## राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com



ROAD TO PROSPERITY



A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

Dated: 03.12.2018

सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

NHIDCL/ACP/Rooftop Cafe/2018 923

То

M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd.	M/s GPS Contractor	M/s DHD Eng. Pvt. Ltd.
B-1, Zamrudpur, Opp LSR College New Delhi-110048 Email: info@3cinfrasys.com	B-15, Gali No. 6, Sheetla Enclave Atul Kataria Chowk Gurgaon-122001 Email: santoshgps.kumar@gmail.com	A-41, Gali no. 3, Mahendru Enclave Near Azadpur, Delhi-110033 Email: dhingra_shrey@yahoo.com

**Sub:** Construction of Rooftop Cafe at the terrace level of Automated Multilevel Car Parking at Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001-Technical Evaluation Result-Reg.

Sir,

Please refer to your bids submitted for the subject project. Bids of the following firms have been found technically responsive and eligible for opening of Financial Bids.

Sr. No. Name of Firm		Eligibility Status
1	M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd.	Technically responsive
2	M/s GPS Contractor	Technically responsive
3	M/s DHD Eng. Pvt. Ltd.	Technically non-responsive

2. Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee are enclosed herewith.

3. Financial Bids of the aforementioned technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 03.12.2018 at 1600 Hrs at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi.

Rajeev Sood

Rajeev Sood General Manager (Tech)

Encl: As above.

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd (Technical Division)

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for evaluation of Technical Bids for the work "Construction of Rooftop Cafe at the terrace level of Automated Multilevel Car Parking at Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi" at NHIDCL HQ, New Delhi on 27.11.2018.

- 1) The Bids for the subject work were invited on 07.09.2018 with Bid Due Date on 17.10.2018.
- 2) In all 03 (Three) Bids were received from the following firms till the scheduled Bid Due Date.
  - a) M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd.
  - b) M/s DHD Eng. Pvt. Ltd.
  - c) M/s GPS Contractor.

**3)** The Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) opened the bids on 18.10.2018. The technical evaluation of the bids was carried out by the Financial Consultant M/s Darashaw and Company Private Limited to adjudge the technical responsiveness of the bids. The Committee deliberated upon the report of the Financial Consultant and opined to seek clarifications from the bidders due to non-submission of certain documents required as per RFP, vide Minutes of Meeting dated 12.11.2018.

**4)** Accordingly, following clarifications were sought from the 03 (three) bidders. The Financial Consultant deliberated upon the clarifications received from the bidders and submitted the final evaluation report vide email dated 27.11.2018 (Annexure-I). The abstract of the evaluation report is as under:

M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd.					
Sr. No.	Reference	Clarification Sought	Reply of Bidder	Comments of Financial Consultant	Comments of ETEC
1.	Power of Attorney	As per clause 4(a) of Section II of RFP, the bidder should submit original as well as scan copy of Power of Attorney. However, during the bid evaluation, it has been found that the Bidder has not submitted the Power of Attorney. Bidder to clarify.	The Bidder has submitted the Power of Attorney in response to the clarification sought.	Acceptable.	Agreed.
2.	Financial Statement	As per clause 4(c) of Section II of RFP, the bidder should submit reports on the financial standing for the last three financial years and a certificate from	The Bidder has submitted the Certificate from Chartered Accountant as a proof of turnover for the FY 2013-14 to 2016-17. However, the bidder has not submitted the Financial	Considering the Annual Turnover for the FY 2017-18 as 0, the Annual Average	Agreed

Page 1 of 6

		Chartered Accountant as a proof of turnover for the last three financial years. During the bid	Statement for the year 2017- 18.	Turnover for the last three FY i.e. 2015-16,16-17 & 17-18 is Rs.	
		evaluation, it has been found that the bidder has not submitted the financial statement of		3.24 Crore. Hence, acceptable as	
		FY 2017-18. Further, the bidder has not submitted a certificate from Chartered		per RFP.	
		Accountant as a proof of turnover for the last three financial years Bidder to clarify.			
5.	Work Experience	The bidder has submitted work experience of following: a. Civil, Finishing and	The bidder has submitted the photographs of IBIS hotel, wherein they have performed	The Consultant is of the view that it is not a	The Committee is of the opinion is that since the
		External Development work in IBIS hotel. b. Execution of Electrical works for	the Civil, Finishing and External Development work.	specific work of construction of café as seen	bidder has carried out Civil, Finishing and
		T&T motors Mercedes Benz work shop at Gurgaon.		from the project name of the experience	External Development work at the
		c. Construction of Girls hostel and Staff Quarter for Raipur Institute of Medical		certificate providedAs such, the	contract price of Rs. 9.24 crore in IBIS Hotel ,
		Sciences. d. Architectural Finishing woks at		Financial Consultant has	hence, they have ample experience
		Janpath Station. e. Civil and Interior work of Audi Workshop at Okhla.		requested the Competent Authority to take	which is in line with the scope
		f. Construction of Triplex row houses at Chouhan Green Valley.		a view.	of work of the present assignment and
		g. Finishing work for L&T on Labour Basis. As per the requirement of RFP, the bidder			should be competent enough to carry
		should submit experience certificate of <i>similar works which</i>			out the present subject project. of construction
		<i>include Construction of café.</i> However, the above mentioned works does not fall			of café which is essentially civil
		under the criteria of similar works as required as per RFP.			construction work, electrical, finishing
		Therefore the bidder is required to clarify why			works,etc.
		sur .	Λ . Ι		Page <b>2</b> of <b>6</b>

