राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110 001
National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2335 1282, www.nhidcl.com
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम
A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No. - NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil Works/MTM (0-20)/2018

Date: 14.03.2018

To,

M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Limited	**M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.**

Subject:- Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing km 00.000 to km 20.456 [Design km 00.000 to km 20.000] (Design Length - 20.00 km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE-**Opening of Financial bids regarding**

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 28.02.2018 for the subject project. The following is the list of technically responsive Bidders whose financial bids shall be opened on 19.03.2018 at 1100 hrs in NHIDCL HQ, 3rd Floor PTI Building. 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Manaranjan Brahma	Technically Non-Responsive (Please ref. Letter dated 08.03.2018)
2	M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Limited	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

2. All the technically responsive bidders are requested to provide Appendix-IA of Annexure VI A duly filled up and signed by 16.03.2018 (1600 Hrs.) positively. The financial bids shall be opened in accordance with para 3.2 of RFP.

3. All the Authorized representatives are requested to attend the opening of Financial Bids at the Scheduled date and Time.

Y.C. Srivastava

GM (Tech)

Encl. – (i) MoM of ETEC, 1st and 2nd meeting
(ii) Letter to M/s Manaranjan Brahma dated 08.03.2018

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड
सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4–संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110 001
National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com
CIN: U45400DL2014GOI269062
BUILDING INFRASTRUCTURE - BUILDING THE NATION
सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम
A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

No. NHIDCL/Nagaland/Civil works/Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon/0-20/2018 Date: 08.03.2018

To,
M/s Manaranjan Brahma
W/N – 4, Depot Road,
Kokrajhar-783370
Assam
Phone : 8876055466
Email id: mb.civil.mech@gmail.com

(Kind Attention: Shri Manaranjan Brahma, Proprietor)

Subject:- "Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing Km 0.000 to Km 20.456 [Design Km. 0.000 to Km. 20.000] (Design Length – 20.000 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE"- **Non-responsive bid regarding**

Sir,

Please refer to your bid dated 27.02.2018 for the subject project.

2. It is to intimate that your bid stands non-responsive on the account of non-submission of Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee and Cost of Document in the form of Demand Draft as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.

Y.C Srivastava
General Manager (T)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) regarding evaluation of Technical Bids of "Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing Km 0.000 to Km 20.456 [Design Km. 0.000 to Km. 20.000] (Design Length – 20.000 Km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 07.03.2018 **(1st Meeting).**

1. The bids for the subject work were ~~invited and~~ physically received on/before the scheduled bid due date 28.02.2018 upto 1100 hrs.

2. ETBC met to open the Technical bid on 01.03.2018 at 1100 Hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online –

(i) M/s Manaranjan Brahma
(ii) M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Limited.
(iii) M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.

3. The Bid were opened online as well as hard copies physically, in the presence of the representatives of the bidders who chose to attend. The hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/) were handed over to the concerned Financial Consultant M/s PARY & Co. for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids.

4. Vide Para 4 of ETBC Minutes of Meeting dated 01.03.2018, Committee observed that "As per Clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP, the bid of Manaranjan Brahma is found to be non-responsive on two accounts mainly Bid security and Cost of Document. However, the Committee opined that the content of MSME certificate for exemption may be re-examined by the financial consultant and explicit recommendation on this account may be given in its report."

5. The Financial Consultant submitted its report vide letter no. PARY/NHIDCL/2017-18/024 dated 06.03.2018 and stated that 1 (one) Bidder namely, Manaranjan Brahma stands non-responsive on the account of non-submission of Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee and Cost of Document in the form of Demand Draft as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.

