

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./Hunli-Hayul(Pkg-III)/235395/2023-24/3607

Date: 28.02.2024

To,

All Bidders

**Sub:** Construction of Intermediate Lane with Hard Shoulder of Hunli to Hayuliang (Package-III) from design Km 60.000 to Km 121.500 (Design Length 61.500 km, Greenfield) Section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) in the State of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode- **Result of Technical Evaluation- Reg.**

**Ref.:** NIT dated 04.01.2024.

Please refer to your bid submitted on CPP portal for the subject project. The following is the result of technical evaluation:

S. No	Name of Bidders	Responsiveness	Status of Local Supplier	Remarks
i.	M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Provisionally Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	Subject to confirmation of Surety Bond for Bid Security.
ii.	M/s Ansal Highway Infratech Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Password Protected	As per clause 1.2.4 of section-1, 2.11.2 and 3.1.6 of RFP as explained in Annexure-A.
iii.	M/s Bhartia Infra Projects Ltd	Provisionally Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	Subject to SFMS confirmation of e-BG for Bid Security.
iv.	M/s Gammon Engineers and Contractors Private Limited-Choudhary Power Project Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	LM: Class-I Local Supplier OM: Class-I Local Supplier	As s per clause 2.2.2.3(i) & 2.2.2.4(i) of RFP as explained in Annexure-A. SFMS confirmation of BG for Bid Security is pending.
v.	M/s Gawar Construction Limited-Heera Ram Godara (JV)	Non-Responsive	LM: Non Local Supplier	As per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & 2.2.2.4(i) of RFP as explained in Annexure-A.

			OM: Class-I Local Supplier	LM- CA certificate for Local Content does not mention project name. SFMS confirmation of BG for Bid security is pending.
vi.	M/s Jandu Construction India Pvt. Ltd	Provisionally Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	Subject to confirmation of Surety Bond for Bid Security.
vii.	M/s Kram Infracon Private Limited- YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	LM: Non Local Supplier OM: Non Local Supplier	As per clause 2.2.2.2 (i), 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP as explained in Annexure-A. LM & OM - CA certificate for Local Content does not mention project name. Confirmation of Surety Bond for Bid Security is pending.
viii.	M/s M G Contractors Pvt Ltd	Provisionally Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	Subject to SFMS confirmation of e-BG for Bid Security.
ix.	M/s MKC Infrastructure Ltd - "Altis-Holding" Corporation (JV)	Provisionally Responsive	LM: Class-I Local Supplier OM: Non Local Supplier	Subject to submission of compliances as explained in Annexure-A. SFMS confirmation of BG for Bid Security is pending.
x.	M/s R & C Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Password Protected	As per clause 1.2.4 of section-1, 2.11.2 and 3.1.6 of RFP as explained in Annexure-A.
xi.	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor Private limited	Non-Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	As per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP as explained in Annexure-A. SFMS confirmation of BG for Bid Security is pending.

xii.	M/s RDS Project Ltd-OJSC-Asian Construction "EVRASCON"(JV)	Non-Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	As per clause 2.2.2.3 (i), 2.2.2.4 (i) & 2.11.2 (i) of RFP as explained in Annexure-A. Confirmation of Surety Bond for Bid Security is pending.
xiii.	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Password Protected	As per clause 1.2.4 of section-1, 2.11.2 and 3.1.6 of RFP as explained in Annexure-A.
xiv.	M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Ltd.	Provisionally Responsive	Class-I Local Supplier	Subject to SFMS confirmation of BG for Bid security.

2. As per Note-4 of RFP Cl. 2.2.2.1 of RFP, the bidders are required to submit the updated bid capacity by 11:00 hrs. on 06.03.2024 on official email ID as mentioned in RFP as on the day before opening the Financial Bids. Further, in reference to Cl. 2.19 of RFP, the bidders may submit their representations, in case of any objection, within 07 days from the date of uploading of technical result (i.e., on or before 06.03.2024).

3. Financial bids of technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 06.03.2024 at 1500 hrs. at NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

*28-02-24*  
Surendra Yadav  
GM (Tech.)



