Major Highway. Proposed RE-II (Mr. Dhirendra Kumar) is not having maximum years of experience in handling Highway Projects and minimum years of experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/ Authority Engineer/ Independent Engineer Projects. *Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Ashwani Kumar) is found to be already engaged as per INFRACON Portal in one of the projects of NHIDCL i.e. AE for middle strait creek in the union territory of Andaman and Nicobar Island. Hence, no weightage has been given.
2.	M/s Marc Technocrats Private Limited	 Average Annual Turnover of the firm is less than 4% of the TPC and only 19 highway professionals found to be working with the firm. Proposed RE-I (Mr. Rakesh Kumar:71 out of 100) is not having minimum years of experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/ Authority Engineer or equivalent construction works/ Authority Engineer / Independent Engineer Projects and maximum experience in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6- laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above). Proposed RE-II (Mr. Rana Pranavsinh Pushpatsinh: 70 out of 100) is not having maximum years of experience in handling Highway Projects and minimum years of experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/ Authority Engineer/ Independent Engineer Projects. Proposed BSE-I (Mr. Pawan Kumar Singh: 68 out of 100) is not having minimum years of experience in similar capacity in Design/ Construction/ Construction Supervision of Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other such structures. Also, the KP is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures and maximum experience in similar capacity of modern bridge construction technology viz., Precast Segmental, Balanced Cantilever Construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full Span Launching, Incremental Launching.

5. Proposed BSE-II (Mr. Ravindra Kumar) is no years of experience in similar capacity Construction/ Construction Supervision of ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other such and maximum years of experience in similar supervision of Rehabilitation and repair Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 of is not having maximum years of experience in	in Design/ f Bridges/ structures capacity in of Major
Construction/ Construction Supervision of ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other such and maximum years of experience in similar supervision of Rehabilitation and repair Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	f Bridges/ structures capacity in of Major
ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other such and maximum years of experience in similar supervision of Rehabilitation and repair Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	structures capacity in of Major
and maximum years of experience in similar supervision of Rehabilitation and repair Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	capacity in of Major
supervision of Rehabilitation and repair Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	of Major
Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	
structures. 6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	any othor
6. Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Anup Mahadani: 35 d	any other
is not having maximum years of experience i	/
Highway/Bridge Projects and minimum	
experience in similar capac	
Construction/Construction Supervision	
Highway Projects. Also, the KP is not having	
experience in similar capacity in handlin Highway projects (of length 40% of project	
Highway projects (of length 40% of project more of similar configuration (2/4/6 lan	
above).	ing) and
7. Proposed SQME-II (Mr. Nitaish Kumar S	ab) is not
having maximum years of experience in	
Highway/Bridge projects and maximum exp	
similar capacity in handling Similar Highway p	
length 40% of project length or more	
configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).	
1. Average Annual Turnover of the firm is le	ess than 4%
of the TPC and only 14 highway professional	ls found to
be working with the firm. The firm is having	only 04 in-
hand DPR projects.	
2. Proposed RE-I (Mr. Hrishikesh Mishra) is	
maximum years of experience in handling	
Projects and maximum years of experience a	
Engineer/ Project Director/ Project	Manager/
Superintending Engineer or equivalent/	
Engineer or equivalent on construction works	-
Engineer/ Independent Engineer Projects. Al is not having maximum experience in similar of	
handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of l	
of project length or more of similar cor	
M/s Geo Designs And (2/4/6 laning**) and above) and maximum e	
	oject of
3. Limited in AssociationConstruction/Construction Supervision/IC on	
with M/s JS Environics 3. Proposed RE-II (Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh) is	
Consultants Pvt. Ltd. maximum years of experience as Resident	
Project Director/ Project Manager/ Supe	
Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Eng	gineer or
equivalent on construction works/ Authority	Engineer/
Independent Engineer Projects.	
4. Proposed BSE-I (Mr. Umeshwar Prasad Sir	-
having maximum years of experience in simila	
in Design/ Construction/ Construction Supe	
Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ any o	
structures and maximum experience in simila	
in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair	5
Bridges/ ROB/ Flyover/ Interchanges/ a	
structures. Also, the KP is not maximum exp similar capacity of modern bridge co	onstruction
technology viz., Precast Segmental,	Balanced
	Bataneca

.....

		 Cantilever Construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full Span Launching, Incremental Launching. 5.* Proposed SQME-I (Mr. Ram Sumiran Sharma) is found to be already engaged as per INFRACON Portal in one of the projects of NHIDCL i.e. AE for Mechi Bridge and approaches on Indo-Nepal Border (Pkg-IVA, IVB, IVC and IVD) in the state of West Bengal. Hence, no weightage has been given. 6. Proposed SQME-II (Mr. Rajnish Pathak) is not having maximum experience in similar capacity in handling Similar Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).
4.	Association with M/s Mayrush Engineering	
5.	DOUTIONS IN DA MICH W// 2	 Proposed RE-I (Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma) is not having maximum years of experience as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/ Authority Engineer/ Independent Engineer Projects. Proposed BSE-I (Mr. Satya Sundara Vara Prasad G) is not having maximum years of experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects and maximum experience in