राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

No. NHIDCL/Mizoram/NH-102B/AE/2021-2022

Date: 23.08.2021

(A Government of India Enterprise)

Τo,

All Bidders.

Sub: Consultancy services as Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Upgradation to 2 lane with Paved shoulders of Tuivai - Keifang road, NH-102B of Aizawl - Imphal Economic Corridor from Existing Chainage Km 0.000 (Bridge across Tuivai River at Manipur Mizoram Border) to Km 119.108 (Near Keifang Village) [Design Chainage Km 0.000 to Km 98.579] (Package-I, II & III) in the State of Mizoram under Bharatmala Pariyojna on EPC mode" -Result of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids -reg.

Ref: Your bid dated 02.08.2021 for Tender ID: 2021_NHIDC_636797_1.

Sir,

Please refer to your proposal for the subject project. In this regard, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

SI. No.	Name of the Firm	Status of Eligibility
1.	M/s. Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with MAV Associates	Eligible
2.	M/s. L. N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Eligible

2. Financial bids will be opened on **24.08.2021 at 1100 hrs**, in presence of the authorized representative of bidders who may choose to attend.

(R S. Puri) General Manager (Technical) Email: gmt5@nhidcl.com

Encl: Minutes of Meeting of TEC dated 12.08.2021.



<u>National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited</u> (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) to open and evaluate the Technical bids for "Consultancy services as Authrority Enginer as Supervision of Upgradation to 2 lane with Paved shoulders of Tuivai-Keifang road, NH-102B of Aizawl-Imphal Economic Corridor from Existing Chainage Km 0.000 (Bridge across Tuivai River at Manipur Mizoram Border) to Km 119.108 (Near Keifang Village) [Design Chainage Km 0.000 to Km 98.579] (Package-I, II & III) in the State of Mizoram under Bharatmala Pariyojna on EPC mode."

Date: 12.08.2021 (01:00 PM)

Venue: PTI Building, 3rd Floor, Conference Hall, NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) opened the technical bids on 03.08.2021 and thereafter evaluated the proposals as per the terms of RFP document.

- 2. Member Secretary apprised that:
 - **a)** As per clause 4.1 of Section-2 of RFP, bidders are required to submit their Technical Proposal only through online mode i.e. via CPP portal and INFRACON portal and no separate hard copy was required to submit. The details of firms are as under:

Sr. No.	Name of Consultant	Type of Venture	Lead Member (or Sole)	Other Member (JV/ Associate)
1	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt Ltd In Association with MAV Associates	Association	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt Ltd	M/s MAV Associates
2	L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt Ltd	Sole	L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt Ltd	

b) As per the Section-3 & 4 of RFP, the bidders were required to upload the Experience of the firm and List of the proposed Key Expert Team & Summary of CVs Particulars on INFRACON Portal. Whereas, Site Appreciation, Approach paper on methodology for performing the assignment, Facility for field investigation and testing, Time schedule for deployment of Professional staff and Activity (works) schedule were required to be uploaded on CPP Portal.

2. Based on the credential submitted by the bidders on CPP Portal and INFRACON Portal, Evaluation of bids has been carried out manually as well as through Infracon portal. Results obtained through Infracon Portal are attached as Annexure-I which are showing incorrect value/scores obtained by the firm.

3. The Committee noted that the bidders submitted their Technical Proposals on CPP Portal & INFRACON portal and the evaluation has been carried out based on the details submitted by bidders. The proposals were examined as per Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) Data Sheet of RFP Document and the details of the evaluation are placed at Annexure-II.

Page 1 of 4

b) As per clause 5.6.8 (i) (Rejection of all Bidders/Retender) of Manual For Procurement of Work 2019, "The procuring entity may cancel the process of procurement or rejecting all bids anytime before intimating acceptance of successful bid under circumstances mentioned below incase where responsive bids are available, the aim should be finalised the tender by taking mitigating measures even in the conditions described below. If it is decided to Re-Bid the tender, the justification should balance the perceived risk in finalisation of tender (marginally higher rates) against the certainty of result and delays, cost escalations, loss of transparency in re-invited tender after such decision, all participating bidders would be informed and bids if not opened would not be opened in case of manual tenders be returned unopened".

