राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com (পাৰে মাৰ্কাৰ কা বহুম)





BHARATMALA ROAD TO PROSPERITY

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-52/Dolabari-Jamuguri/2021/198499/1168 Date:22.09.2021

Subject: "Four laning of Dolabari to Jamuguri section from km 17.300 of NH-37A to km 182.000 of NH-52 in the State of Assam under SARDP-NE, Phase A on EPC Mode."- **Revised Result of Technical Evaluation of Bids reg.**

Reference: 2021_NHIDC_640283_1

Based on the evaluation of bids, the status of Technically Responsive/ Non-Responsive of the participated bidders are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Sailaja Commercial Construction Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Anil Das (JV)	Technically-Responsive
	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s Sri Babul Nath	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP	Technically-Responsive

2. Financial bid will be opened on 23.09.2021 (Thursday) at 1200 hrs. at NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4 Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001

(K.C. Bhatt) Dy. General Manager (Tech)



National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

2nd Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 22.09.2021 for "Four laning of Dolabari to Jamuguri section from km 17.3 of NH-37A to km 182.0 of NH-52 in the State of Assam under SARDP-NE, Phase A on EPC Mode".

The Committee in its 1st meeting declared one of the bidders M/s Sri Babul Nath as technically non-responsive due to non-clarity available on similar work as required under RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) from the category of works defined in RFP Cl. 2.2.2.5.

2. In response to Technical Evaluation Result uploaded on CPP Portal and NHIDCL HQ vide letter no. 1160 dated 21.09.2021, M/s Sri Babul Nath has submitted clarification on 2 works i.e. project code "1e" and "1a".

3. The Committee examined the clarification submitted by the bidder M/s Sri Babul Nath, w.r.t. the eligibility criteria of similar of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) and found that the claimed project code "1a" is a work that satisfy the eligibility criteria required for similar work. Accordingly, the Committee has considered the bidder M/s Sri Babul Nath technically responsive.

4. Accordingly, The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2nd meeting found the following bidders are technically responsive as mentioned below:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Sailaja Commercial Construction Pvt. Ltd. – M/s Anil Das (JV)	Technically-Responsive
3	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	Technically-Responsive
5	M/s Sri Babul Nath	Technically-Responsive
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP	Technically-Responsive

5. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

6. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total

no. of works, either as sole of JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

7. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of all 7 (Seven) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 6 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Blah.

(ED-V) Convener

K C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary

Col. B. Sh prasad, GM (T)

Member

Bhaskar Manager(Fin)

							14 22	Hmnescure-I
Sr. No.		٢	2			3	4	5
Name of the bidder		M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	M/s Sailaja Commercial Construction Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Anil Das	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd.	M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	M/s Sri Babul Nath
		100%	60%	40%	51%	49%	100%	100%
Sole/JV		Sole	Λſ			٨٢	Sole	Sole
Country		India	India	India	India	India	India	India
2.2.2.2 (i)	se		98.11 Cr.	99.28 Cr.	427.48 Cr.	93.64 Cr.		
sole = 77.87 Cr. LM=46.72 Cr. OM=15.57 Cr.		310.43 Cr.	197.39 Cr.	9 Cr.	521.	521.11 Cr.	274.98 Cr.	230.95 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 23.36 Cr.	oility nplete .36 Cr.	28.03 Cr. "e"	28.64 Cr. Project code "d" of Lead Member	st code "d" of mber	49.09 Cr. Proj other m	49.09 Cr. Project code "c" of other member	159.60 Cr. "a"	54.38 Cr. "a"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=7.79, LM=4.67.		34.71 Cr	18.44 Cr.	56.84 Cr.	112.39 Cr.	33.83 Cr.		
OM=1.56)			75.28 Cr.	Cr.	146.2	146.22 Cr.	21.21 UL	45.69 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.36, LM=14.02,	€.	139.01 Cr.	55.94 Cr.	109.88 Cr.	220.18 Cr.	21.02 Cr.	17 EO C.	445 40 0
OM=4.67)			165.82 Cr.	Cr.	241.20 Cr.) Cr.	41.33 01.	140. 19 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=77.87, LM=46.72, OM=15.57)	Rs. in	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	eshold	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projects held with NHIDCL	Nos.	1	Т	ï	1			
	Cost	ı	ı	1			1	
wnetner meeting the lec Requirement	I echnical	Yes	Yes		Yes	ň	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness		Responsive	Responsive	sive	Responsive	nsive	Responsive	Responsive
Je Brun		Mall	foot					1/2