## Annexure- A

S. No	Name of Bidders	Responsiveness	Remarks
i.	M/s Ansal Highway Infratech Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Technical bid uploaded is locked with passwords and could not be opened for evaluation. Also original documents not received as required under clause 2.11.2 of RFP. Hence, non-responsive under clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
ii.	M/s Gammon Engineers and Contractors Private Limited-Choudhary Power Project Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	As per the statutory auditor certificate, Net Worth of the bidder (lead member) works out to be Rs. 66.05 crores. However, in the Audited Financial statements submitted for the FY 2022-23, the Statutory Auditor of the bidder has issued qualified opinion on interest waiver of Rs. 157.89 crores credited to Statement of Profit & Loss in the FY 2022-23. Deducting such amount from income of the said period will result in negative net worth of the company. Substantial documentary evidence indicating the conclusion of Debt Restructuring and its effect has not been submitted in the bid document. Therefore, the bidder is non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.3(i), 2.2.2.4(i) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
iii.	M/s Gawar Construction Limited-Heera Ram Godara (JV)	Non-Responsive	The other member has executed the project under code "b" as sub-contractor. However, certificate in support of approval of sub-contract by the client in the name of the other member is not available in the technical bid as required under para-7 of Annexure-IV of RFP, which stipulates that "In case the work has been executed as a sub-

			contractor of the main contractor, approval of the Authority must be submitted along with the bid". Accordingly, this project has not been considered for assessing the threshold technical capacity of the other member. Hence, the threshold technical capacity of other member is found to be Rs 140.78 Cr only, against the minimum threshold technical capacity requirement of Rs 181.14 Cr as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. Therefore, the bidder M/s Gawar Construction Limited - Heera Ram Godara (JV) is technically non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
iv.	M/s Kram Infracon Private Limited- YFC Projects Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	The lead member has executed the project under codes "b" to "e" & "g" as sub-contractor. However, certificates indicating the approval of sub-contract by the client in the name of the lead member are not available in the technical bid as required under para-7 of Annexure-IV of RFP, which stipulates that "In case the work has been executed as a sub-contractor of the main contractor, approval of the Authority must be submitted along with the bid". Accordingly, these projects have not been considered for assessing the threshold technical capacity of the lead member. Hence, the threshold technical capacity of the lead member is Rs 256.04 Crore only, against the minimum threshold technical capacity requirement of Rs 543.42 Cr as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. Also, the bidder has claimed one project having single span of 110 mtr as additional experience of executing minimum 70 m span bridge. However, this work was not completed as on 31.03.2023 as mentioned in the client

21

28-02-2024

			certificate. Hence, the bidder is not meeting the additional experience of constructing minimum 70 m span bridge required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP. Therefore, the bidder M/s Kram Infracon Private limited in JV with YFC Projects Private Limited is technically non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i), 2.2.2.4 (ii) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
v.	M/s MKC Infrastructure Ltd - "Altis-Holding" Corporation (JV)	Provisionally Responsive	Financial bid shall be opened subject to submission of original apostille experience certificates of JV member M/s "Altis-Holding" Corporation as per clause 2.11.2 (i) of RFP.
vi.	M/s R & C Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Technical bid uploaded is locked with passwords and could not be opened for evaluation. Also original documents not received as required under clause 2.11.2 of RFP. Hence, non-responsive under clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
vii.	M/s Ram Kumar Contractor Private limited	Non-Responsive	None of the projects submitted by the bidder in the technical bid is meeting the additional experience of constructing minimum 70 m span bridge required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder is technically non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.
viii.	M/s RDS Project ltd- OJSC-Asian Construction "EVRASCON"(JV)	Non-Responsive	There is mismatch in value of Reserve & surplus (USD 273,841 as per Annual Financial Statements and USD 153,442,986 as per statutory auditor certificate. Please refer to Page No 587 and Page No 76 of technical bid. As per the Annual Financial Statements submitted by the bidder, Net Worth of other member works out to be Rs. 4.13 crore only, against the minimum requirement of Rs. 9.06 Cr as per Cl. 2.2.2.3 (i) & 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. Hence, the Bidder is non-responsive as per



			<p>clause 2.2.2.3 (i) &amp; 2.2.2.4 (i) of RFP. Also, as per clause 2.11.2 (i) of RFP, the foreign origin bidder needs to submit original apostille experience certificates physically. However, the bidder has not physically submitted the original apostille experience certificates of the JV member, M/s OJSC Euro-Asian Construction Corporation "EVRASCON" as required under clause 2.11.2 (i) of RFP. Hence, the bidder M/s RDS Project Ltd-OJSC-Asian Construction "EVRASCON"(JV) is technically non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.3(i), 2.2.2.4(i), 2.11.2(j) and 3.1.6.1 of RFP.</p>
ix.	M/s Spica Projects and Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	<p>Technical bid uploaded is locked with passwords and could not be opened for evaluation. Also original documents not received as required under clause 2.11.2 of RFP. Hence, non-responsive under clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.</p>