1

"If (Rejection of all Bidders/ Retender), if effective competition is lacking. However, lack of Competition shall not be determined solely on the basis of "number of Bidders".

Hence, in this case, there are two bids and there is no lack of competition and accordingly, TEC noted that there is no need for re-bid the tender.

5. Also, the bids for Civil Work of Package-I, II, III were invited and the bids are to be opened on 13.08.2021 for Package-I & II. The SFC for Package-I, II has also been held. Hence, the tender for Authority Engineer for the subject work needs to be finalised. Accordingly, TEC is in opinion that both the bids may be evaluated considering the details mentioned in Para 4 above.

6. The Committee noted that as per clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 (Letter of Invitation to Consultants) of RFP Document, "If a CV score less than 75% marks, whatever marks it score will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. However, if the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum academic qualification (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), the overall score of his CV will be evaluated as zero. If the Key Personnel does not fulfill the minimum qualification related to experience (as mentioned at Enclosure-B of TOR of RFP), then zero marks will only be assigned for that sub criteria, but the marks obtained by the CV of the Key Personnel will be carried forward for maximum 3 nos. key personnel for determining the total score of the firm. In case, a firm is H-1, then all such Key Personnel (whose CV scores less than 75% or who does not fulfill the minimum qualification) will have to be replaced by the firm before signing the contract. The reduction in remuneration of such replacements shall be 10% for each replacement. In case more than 3 CV scores less than 75% marks or Team leader cum Senior Pavement Engineer scores less than 75% marks, the proposal shall be considered non-responsive''.

7. The Committee observed that all the 02 (two) firms as mentioned below are technically qualified in terms of provisions of RFP. The Committee noted the marks

Page 2 of 4

scored by the individual firm as under:

1

Firm N	lame: CHAITANYA PROJECTS	CONSULTANCY PVT LTD in Association with	MAV As	sociates	
Sr. No	Particulars		Max.	Points	
			Points	scored	_
(i)	Relevant experience for the assignment			40.00	% Mark
(ii)	Experience in use of technology	/ for road inspection	20.00		
	Qualifications and competence of the key staff or the assignment		40	36.84	1
	Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha	Team Leader Cum Senior Pavement Engineer	11	10.45	95
	Mr. Raj Kumar Singh	Resident cum Highway Engineer 1	5	4.55	91
<i>/</i>	Mr. Lavanya Kumar	Resident cum Highway Engineer 2	5	4.55	91 .
(iii)	Mr. Kuldeep Chand Sharma	Resident cum Highway Engineer 3	5	4.55	91
	Mr. Brindaban Parwar	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	5	4.55	91
	Mr. Bijay Kumar	Bridge/structural Engineer	5	4.55	91
	Mr. Mahesh Chandra Goyal	Road Safety Expert	4	3.64	91
Total Marks				96.84	

Sr. No.		Particulars	Max. Points	Points scored			
(i)	Relevant experience for the assignment		40	40.00	% Marks		
(ii)	Experience in use of technology for	or road inspection	20	20.00			
(111)	Qualifications and competence of the key staff or the assignment		40	36.58			
	Mr. Dharma Datt Bhatt	Team Leader Cum Senior Pavement Engineer	11	10.01	91		
	Mr. Mohd Arif Ahmed	Resident cum Highway Engineer 1	5	4.55	91		
	Mr. L H Yogendrappa	Resident cum Highway Engineer 2	5	4.55	91		
	Mr. Mungara Surendra Kumar	Resident cum Highway Engineer 3	5	4.55	91		
	Mr. Sujeet Srivastava	Senior Quality cum Material Expert	5	4.55	91		
	Mr. Prabhat Kumar Gautam	Bridge/structural Engineer	5	4.55	91		
	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma	Road Safety Expert	4	3.82	95		
Total Marks				96.58			

Page 3 of 4

8. In view of the above, TEC decided to ask the bidders to submit their comment within 7 days as per clause 10(vii) of datasheet of RFP by uploading the technical score and eligibility criteria on website.

Sh. Bhaskar Mallick Manager (Fin.) (Member)

L

Sh. R. 5 uri

GM (Tech.) (Member Secretary)

Sh A. GM(Tech.) (Member)

Sh. Ájay Áhluwalia Executive Director-I Chairman