6. The Financial Consultant M/s PARY & Co. during preliminary RFP evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the remaining 2 (two) Bidders are inadequate or requires clarification for further evaluation. It is proposed by the Financial Consultant to seek clarification from the Bidders before further evaluation. Details of clarifications required are as below-

Name of the Bidder: M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Limited

Clarifications are required for the below mentioned matters:			
Sl. No.	**Appendix/ Annex No.**	**Project Code (if any)**	**Description of Clarifications sought**
1	**Appendix-IA(Letter Comprising the Technical Bid)**	**NA**	Bidder has not mentioned the place of signing Appendix IA. Please Clarify.
2	**Annex-I (Details of Applicant)**	**NA**	In table below point no.5 (d) the bidder has omitted the para 1 and 2. Further, bidder has either providing the requisite details or mentioning Nil instead of mentioning NA. Therefore, Bidder is required to provide the details w.r.t. point no. 5(d) of Annex-I as prescribed in the RFP.
3	**Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)**	**NA**	1. In the Statutory Auditor's certificate submitted by the Bidder, Methodology adopted for calculating the net worth of the preceding financial year is not specified, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of the RFP. Please Clarify. 2. The Bidder has not provided the detail of Bankers which is required as

Clarifications are required for the below mentioned matters:			
Sl. No.	**Appendix/ Annex No.**	**Project Code (if any)**	**Description of Clarifications sought**
			per instruction no. 6 to the format of Annex-III as prescribed in the RFP. Please Clarify.
4	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**a to g**	For project code *a to g*, Bidder has not mentioned that "***Construction work has been executed by them***", which is required as per the format of Certificate regarding construction work from the Statutory Auditor provided under point 14 of Annex-IV of the RFP. Please clarify through Statutory Auditor certificate.
5	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**a**	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening of existing (R&B) Sinle lane road to double lane road from km. 0/0 to 40/6 on Nagarkarnool- Kollapur road in Mahabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 31.01.2013. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 31.01.2013 whereas in client certificate mentioned that "Date of Completion is mentioned upto 30.09.2014". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
6	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**b**	1. Bidder has claimed "Output & Performance based Road contract for Package 9 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, in the certificate submitted it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 17.09.2010 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 23.01.2014". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
7	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**c**	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Package 25 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 26.03.2012. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 26.03.2012 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion as per agreement 25.03.2017". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
8	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**d**	Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Package 24 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, in the certificate submitted it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 01.03.2012 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 28.02.2017". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
9	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**e**	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Yerrigera Leeza Alampur road from Km 24/0 to 50/0 in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 30.05.2014. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 30.05.2014 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 31.03.2016". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual

Clarifications are required for the below mentioned matters:			
Sl. No.	**Appendix/ Annex No.**	**Project Code (if any)**	**Description of Clarifications sought**
			date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
10	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**f**	In the certificate submitted, it was mentioned that "project was/is likely to be commissioned on 10.10.2014 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion as per agreement upto 30.09.2017". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
11	**Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)**	**g**	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening of the road Mahabubnagar- Mannanur road from km. 71/260 to 95/3 in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 30.03.2013. Also, it was mentioned "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 30.03.2013 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 31.03.2015"Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.
12	**Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Common Bid Security)**	**NA**	In para 14, Banker has mentioned "Main Branch, New Delhi" instead of mentioning the details of branch at New Delhi, which is required as per format of Appendix-II as prescribed in the RFP. Therefore, bidder is required to submit an amendment to the Bank Guarantee duly mentioning the detail of branch of New Delhi at para 14.
13	**Appendix-III (POA for signing of Application)**	**NA**	1. Bidder has not mentioned the Address of the executor of POA. Please clarify. 2. In 5th para, Designation of the attorney has mentioned as "Director" and at the place of signing of attorney the Designation has mentioned "Managing Director". Please Clarify
14	**Annex- VI (Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity)**	**NA**	The Bidder has submitted a table showing value of B, i.e. a table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 3 year, however, the last para i.e. "*The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s……individually / and other member M/s …. and M/s….., as on bid due date of this RFP.*" as prescribed in the format has been omitted by the Bidder. Please clarify the same through Statutory Auditor's certificate.
15	**Audited Annual Reports**	**NA**	The bidder has not submitted the Audited Annual Accounts for the last 5 (five) Financial Years (2012-13 to 2016-17) which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8 (i) and as per instruction no. 1 to Annex-III of the RFP. Therefore the Bidder is required to furnish the same.

Name of the Bidder: M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.