•

		these works should be considered under similar nature of work as mentioned in RFP.			Hence, Acceptable.
4.	Main Object of the Company	The bidder has submitted a copy of Memorandum of Association and Article of Association, wherein there is no mention about the construction activities in the Main Object of the Company. <i>Bidder to</i> <i>clarify.</i>	The bidder has submitted Memorandum & Article of Association wherein they have mentioned about their authorization to undertake contracts relating to civil construction works and its allied activities.	Acceptable	Agreed.
			Pvt. Ltd.		
1.	Power of Attorney	As per clause 4(a) of Section II of RFP, the bidder should submit original as well as scan copy of Power of Attorney. However, during the bid evaluation, it has	No Clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time.	Since, no clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time bence the	Since reasonable tim was given to th bidder to subm the clarificatior and yet no
		been found that the Bidder has not submitted Power of Attorney in favor of the Authorized signatory. <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>		time, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project.	clarifications received, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project
2.	Financial Statement	As per clause 4(c) of Section II of RFP, the bidder should submit reports on the financial standing of the Bidder, and a certificate from Chartered Accountant as a proof of turnover for the last three financial years. However, during the bid evaluation, it has been found that the bidder has submitted financial statement for the FY 2014-15, 2015- 16 and 2016-17 instead of FY 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18. <i>Bidder to clarify.</i>	No Clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time.	Since, no clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project	Since reasonable time was given to the bidder to submi the clarification and yet no clarifications received, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project
,	I	Didden to charmy.	An A	jough	Page <b>3</b> of <b>6</b>

Jaw

An A Page 3 of 6

3.	Work Experience	The bidder has submitted the experience of only one work of Construction of Entrance gate at IMT Rohtak. However, in support of the work there is neither original Experience Certificate nor Notarized copy of certificate duly signed by authorized signatory as per clause 12(e) of RFP is enclosed. As per the requirement of RFP, the bidder should submit experience certificate of similar works include Construction of café. However the above mentioned works does not fall under the criteria of similar works as required under RFP. Therefore, the bidder is required to clarify why these works should be considered under similar nature of work as mentioned in RFP.	No Clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time.	Since, no clarification received from the bidder within the stipulated time, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project	Since reasonable time was given to the bidder to submit the clarification and yet no clarifications received, hence, the bidder is not eligible for the project
1.	Power of Attorney	As per clause 4(a) of RFP, the bidder should submit original as well as scan copy of Power of Attorney. During the bid evaluation, it has been found that the Bidder has not submitted Power of Attorney in favour of the Authorised signatory.	<b>GPS Contractor.</b> The bidder has submitted a Declaration Letter stating that it is a proprietorship firm and Seema Dwiwedi is the proprietor of the firm as well as the Authorized Signatory.	Acceptable.	Agreed.
2.	Financial Statement	Bidder to clarify. As per clause 4(c) of Section II of RFP, the bidder should submit reports on the financial standing of the Bidder for the last three financial years., and a certificate from Chartered Accountant as a proof of turnover for the last three financial years.	The Bidder has submitted the Certificate from Chartered Accountant as a proof of turnover for the last three financial years, as well as submitted the Financial Statement for the FY 2015- 16, 2016-17 and 2017-18.	The same is acceptable and the Average Annual turnover for the last three FY is Rs. 5.24 Crore. Hence, acceptable as per RFP.	Agreed.
Y	/	Page *	Ar	AjayA	Page <b>4</b> of <b>6</b>

		work, electrical,
		finishing
		works,etc.
		Hence,
		Acceptable

**5)** Keeping in view the Table is para 4 above, the Committee opined that M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd. and M/s GPS Contractor are technically responsive for opening of Financial Bids, whereas M/s DHD Eng. Pvt. Ltd. is technically non-responsive.

6) The Committee recommends to open the Financial Bids of M/s CCC Infrasys Pvt. Ltd. and M/s GPS Contractor, after the approval of the Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Col Ajay Ahluwalia (Retd) (ED-I) Chairman

Col Rajeev Sood (Retd) GM (Tech) Member Secretary

Pradeep Sharma

GM (Tech)

Member

V. Jaiswal DGM (Tech) Member

U. Chatterjee DGM (Fin) Member

The committee hes no authority to change she provision of RFP. Financial consultant also not reconded in the absence of enperience in combindón of cape. Before recommending ette opening of financial bid, append four competent authorts may be letter for acceptance derivation of RFP. Ofelli