

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001


National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India

3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



BHARATMALA
ROAD TO PROSPERITY



NHIDCL
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION

CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/ NH17/JogighopaBridge/193297/2020-21/1052

Date 07.08.2021

To

All the respective bidders,

Sub: Construction of New Two-Lane Bridge with its approaches from Km 0+000 to Km 4+385 across River Brahmaputra on NH-17 at Jogighopa in the State of Assam on EPC mode.- Financial bid opening reg.

Tender ID: 2021_NHIDC_617637_1

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject project cited above. The following is the result of Technical Evaluation.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
3	M/s Ceigall India Limited	Technically Non-Responsive
4	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Technically Responsive
5	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive

2. The financial bids of the Technical Responsive Bidders shall be opened on 09.08.2021 (Monday) at 1700 Hrs. at NHIDCL HQ.

(W. Blah)
Executive Director-V

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS & INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee held at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on the date 06.08.2021 for "Construction of New Two-Lane Bridge with its approaches from Km 0+000 to Km 4+385 across River Brahmaputra on NH-17 at Jogighopa in the State of Assam on EPC mode."

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 19.02.2021 with Bid due date 25.07.2021.

2. Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the Technical Bids online through the CPP portal on 26.07.2021 at 1630 Hrs. No representatives of the bidder attended the opening of the technical bid.

3. On opening of the bids online through CPP Portal, the Committee observed that total 5 (Five) nos. of bids were received online on the CPP Portal against the subject project.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder
1	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.
2	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)
3	M/s Ceigall India Limited
4	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited
5	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)

4. In accordance with the Clause 2.15.2 of the RFP, the TEC opened and noted the receipt of following documents submitted by the bidders online through CPP Portal;

A. Bids Received on CPP Portal

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Security declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
1	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	M/s Ceigall India Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

62

1

1

1


1/4

Bidders Sr. no.	Name of Bidders	Details of document submitted as per RFP						
		Power of Attorney for Signing the bid if sole firm	Power of Attorney for the Lead Member of Joint Venture	Joint Bidding Agreement for Joint Venture	Bid Security declaration	Integrity Pact (For work value of 100 Cr. not required)	Bid document Cost	Undertaking of the Person having POA that they agree and abide by the bid documents uploaded
5	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

5. The Committee observed that all 5 (Five) bidders submitted the bid document fees of Rs. 70,800/- (Rupees Seventy Thousand Eight Hundred only) through online mode (RTGS/NEFT/other online mode considering difficulty in its physical submission due to COVID-19 situation) on online bid submission date.

6. The Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs. 504.56 Crore.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount Rs. in Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	504.56
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	504.56
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	302.74
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	100.91
5	Minimum required amount of Completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ Flyover project 20% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1) of RFP.	100.91
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i))	25.23
7	Minimum required amount of self-constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	25.23
9	Minimum Financial capacity (Net Worth) required as per clause 2.2.2.3. (i)	25.23
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.14
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.05
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	75.68
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	45.41
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	15.14



15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	252.28
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	151.37
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	50.46
18	Additional experience in construction of Bridge/ROBs/Flyovers shall have completed at least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1)	62.50 m

7. Further the Committee had sought clarification from all bidders as mentioned in para 3 of this minute. The bidders have furnished their comments as given in Annexure-I.


8. During the evaluation the committee observed that, following bidders have failed to meet the technical capacity as per required criteria of RFP. The name of the bidders and reasons of failing have been given below:

S.No.	Name of Bidders failing criteria	Remarks
1	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1), bidder should have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Bidder has asked clarification in this regard (see annexure-I). The project claimed by bidder does not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1). Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.
2	M/s Ceigall India Limited	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1), bidder should have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Bidder has asked clarification in this regard (see annexure-I). The project claimed by bidder does not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1). Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.
3	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1), bidder should have completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/Flyover project in the last 10 (Ten) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date, having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. Bidder has asked clarification in this regard (see annexure-I). The project claimed by bidder does not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1). Hence, bidder considered as non-responsive.

9. Based on the documents submitted by the bidders and their evaluation, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its meeting has discussed and deliberated that the following bidders are found to be technically responsive/non-responsive:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Responsiveness
1	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive
2	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive
3	M/s Ceigall India Limited	Technically Non-Responsive
4	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Technically Responsive
5	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)	Technically Non-Responsive

10. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the bidders are attached as Annexure-II.



11. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) has recommended opening of the financial bid of the above 2 (Two) technically responsive bidders subject to the approval of the Competent Authority.


Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



W. Blah,
(ED-V)
Convener



K C Bhatt,
DGM(T)
Member Secretary



Col. B. Shivprasad,
GM (T)
Member



Mahesh Gupta,
DGM (Fin)

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
1	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.	Appendices -XI of RFP	Annual Turnover of last five financial years submitted by bidder in format given in Appendix-XI of RFP should be refile on UDIN portal. However, annual turnover of financial years 2015-16 to 2018-19 are not reflecting on UDIN portal. Hence, bidder is requested to update the annual turnover on UDIN portal.	Submitted CA certificated with newly generated UDIN.	Turnover mentioned in the UDIN certificate, Appendix-XI and standalone financial statements do not match.
2	M/s RDS Project Limited – M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Appendix-X of RFP	Bidder should submit the copy of certificate of Net Worth of previous financial year in the format given in Appendix-X of RFP. Net Worth certified in this certificate should also be uploaded on UDIN portal of ICAI. The complete net worth of lead member M/s RDS Project Limited and JV partner M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. could not be located on UDIN portal. The same may be uploaded.	Submitted CA certificated with newly generated UDIN.	Net Worth as per UDIN and Appendix-X in respect of M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. included Revaluation Reserve, which is not allowed.







Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
		Appendix -XI of RFP	Annual Turnover of last five financial years submitted by bidder in format given in Appendix-XI of RFP should be refile on UDIN portal. The annual turnover of lead member M/s RDS Project Limited and JV partner M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. could not be located on UDIN portal of all five financial years. The same may be uploaded.	Submitted CA certificated with newly generated UDIN.	It is not clear whether annual turnover of M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. for FY 2019-20, 2018-19, 2016-17 and 2015-16 includes turnover of JV also.
		2.2.2.8 (i) of RFP	As per RFP Cl. 2.2.2.8 (i), bidders should submit the legible audited annual accounts of last five financial years including notes to accounts. The audited annual accounts submitted by JV partner M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. are not legible. Hence, bidder is required to submit the legible copy of the same.	Submitted legible copy of Financial Statements of financial years from 2015-16 to 2019-20.	Found satisfactory.
		2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and the bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. The same could not be located in the technical bid for JV partner M/s Vijay Nirman Company. Pvt. Ltd. Please clarify.	Bidder has been submitted legible copy.	Found satisfactory.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
		2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP	Bidder should shall have completed at-least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. The same could not located in the technical bid. Please indicate the project claimed to qualify eligibility criteria under Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP.	Claimed projects at page nos. 89 to 94 of technical bid.	Projects claimed by the bidder do not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) as it is not certified by the department. The cost bridge also not mentioned separately.
3	M/s Ceigall India Limited	Appendices –XI of RFP	Annual Turnover of last five financial years submitted by bidder in format given in Appendix-XI of RFP should be reflate on UDIN portal. The annual turnover of all five financial years could not be located on UDIN portal. The same may be uploaded.	It is submitted that as per UDIN creation process, there is provision of uploading of maximum of Three items with mandatory requirement of Two documents. Thus there is no provision to upload the 5 items as it is maximum restricted to three lines. So we have uploaded the mandatory 2 (Two) line items on the portal, as per the provision/ instructions on the website. Copy of F.A.Q. Downloaded from UDIN portal website is attached for ready reference please.	Found satisfactory.






Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
		2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP	Bidder should have completed at least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. The same could not located in the technical bid. Please indicate the project claimed to qualify eligibility criteria under Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP.	The concerned certificate duly signed by client/ Engineer-in charge/ Independent Engineer/ Authority's Engineer, has been uploaded with our Bid at page no. 85 & 86 of BID, the value of substantially completed work has been 195.12 Cr. and the span length has been 106 mtrs. Cop of the same has been attached herewith please.	Projects claimed by the bidder do not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a).
4	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Appendix –XI of RFP	Annual Turnover of last five financial years submitted by bidder in format given in Appendix-XI of RFP should be refile on UDIN portal. The annual turnover of all five financial years could not be located on UDIN portal. The same may be uploaded.	We would like to bring to your kind notice that UDIN is a unique document identification number which is generated by the system for every document certificate attested by a Chartered Accountant. The number of mandatory financial figure required is TWO out of three given fields at the time of generation of UDIN. Screenshot of ICAI FAQ related to generation of UDIN is attached herewith for your reference.	Annual Turnover as per Appendix-XI and books do not matched for 2016-17.



Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
		2.2.2.8 (i) of RFP	Bidders should submit the audited annual accounts of last five financial years along with legible notes to accounts. Notes to accounts of financial year 2020-21 are not legible and audited financial accounts of financial year 2016-17 to 2019-20 could not be located in the technical bid. Please clarify.	As desired, we herewith submitting legible copy of Audited Annual account of FY 2020-21. Further, This is to confirm that we have submitted Audited Annual Account of FY 2016-17 to 2019-20 as per below page nos. of Technical Bid. FY 2019-20 - Page No. 605 to 852 FY 2018-19 - Page No. 853 to 1104 FY 2017-18 - Page No. 1105 to 1352 FY 2016-17 - Page No. 1353 to 1604.	Found satisfactory.
		2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP	Bidder should shall have completed at-least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. The same could not located in the technical bid. Please indicate the project claimed to qualify eligibility criteria under Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP.	We have claimed below mentioned project and submitted experience certificate at Page No. 75 to 77 of Technical BID. The copy of the same is enclosed herewith for your ready reference. Project: - Eight-laning of Vadodara Kim Expressway from Km 279.00 to Km 292.00 (Ankleshwar to Manubar section of Vadodara Mumbai Expressway) in the State of Gujarat under NHDP Phase-VI on Hybrid Annuity mode. Work involved the construction of 8 Lane Major Bridge of Narmada River. Span Details: 1X75m + 3X120m + 1X75m Cost of Bridge: Rs. 826.447 Crores Completed	Found satisfactory.

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
5	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited – M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited (JV)	2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP	Bidder should have completed at least one similar Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than 50% of the longest span or 100 m, whichever is less of the structure proposed in this project and also the cost of such similar project shall be at least 20% of the Estimated Project Cost. However, same could not located in the technical bid. Please indicate the project claimed to qualify eligibility criteria under Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of RFP.	<p>Please refer page no. 2 & 3 of our online technical submission documents where the compliance of meeting the criteria of 62.5m span i.e. 50% of 125m span has been mentioned under clause no. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a1).</p> <p>GPT Infraprojects Limited being the lead member of JV meets the above mentioned criteria with any of the work falling under category 3 and highway sectors as per Cl. 2.2.2.5 (i) and 2.2.2.5 (iii) (a) respectively.</p> <p>Please refer page nos. 160 to 163 of our online technical submission documents for relevant client's certificates in proof of our claim.</p>	The project claimed for similar work does not qualify the eligibility criteria of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a).

12


2

for

MP

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
		Appendix-X and Appendix-XI of RFP	Bidder should submit the standalone Audited Financial Statements of last five years. However, lead member M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited has submitted consolidated financial statements. Hence, bidder is requested to submit the standalone financial statements.	<p>We have submitted the Appendix-X & Appendix-XI as per the format specified in the RFP, which are duly certified by the statutory auditors with valid UDINs. All the figures certified are standalone figures as certified by them, which may please be verified from the audited annual reports of last 5 years.</p> <p>Please refer page no. 166 to 169 for the certificates of statutory auditors issued as per specified formats for Appendix-X & Appendix-XI, which are based on standalone financial statements only which are submitted online in the form of Audited Annual Reports (please refer page no. 170 to 986).</p> <p>The Audited Annual Reports contains both consolidated and standalone financial statements of each financial year from 2019-20 to 2016-17. Only the standalone financial statements of FY 2020-21 are submitted herewith as it was not available during submission of our bid. Please refer page no. 318 to 377 of AAR of FY 2019-20, page no. 515 to 575 of AAR of FY 2018-19, page no. 704 to 764 of AAR of FY 2017-18 and page no. 892 to 940 of AAR of FY 2016-17 of our online technical submission documents.</p>	