Annescure-I

		Anneaure -1
Sr. No.	6	7
Name of the bidder	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP
	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	Sole
Country	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)		
LM=46.72 Cr.	307.74 Cr.	313.57 Cr.
OM=15.57 Cr.		C.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 23.36 Cr.	170.42 Cr. "a"	52.78 Cr. "g"
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=7.79, LM=4.67, OM=1.56)	50.39 Cr.	34.54 Cr.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.36, LM=14.02, OM=4.67)	126.39 Cr.	136.58 Cr.
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=77.87, LM=46.72, OM=15.57)	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes

ful

F

Responsiveness

Whether meeting the Technical Requirement

Projects held with NHIDCL

Cost Nos.

80 Cr.

148 Cr.

-

Yes

~

Yes

Responsive

Responsive

fract

2/2

National Highway & Infrastructure Development Corporation (Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 20.09.2021 for "Four laning of Dolabari to Jamuguri section from km 17.3 of NH-37A to km 182.0 of NH-52 in the State of Assam under SARDP-NE, Phase A on EPC Mode".

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 26.07.2021 with Bid due date 08.09.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 09.09.2021 at 1700 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 7 (Seven)nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited
2	M/s Sailaja Commercial ConstructionPvt. Ltd. – M/s Anil Das (JV)
3	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
4	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited
5	M/s Sri Babul Nath
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited
7	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

Bidders				Details of	document su	bmitted as	per RFP	
Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrit y Pact (For work value upto 100 Cr. not required	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s Sailaja Commercial ConstructionPvt. Ltd. – M/s Anil Das (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

frold im

Bidders				Details of o	ocument sub	omitted as	per RFP	
Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Securing declaration	Integrit y Pact (For work value upto 100 Cr. not required	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
2	Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)			18 ⁴ 0.				
4	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	M/s Sri Babul Nath	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 7 (Seven) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 23,600/- (Rupees Twenty Three Thousand Six Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 155.73Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	155.73
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	77.87
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	46.72
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.57
5	Minimum required amount of Completed Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least One Similar Completed Work –15 % of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	23.36
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) I)	7.79
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category $3\&4$, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	7.79
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	7.79

2/4

10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.67
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.56
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	23.36
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	14.02
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	4.67
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	77.87
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	46.72
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.57

7. Observations of the committee:

The Committee observed that some bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as Net worth of FY 2019-20 and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20. The Committee considered the financial statements for net worth and annual turnover from FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have submitted the undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) and from FY 2016-17 to 2019-20 for such bidders who have not submitted undertaking as per RFP Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii).

8. As per the RFP, the Net worth of previous financial year and the Annual Average Turnover of the last five financial years certified by Statutory Auditor submitted in technical bid should be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI.

The Committee observed that some bidders have uploaded certificates indicating the Net Worth and Annual Turnover on the UDIN portal other than that of statutory auditor and few bidder have provided the UDIN no. not reflecting year wise break-up of annual turnover certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Committee decided to consider the values from the Audited Financial Statements.

9. After due deliberation TEC concluded that following 1 (One) firms are not eligible for opening of their financial bids due to the reasons given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Reasons
1	M/s Sri Babul Nath	As per RFP CI. 2.2.2.2 (ii), bidder should have at least one similar work of 15% of estimated Project Cost i.e. 23.36 Cr. from Category 1 or 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. All projects claimed by bidder are fall under category-4. Hence, no one project satisfied the RFP CI. 2.2.2.2 (ii). Accordingly, bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of RFP CI. 2.2.2.2. (ii). Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.

10. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	Technically-Responsive
2	M/s Sailaja Commercial ConstructionPvt. Ltd. – M/s Anil Das (JV)	Technically-Responsive
3	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd. – M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically-Responsive
4	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	Technically-Responsive

My foll

5	M/s Sri Babul Nath	Technically Non Responsive
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically-Responsive
7	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP	Technically-Responsive

11. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-I.

12. In accordance with RFP Cl.2.1.15, if any of the eligible bidder is awarded any fresh work prior to opening of the financial bid for the instant work, such that the total no. of works, either as sole of JV bidder, in 2 (Two). The bidder will be considered ineligible for opening of the financial bid for this work under RFP Cl. 2.1.15.

13. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bids of 6 (Six) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority and subject condition mentioned in para 12 above.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

(W. Blah, (ED-V) Convener

K C Bhatt, DGM(T) Member Secretary

Qu Lan

Col. B. Shivprasad, GM (T) Member

Bhaskar Mallick, Manager(Fin)

	1
	4
	6
	5
	-
	\mathbf{n}
	w l
13	5
	C
1	-

Sr. No.	۲	2		e		4	2
Name of the bidder	M/s Shrushti Contech Private Limited	M/s Sailaja Commercial Constructio _t Pvt. Ltd.	M/s Anil Das	M/s Vijeta Projects and Infrastructures Ltd.	M/s Sweety Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	M/s C.K. Infrastructures Limited	M/s Sri Babul Nath
	100%	60%	40%	51%	49%	100%	100%
Sole/JV	Sole	٨٢		λſ	~	Sole	Sole
Country	India	India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)		98.11 Cr.	99.28 Cr.	427.48 Cr.	93.64 Cr.		
Sole = 77.87 Cr. LM=46.72 Cr. OM=15.57 Cr.	310.43 Cr.	197.39 Cr.	9 Cr.	521.	521.11 Cr.	274.98 Cr.	230.95 Cr.
Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 23.36 Cr.	28.03 Cr. "e"	28.64 Cr. Project code "d" of Lead Member	ct code "d" of ember	49.09 Cr. Project code "c" of other member	ect code "c" of lember	159.60 Cr. "a"	Ni
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.)	34 71 Cr	18.44 Cr.	56.84 Cr.	112.39 Cr.	33.83 Cr.	24 04 04	AE GO C.
(SOUE-1.13, LM-4.07, OM=1.56)	0 1 .7	75.28 Cr.	C.	146.2	146.22 Cr.	21.21 UI.	40.09 CI.
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.36. LM=14.02	139.01 Cr.	55.94 Cr.	109.88 Cr.	220.18 Cr.	21.02 Cr.	47 59 Cr	145 19 Cr
OM=4.67)		165.82 Cr.	Cr.	241.20 Cr.	0 Cr.	2	
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=77.87, LM=46.72, OM=15.57)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Droiects held with NHIDCI	I	I	ı			а	-
	ı	т				ī	1
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	Yes	S	¥	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsiveness	Responsive	Responsive	Isive	Respo	Responsive	Responsive	Non Responsive

A MI

Annexuze - 1

Responsiveness	Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Cost	Projects held with NHIDCL Nos.	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=77.87, LM=46.72, OM=15.57)	Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=23.36, LM=14.02, OM=4.67)	Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=7.79, LM=4.67, OM=1.56)	Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single complete projects (Clause- 2.2.2.2-(ii) Rs. 23.36 Cr.	Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 77.87 Cr. LM=46.72 Cr. OM=15.57 Cr.	Country	Sole/JV		Name of the bidder	Sr. No.
Responsive	Yes	80 Cr.	-	Yes	Yes	126.39 Cr.	50.39 Cr.	170.42 Cr. "a"	307.74 Cr.	India	Sole	100%	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	6
Responsive	Yes	148 Cr.		Yes	Yes	136.58 Cr.	34.54 Cr.	52.78 Cr. "g"	313.57 Cr.	India	Sole	100%	M/s J. K. M. Infra Works LLP	7

Rel 1 Arsel

16

2/2