## राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार प्रथम तल, टावर ए, वर्ल्ड ट्रेड सेंटर, नौरोजी नगर, नई दिल्ली—110029,







## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India First Floor, Tower A, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi-110029, Tel: +91 11 26768950, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Procurement/Assam/2023-24-Part(2)/229229

13672

Date: 31.10.2025

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of

- (i) 4-Laning of NH-37 from Nagaon By-pass to Teliagaon (km 278.600 to km 288.600) in the state of Assam under SARDP-NE Phase A on EPC mode.
- (ii) 4-Laning of NH-37 from Teliagaon to Rangagara (km 288.600 to km 297.000) in the state of Assam under SARDP-NE Phase A on EPC mode.
- (iii) 4-Laning from Rangagara to Kaliabhor Tiniali from km 297.00 to km 315.315 of NH-37 on EPC basis.
- (iv) 4-Laning from Kaliabor Tinali to Dolabari section from Km 0.0 to Km 17.3 of NH 37-A including construction of new Brahmaputra bridge.
- (v) 4-Lane highway connecting km 17.3 of NH 37A and km 182 of NH 52 between Dolabari and Jamugurihat on EPC basis.
- (vi) Construction of Jia Bharali Bridge (1.2Km) along with its approaches and River Training Work from km 25.552 to km 27.500 of NH-37A (New NH-715).
- (vii) Construction of Service road and RCC drain of from Kaliabor-Tinali (0.000) to Kolong Bridge (0+600) on EPC mode on NH-37 A in the State of Assam Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.

Ref: NHIDCL/Assam/Dolabari-Jamuguri/AE/ Dated 22.07.2025 Tender ID:2025 NHIDC 870059 1

Please refer to your bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the final result of technical evaluation:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant experience for the assignment	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	Total Marks	Technically Responsive/ Non-Responsive
i.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in association with M/s Pentacon Limited	37.50	20.00	35.98	93.48	Provisionally Responsive subject to compliance as detailed in annexure.
ii.	M/s MSPARK FUTURISTICS & ASSOCIATES	21.50	20.00	32.42	73.92	Technically Non- Responsive as per clause 5.3 and clause 3.4(x)(f) of Section- 2 of RFP.

2. The bidders are requested to submit their representation, if any, within 07 days as per Cl. 10 (vii) of Data Sheet of RFP (i.e., on or before 07.11.2025) from the date of uploading of result.

- Salvar de

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
NO.		The bidder shall submit Integrity pact duly signed by all the members including associate member.
1.	M/s Technocrats	<ol> <li>Bidder does not have required number of the highway professionals found to be working with the firm to score claimed marks. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of some highway professionals are outdated.</li> <li>Bidder does not have required number of projects to score claimed marks under experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years. Some of the projects have not been completed, remaining projects of 2 lane have cost of consultancy less than Rs. 3 crore.</li> </ol>
		4. The proposed Resident cum Highway Engineer does not have required experience
		5. The proposed Bridge/Structural Engineer does not have required number of bridges/projects to score claimed marks in criteria for (i) experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges /any other structures as most of the projects are execution works. (ii) Experience in similar capacity in Supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges/Any other structures as most of the projects are execution works.
1		Bidder does not have required number of the highway professionals found to be working with the firm to score claimed marks. The documents uploaded on INFRACON portal in support of availability of highway professionals are outdated or some highway professionals do not have profiles in INFRACON portal.
		2. To score claimed marks in criteria of Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Construction Supervision in Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years, most projects are less than 40% of 4-L project length.
		3. To score claimed marks in criteria of DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length) or more in last 7 years, some project certificates do not have project completion date, some projects are incomplete and remaining projects of 2 lane have cost of consultancy less than Rs. 3 crore.
		<ol> <li>In-hand DPRs of Highway/ Bridge/ Tunnel Projects (presently under progress) of MoRTH/NHAI/NHIDCL: For many projects, proof of currency of assignment is not attached.</li> <li>The bidder does not have required number of projects with experience in Construction Supervision/ DPR/ Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years to score claimed marks.</li> </ol>
		L'accent old

Sr.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
NO.		6. The proposed TL is not having required experience to score claimed marks in criteria as (i) Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) as many projects do not have 4-L configuration. (ii) Team Leader or similar capacity of project Preparation including design of major highway Project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) as the projects claimed by the bidder are not meeting 40% length in similar configuration (iii) Experience in position of Team Leader/Project Manager or similar capacity in Construction Supervision/IC involving length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6** laning) and above as the projects claimed by the bidder are either less than 2 lane, or not meeting 40% similar configuration, or are of O&M nature.
		7. The proposed RE does not have required years of experience to score claimed marks in category as Resident Engineer/ Project Director/ Project Manager/ Superintending Engineer or equivalent/ Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/ Authority Engineer/ Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) as the KP does not have adequate experience in the specified designation in 4-L configuration.
		8. The proposed Bridge/Structural Engineer does not have required experience to score claimed marks in criteria for experience in similar capacity in Design/Construction/Construction Supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges/any other such structures (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) as some projects are not of 4-L configuration.
	i	9. The proposed RSE does not have required experience to score claimed marks in criteria for (i) Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above) as most projects are not of similar configuration (ii) Experience in similar capacity in Identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (IL/2/4/6 laning**) and above) as most projects are not of similar configuration.