Clarifications are required for the below mentioned matters:			
Sl. No.	**Appendix/ Annex No.**	**Project Code (if any)**	**Description of Clarifications sought**
1.	**Annex-V (Statement of**	**NA**	Statement of Legal Capacity is signed by Mr. D.M. Ramesh instead of Mr. Pratap Potluri who is the Authorized Signatory, which is required as

	Legal Capacity)		per format of Annex-V of RFP. Therefore, the Bidder is required t clarify the same.

7. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** is of the view that the clarification as requested by the Financial Consultant may be sought from the respective contractors subject to approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Col Ajay Ahluwalia
(ED-I): Chairman

Y. C Srivastava
GM (Technical): Member Secretary

Adil Singh
GM (Technical):Member

U S Prasad
DGM (Technical): Member

Uttam Chatterjee
DGM (Finance): Member

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of 2nd Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction of two-Lane with hard shoulders of Merangkong-Tamlu-Mon Road on EPC basis from existing km 00.000 to km 20.456 [Design km 00.000 to km 20.000] (Design Length - 20.00 km) in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 14.03.2018

1. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** held on 07.03.2018, and replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report submitted by the Financial Consultant vide letter no. PARY/NHIDCL/2017-18/028 dated 13.03.2018 were deliberated by the ETEC in 2nd meeting held on 14.03.2018. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations, reply received and the submissions of Financial Consultant are tabulated below.

Name of the bidder: M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Ltd.

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
1.	Appendix-IA(Letter Comprising the Technical Bid)	NA	Bidder has not mentioned the place of signing Appendix IA. Please Clarify.	Place: Hyderabad	Bidder in its reply has mentioned the place of Signing of Appendix- IA, which fulfills the requirement of clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.	Agreed
2.	Annex-I (Details of Applicant)	NA	In table below point no.5 (d) the bidder has omitted the para 1 and 2. Further, bidder has either providing the requisite details or mentioning Nil instead of mentioning NA. Therefore, Bidder is required to provide the details w.r.t. point no. 5(d) of Annex-I as prescribed in the RFP.	The Modified Annex-I is attached	Bidder along with has submitted the revised Annex-I duly mentioning the details against Point no. 5d of Annex-I, which fulfills the requirement of clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.	Agreed
3.	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	1. In the Statutory Auditor's certificate submitted by the Bidder, Methodology adopted for calculating the net worth of the preceding financial year is not specified, which is required as per clause 2.2.2.9 (ii) of the RFP. Please Clarify. 2. The Bidder has not provided the detail of Bankers which is required as per instruction no. 6 to the format of Annex-III as prescribed in the RFP. Please Clarify.	1. The Modified Annex-III is attached 2. Corporation Bank, Balanagar Branch, 5-35/162, Ground Floor, Soni Business Complex, Prashanthi Nagar, I.E, Kukatpally, Hyderabad - 500072	Bidder along with its replies has submitted a copy of certificate duly mentioning the Methodology adoptedfor calculating the net worth which has been certified by Statutory Auditor, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered. 2. Bidder in its replies has mentioned the name and address of the Bankers, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
4.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	a to g	For project code *a to g*, Bidder has not mentioned that "*Construction work has been executed by them*", which is required as per the format of Certificate regarding construction work from the Statutory Auditor provided under point 14 of Annex-IV of the RFP. Please clarify through Statutory Auditor certificate.	This is erroneously done by mistake	Bidder along with its reply has submitted the revised Certificate for project code a to g duly mentioning that "*Construction work has been executed by them*", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
5.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	a	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening of existing (R&B) Sinle lane road to double lane road from km. 0/0 to 40/6 on Nagarkarnool- Kollapur road in Mahabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify	1. The certificate is enclosed	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of letter issued by District R & B Officer (Executive Engineer) dated 12.03.2018 in which it has been mentioned that "the mentioned road belongs to State	Agreed