		Appendix-X of RFP	Net Worth of JV partner M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited uploaded on UDIN portal are not clear that amount in rupees lakh or crore. Please clarify.	<p>The Net-worth Certificates of JV Partner M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited for FY 2020-21 (Provisional) has been uploaded along with our bid as Appendix-X (refer page no. 989 to 990 of our online technical submission documents). All the figures were in Rs. Lakhs.</p> <p>However, on specific request the concerned statutory auditor have issued a fresh Net-worth certificate as per Appendix-X in line with the submitted one by generating new UDIN to meet your requirements as modification of any figure on UDIN portal is not possible for them.</p> <p>If required, you may get the same verified from them directly. As the UDINs are generated by the Auditors as per their standard practice, bidders do not have any access/ control on this.</p> <p>The concerned statutory auditors of both the JV members are unable to modify any figures on UDIN portal and have issued fresh TO certificates in line with the submitted one as per Appendix-XI by generating new UDINs. Hope this will meet your requirement.</p> <p>If required, you may also get the same verified/ clarified from the concerned statutory auditors directly.</p> <p>Please refer page no. 1,172 of our online technical submission documents, where JV partner, M/s Bhartia Infra Projects</p>	Found satisfactory.
--	--	-------------------	--	--	---------------------

Sl. No.	Name of Bidders	Section/Clause reference	Clarification Sought	Reply	Remarks
				Limited, has given an undertaking on their letter head in regard of unavailability of audited financial statements of last financial year i.e. FY 2020-21, which is also countersigned/ certified by the concerned statutory auditor.	
		Appendix –XI of RFP	Annual Turnover of last five financial years submitted by bidder in format given in Appendix-XI of RFP should be refile on UDIN portal. However, annual turnover are not reflecting on UDIN portal of lead member M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited and JV partner M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited of all five financial years. Hence, bidder is requested to update the annual turnover on UDIN portal of all five financial years.	As the UDINs are generated by the Auditors as per their standard practice, bidders do not have any access/ control on this. The concerned statutory auditors of both the JV members are unable to modify any figures on UDIN portal and have issued fresh TO certificates in line with the submitted one as per Appendix-XI by generating new UDINs. Hope this will meet your requirement. If required, you may also get the same verified/ clarified from the concerned statutory auditors directly.	The annual turnover as per Appendix-XI and books do not matched for all the five years in respect of M/s GPT Infraprojects Limited.
		2.2.2.8 (ii) of RFP	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and the bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. However, same for JV partner M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited could not be located in the technical bid. Please clarify.	Please refer page no. 1,172 of our online technical submission documents, where JV partner, M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited, has given an undertaking on their letter head in regard of unavailability of audited financial statements of last financial year i.e. FY 2020-21, which is also countersigned/ certified by the concerned statutory auditor.	Found satisfactory.

Sr. No.	1	2		3	4	5	
Name of the bidder	M/s S.P. Singla Contractions Pvt. Ltd.	M/s RDS Project Limited	M/s Vijay Nirman Company Pvt. Ltd	M/s Ceigall India Limited	M/s Ashoka Buildcon Limited	M/s GPT Infraproject Limited	M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Limited
	100%	70%	30%	100%	100%	55%	45%
Sole/JV	Sole	JV		Sole	Sole	JV	
Country	India	India	India	India	India	India	India
Minimum threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Sole = 504.56 Cr. LM=302.74 Cr. OM=100.91 Cr.	3335.14 Cr.	922.77 Cr.	500.36 Cr.	2048.85 Cr.	3484.54 Cr.	702.75 Cr.	773.73 Cr.
		1423.13 Cr.				1476.48 Cr.	
Minimum required amount of completed at least one similar Major Bridge/ ROB/ Flyover project 20% of Estimated Project Cost as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) (a1) of RFP. Rs. 100.91 Cr.	469.36 Cr. “J” Span=120 M	NIL		NIL	816.67 Cr. “C” Span=120 m	NIL	
Minimum Net Worth (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=25.23, LM=15.14, OM=5.05)	657.47 Cr.	191.06 Cr.	151.99 Cr.	304.78 Cr.	140.36 Cr.	207.72 Cr.	244.99 Cr.
		343.05 Cr.				452.71 Cr.	
Average Annual Turnover (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=22.45, LM=13.47, OM=4.49)	1470.64 Cr.	458.69 Cr.	690.17 Cr.	468.59 Cr.	3282.49 Cr.	468.81 Cr.	592.77 Cr.
		1148.86 Cr.				1061.68 Cr.	
Whether meeting the Bid Capacity (Rs. in Cr.) (Sole=75.68, LM=45.41, OM=15.14)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Whether meeting the Technical Requirement	Yes	No		No	Yes	No	
Responsiveness	Responsive	Non-Responsive		Non-Responsive	Responsive	Non-Responsive	

*In case of inconsistency between CA certified value and books, lower value has been considered.