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
			through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP.		Highway at the time of execution", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be consideredunder category 3.	
			2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 31.01.2013. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 31.01.2013 whereas in client certificate mentioned that "Date of Completion is mentioned upto 30.09.2014". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	2. The work completion dated 30.09.2014	2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date as the same was also reflected in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	
6.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	b	1. Bidder has claimed "Output & Performance based Road contract for Package 9 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP.	1. The certificate is enclosed	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of letter issued by District R & B Officer (Executive Engineer) dated 12.03.2018 in which it has been mentioned that "the mentioned road belongs to State Highway and National Highway", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered under category 3.	Agreed
			2. Further, in the certificate submitted it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 17.09.2010 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 23.01.2014". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	2. The work completion dated 23.01.2014	2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date as the same was also mentioned in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	
7.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	c	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Package 25 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP.	1. The certificate is enclosed	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of letter issued by R & B (Superintending Engineer) dated 12.03.2018 in which it has been mentioned that "the mentioned road belongs to State Highway at the time of execution", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered under category 3.	Agreed
			2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 26.03.2012. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 26.03.2012 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion as per agreement 25.03.2017". Therefore, please	2. The work completion dated 25.03.2017	2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date which was also mentioned in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
			clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.			
8.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	d	Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Package 24 Roads in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, in the certificate submitted it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 01.03.2012 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 28.02.2017". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	1. The certificate is enclosed 2. The work completion dated 28.02.2017	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of letter issued by R & B (Superintending Engineer) dated 12.03.2018 in which it has been mentioned that "the mentioned road belongs to State Highway at the time of execution", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered under category 3. 2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date, which was also mentioned in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
9.	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	e	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening & Strengthening of Yerrigera Leeza Alampur road from Km 24/0 to 50/0 in Mahabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 30.05.2014. Also, it was mentioned that "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 30.05.2014 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 31.03.2016". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	1. The certificate is enclosed 2. The work completion dated 31.03.2016	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of abstract, Government of Andhra Pradesh dated 03.05.2013 in which it has been mentioned that "Government hereby decide to declare the Yerrigera – Leeja – Alampur road as state highway",which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered under category 3. 2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date which was also mentioned in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
10	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	f	In the certificate submitted, it was mentioned that "project was/is likely to be commissioned on 10.10.2014 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion as per agreement upto 30.09.2017". Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	The work completion dated 30.09.2017 (EOT granted). Till date the Land Problem pending. We have applied EOT upto 31.03.2018	Reply submitted by the bidder is justified, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
11	Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects)	g	1. Bidder has claimed "Widening of the road Mahabubnagar-Mannanur road from km. 71/260 to 95/3 in Manabubnagar District" under Category 3. Please clarify through some supporting documents that, how this project is covered under Category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5(iii) of RFP. 2. Further, In the certificate submitted, date of commencement and date of commissioning is mentioned as 30.03.2013. Also, it was mentioned "the project was/is likely to be commissioned on 30.03.2013 whereas in client certificate mentioned that" Date of Completion upto 31.03.2015"Therefore, please clarify the said discrepancy and also clarify the actual date of completion through Statutory Auditor certificate/Client certificate.	1. The certificate is enclosed 2. The work completion dated 31.03.2015	1. Bidder along with its reply has submitted a copy of letter issued by R & B (Superintending Engineer) dated 12.03.2018 in which it has been mentioned that "the mentioned road belongs to State Highway at the time of execution", which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered under category 3. 2. Bidder in its reply has confirmed the work completion date, which was also mentioned in the client certificate, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	
12	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Common Bid Security)	NA	In para 14, Banker has mentioned "Main Branch, New Delhi" instead of mentioning the details of branch at New Delhi, which is required as per format of Appendix-II as prescribed in the RFP. Therefore, bidder is required to submit an amendment to the Bank Guarantee duly mentioning the detail of branch of New Delhi at para 14.	The Bank Guarantee Amendment is attached	Bidder along with its reply has submitted an amendment to the Bank Guarantee duly mentioning the detail of branch of New Delhi at para 14, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
13	Appendix-III (POA for signing of Application)	NA	1. Bidder has not mentioned the Address of the executor of POA. Please clarify. 2. In 5th para, Designation of the attorney has mentioned as "Director" and at the place of signing of attorney the Designation has mentioned "Managing Director". Please Clarify	1. mentioned 2. 5th para, designation of attorney has mentioned as "Director", the enormously done by mistake. i.e. "Managing Director"	1. Bidder in its reply has mentioned the Address of the executor of POA, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered. 2. Reply submitted by the Bidder is justified as the Bidder has confirmed that it is Managing Director, Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed
14	Annex- VI (Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity)	NA	The Bidder has submitted a table showing value of B, i.e. a table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 3 year, however, the last para i.e. "*The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned*	Enclosed	Bidder along with its reply has submitted Annexure- VI duly mentioning the omitted para and the same has been certified by the Statutory Auditor, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
			by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s……individually / and other member M/s …. and M/s….., as on bid due date of this RFP." as prescribed in the format has been omitted by the Bidder. Please clarify the same through Statutory Auditor's certificate.			
15	Audited Annual Reports	NA	The bidder has not submitted the Audited Annual Accounts for the last 5 (five) Financial Years (2012-13 to 2016-17) which is required as per clause 2.2.2.8 (i) and as per instruction no. 1 to Annex-III of the RFP. Therefore the Bidder is required to furnish the same.	We have submitted Audited Annual Accounts for the last 5 (five) Financial Year	Bidder along with its reply has submitted the Audited Annual Accounts for the last 5 (five) Financial Year, which fulfils the requirement of clarification sought. Hence, the same may be considered.	Agreed

Name of the bidder: M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.

Sr. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Project Code (if any)	Description of Clarifications sought	Replies submitted by the Bidder	Remarks of the Financial Consultant	Remark
1.	**Annex-V (Statement of Legal Capacity)**	**NA**	Statement of Legal Capacity is signed by Mr. D.M. Ramesh instead of Mr. Pratap Potluri who is the Authorized Signatory, which is required as per format of Annex-V of RFP. Therefore, the Bidder is required to clarify the same.	We have agreed that Mr. Pratap Potluri, Project Director will act as our representative and has been duly authorized to submit the RFP. Further, the authorized signatory is vested with requisite powers to furnish such letter and authenticate the same.	Bidder along with its replies has submitted the revised Annex-V duly signed by Authorized Signatory, which fulfills the requirement of clarification sought. Hence the same may be considered.	Agree d

2. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the two bidders as per the report are as under:

Sr. No.	Bid Serial No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 232.20 Crore)(Rs. in Crore)	Whether Bidder meets 25% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 38.70 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 7.74 Cr.)(Rs. in Crore)	Average Annual Turnover Assessed (Required= 30.96 Cr.)(Rs. In Crore)	Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 154.80 Crore)(Rs. in Crore)	Remarks
1.	**2/3**	SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Ltd.	302.16	Yes, Refer project code 'f' of Rs. 61.61	17.60	88.26	526.35	Qualified

Sr. No.	Bid Serial No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience Score Assessed (Required = Rs. 232.20 Crore)(Rs. in Crore)	Whether Bidder meets 25% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 38.70 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 7.74 Cr.)(Rs. in Crore)	Average Annual Turnover Assessed (Required= 30.96 Cr.)(Rs. In Crore)	Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 154.80 Crore)(Rs. in Crore)	Remarks
2.	3/3	Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	398.95	Yes, Refer project code 'c' of Rs. 52.94	44.72	113.19	435.80	Qualified

3. The Financial Consultant in their report also mentioned that 1 (one) bidder namely, M/s Manaranjan Brahma stands non-responsive on account of non-submission of Bid Security in the form of Bank Guarantee and Cost of Document in the form of Demand Draft as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP.

4. The **Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation carried out by the Financial Consultant and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1	M/s Manaranjan Brahma	Technically Non-Responsive
2	M/s SSR Crest Engineers and Constructions Limited	Technically Responsive
3	M/s Keystone Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED-I): Chairman	**Y.C.Srivastava (GM-Tech) Member Secretary**	**Adil Singh (GM-Tech) Member**	**U.S. Prasad DGM (Tech) Member**	**Uttam Chatterjee DGM -Fin. Member**